Olistostromes in the Miocene salt-bearing folded deposits at the front of the Ukrainian Carpathian orogen
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The origin of the olistostromes at the front of the Ukrainian Carpathian orogen is related to Miocene synsedimentary thrust movements of the Carpathian accretionary prism and to erosion of uplifted areas of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe in the front of the accretionary prism. There are two olistostrome complexes. The first is the Lower Miocene Polyanytsia-Vorotyshcha Olistostrome with clasts of molasse and flysch deposits formed in a piggy-back basin on the inner part of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe. The second one is the Middle Miocene Lanchyn Olistostrome with olistoliths of strongly deformed molasse deposits. These olistoliths were slid from the uplifted front of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe. The Lanchyn Olistostrome was deposited at front of this nappe in a foredeep basin.
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INTRODUCTION

Olistostromes often take part in the structure of orogens. Flores (1959) proposed using the term “olistostrome” to the formation containing two binding components. The first component is a matrix composed of typically sandy-clayey deposits with chaotic or poorly layered sedimentary textures. The second component is an olistolith represented by large blocks of rock embedded in a matrix. It was considered that the olistostrome is a sufficiently large mappable unit. Commonly, the olistostrome is very thick and extends for tens, in places hundreds of kilometres (Leonov, 1981). The terms “matrix” and “olistolith” are depend on the scale under consideration; for example small blocks are referred to as olistoliths in exposures, and the same blocks can be depicted as part of the matrix on geological maps. The matrix is the product of debris-flows accompanying submarine landslides. Olistoliths are represented by relatively coherent transported blocks of rocks.

Olistostromes reflect mass-wasting processes and gravity-induced emplacement of unsorted sediments and may be associated with turbidites and mudflow deposits. Any rock type available in the uplifted source area may contribute to the formation of an olistostrome including salt-bearing rocks (Ślązczka and Kolasa, 1997).

Recently the origin of the olistoliths and olistostromes from the Polish Carpathians was discussed by Cieszkowski et al. (2009). In the Ukrainian Carpathian orogen, most of the thick (hundreds of metres) and best-expressed olistostromes are grouped into several zones which are characterized by a sub-Carpathian strike and are confined to the frontal parts of the great nappes (Hnylko, 2011; Fig. 1). They have been described in the Marmarosh Klippen Zone (Kruglov, 1965), and at the front of the Marmarosh (Byzova, 1965), Chornohora (Gruzman and Smirnov, 1985), Dukla (Glushchenko, 1972, Glushchenko et al., 1980; Hnylko, 2000) and Silesian (Astakhov, 1989) nappes. Olistostromes are also known from the Polyanytsia Formation and the Vorotyshcha salt-bearing Formation within the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe (Unit) (Kulchitsky, 1977).

As a result of field research a new olistostrome was identified and partially mapped at the front of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe among the salt-bearing deposits of the Sambir Nappe (Unit) (Hnylko and Vashchenko, 2003, 2004).

In the Ukrainian Outer Carpathians, nappe-related olistostromes are represented by synorogenic chaotic deposits with mainly flysch olistoliths derived from the uplifted orogenic flysch-nappe front advancing towards the foreland region (Hnylko, 2011).

Olistostromes containing exotic clasts derived from the pre-flysch and/or platform basement uplifted and exposed to mass-wasting at the basin margin are also known from the Ukrainian Outer Carpathians. These olistostromes often form relatively thin sedimentary lenses of debris-flow deposits with exotic clasts, or are part of mixed complexes containing olistoliths derived both from the uplifted flysch nappes and from the elevated basement (Hnylko, 2011). For example, a thick sequence of the Sloboda Conglomerate is associated with the nappe-related olistostrome embedded in the Polyanytsia and Vorotyshcha formations. A conglomerate regarded as of alluvial fan origin (with a high contribution of high-density gravity flow deposits) is followed by a fan
GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Boryslav-Pokuttya and Sambir nappes extend along the front of the Ukrainian Outer Carpathians. The Outer Carpathians involve several stacked nappes (also referred to as “units”) and are considered as the Cretaceous-Neogene accretionary prism formed as a result of subduction of the basement of the Carpathian sedimentary flysch basin beneath the ALCAPA and Tiszá-Dacia terranes, which now are located in the inner part of the Carpathian mountain arc (Kovač et al., 1998; Csontos and Vörös, 2004; Oszczypko, 2006; Hnylko, 2012; Fig. 1). The Outer Carpathian nappes are thrust over the Neogene molasse-fill of the Carpathian Foredeep.

The Ukrainian Carpathian Foredeep is filled with synorogenic Miocene molasse. This molasse is folded and detached from its sedimentary substrate in the Inner Zone of the Carpathian Foredeep. The Outer Zone of the Carpathian Foredeep (named the Bilche-Volytsia Zone) is not folded and rests directly on the platform basement. The main part of the Inner Zone dips southward under the Outer Carpathian nappes.

In Ukraine the Miocene salt-bearing folded molasse deposits with olistostromes are developed along the front of the Outer Carpathians. These deposits belong to the Boryslav-Pokuttya and Sambir nappes (Figs. 1 and 2). The Boryslav-Pokuttya Unit forms the frontal nappe of the Outer Carpathians (Glushko et al., 1982; Hnylko, 2012). The Sambir Nappe is derived from the Inner Zone of the Neogene Carpathian Foredeep. Some authors (Kruglov, 1986) regard the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe as a
tectonic unit derived from the Inner Zone of the Carpathian Foredeep.

Miocene deposits are represented by the upper part of the Menilite Formation and a number of molasse formations, according to the new regional stratigraphic scheme of the Neo-

gene deposits of the Outer Carpathians and Carpathian Fore-

deep (Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2011; Fig. 2). This scheme differs from other more "traditional" schemes (Andreyeva-

Grigorovich et al., 1997; Smirnov, 2003; Oszczykpo et al.,
2006; Jankowski et al., 2012) by the steeply inclined up to sub-vertical (strongly diachronous) boundaries of the formations. It should be emphasized that the boundaries are oblique
and not vertical (with the exception of the Skyba successions which are separated one from another and where the Miocene parts of the Menilite and Krosno formations are not directly in contact with each other). A new regional stratigraphic scheme of the Neogene was built both on the grounds of geological observations of relationships of the lithostratigraphic units during geological mapping of the region, and, on the basis of new biostratigraphic data. In 1999–2001 and 2006–2008 we selected samples from the Miocene deposits along the banks of major rivers crossing the Sambir and Borysław-Pokuttya nappes in Ukraine for the analysis of microfauna and nanoplankton. Unfortunately very few of them yielded useful microfossils, and it was not always possible to precisely date the boundaries of formations biostratigraphically. Nevertheless some new dating by foraminifera and nanoplankton suggest diachronous borders (approximately coeval faunas were found in the Menilite and Polyanystia formations; in the Polyanystia and Vorotyshcha formations; in the Vorotyshcha and Stebnyk formations and in the Stebnyk and Balych formations). These biostratigraphic data are in the report (Vashchenko et al., 2009) and partially published (Vashchenko and Hnylko, 2003a, b; Hnylko and Vashchenko, 2003, 2004; Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2008b, 2011; Kulyanda and Hnylko, 2012). The most important biostratigraphic results are shown in Figure 2.

The Borysław-Pokuttya Nappe is partly covered by the Skyba Nappe (Outer Carpathians) and thrust over the Sambir Nappe (see Fig. 1; Kruglov, 1986). The Borysław-Pokuttya Nappe is subdivided into the inner Borysław Subnappe in the south-west and the outer Runhr Subnappe in the north-east that differ in lithostratigraphic composition of the Miocene deposits (Vigorov, 1985; Hnylko and Vashchenko, 2003, 2004; Fig. 2). The Borysław-Pokuttya Unit is composed of dislocated Cretaceous-Lower Miocene flysch (mainly turbidites) and Miocene molasse. Flysch-molasse deposits are detached from their sedimentary substrate. Molasse covers the flysch conformably, in places with local erosion.

Miocene deposits are represented by the upper part of the Menilite Formation and the Polyanystia, Vorotyshcha (including the Vorotyshcha Fm. sensu lato) consisting of mainly salt-bearing clays, the Sloboda Conglomerate Member and the Dobrotiv Member) and Stebnyk formations (Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2011; Fig. 2). The Menilite Formation is composed mainly of black shales with interbedded chert and sandstone lenses (Kosakowski, 2013 with references therein). The thickness of the Menilite Formation in the Borysław-Pokuttya Unit generally decreases from ~1000 m to a few hundreds and even few tens of metres in a north-east direction. In the same direction the Menilite Formation lacks its middle and upper members due to their facies replacement by the Polyanystia Formation (Fig. 2).

The Polyanystia Formation (thickness up to 1000 m) is represented by alternating grey clays, silts, claystones, siltstones, sandstones and sandstone. It contains lenses of conglomerate, sedimentary breccia and debris-flow deposit, which increase in thickness and quantity to the north-east. The Vorotyshcha Formation (thickness up to 1200 m) in the inner part of the Borysław-Pokuttya Nappe (Borysław Subnappe) is represented by salt-bearing gypsumiferous semi-lithified grey clays with intercalations of grey sandstone and layers of sedimentary breccia, conglomerate and debris-flow deposit. In the Borysław Subnappe the Vorotyshcha Formation represents the youngest lithostratigraphic unit of the stratigraphic succession (Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2011). In the Runhr Subnappe, salt-bearing shales and clays of the Vorotyshcha Formation are gradually replaced by the Dobrotiv Member (bedded sandstones with intercalations of grey shale) and Sloboda Conglomerate Member, which are overlain by the Stebnyk Formation (variegated shales, marls and sandstones, thickness up to 1200 m).

The Sambir Nappe is covered by the Borysław-Pokuttya Nappe and thrust over the Outer (Bilche-Volytsia) Zone of the Carpathian Foredeep. It is composed of dislocated Miocene molasse (Vorotyshcha, Stebnyk, Balych and Bereznytsia formations) completely uprooted from their sedimentary basement (Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2011). Kruglov (1986) considered the Sambir Unit as a joint zone located between the platform and flysch basin. Some researchers believe that the Sambir Basin (as well as the Borysław-Pokuttya Basin) was situated on the top of the Carpathian accretionary wedge (in a piggy-back basin; Oszczypko et al., 2012) but flysch deposits were not found in the Sambir Unit. The sedimentary basement of the Miocene Sambir molasse basin seems to be represented by the platform dropped in front of the Carpathian orogen (foredeep basin) (Hnylko and Vashchenko, 2004; Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2011; Hnylko, 2012).

The Vorotyshcha Formation (thickness up to 1000 m) within the Sambir Unit is represented by grey clays, silts, sands and sandstones. The lower surface of the Vorotyshcha Formation is cut off by faults. This phenomenon can be observed, for example, in outcrops along the Prut River.

The Stebnyk Formation (thickness up to 1000 m) is composed of predominantly variegated clays, marls, shales with interbedded siltstones and sandstones. Near the border with Poland the Stebnyk Formation contains thick lenses of the Nyzhnokyschy Conglomerate (Burov et al., 1976).

The Balych Formation (thickness up to 750 m) generally is represented by greenish-grey thin-laminated, mainly calcareous clays with interbedded siltstones and sandstones. Olistostome is presented locally and forms a thick lens among the bedded deposits at the front of the Borysław-Pokuttya Nappe (Hnylko and Vashchenko, 2003, 2004). The upper part of the Balych Formation in some areas (e.g., Holynya, Kalush, Velykyi Belin) contains the Upper Badenian evaporite “Kalush Beds”. The evaporite deposits of the Kalush area are correlated with the Upper Badenian salt of the Wieliczka and Bochnia region (Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2003; see also discussion in Wójcikowicz et al., 2003; Peryt, 2006).

The Kalush Evaporite Beds are overlain by upper Middle–lower Upper Miocene sandy-clayey marine deposits (thickness up to 1200 m), which recently has been named as the Bereznytsia Formation (Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2011) (see Fig. 2). Previously most researchers (Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 1997) attributed those supra-evaporite strata (Bereznytsia Formation) to the Kosiv and Dashava formations.

DESCRIPTION OF THE OLISTOSTROMES

Olistostome in the Borysław-Pokuttya Nappe was briefly described by Kulchitskiy (1977), who noted that the borehole deep samples and observations at outcrop fix the thick horizons with inclusions (olistostomes) to the bottom of the Polyanystia Formation. The olistostomes are represented by a clay mass packed with fragments of ancient metamorphic rock, Jurassic limestone, with the inclusion of Eocene-Oligocene flysch rocks. This researcher also noted olistoliths of the Menilite Formation among the Zahora (Truskavets) conglomerates of the Vorotyshcha Formation. On the map prepared by Jankowski et al., (2007, 2012) the Vorotyshcha Formation is compared with the Slon Beds (olistostomes developed in Romania), but this comparison needs further testing.
According to our mapping, olistostromes in the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe are developed as a number of lenses (several metres, tens or up to hundreds of metres thick) of debris-flow deposits (Fig. 3) within the layered strata of the Polyanytsia and Vorotyshcha formations (Polyanytsia-Vorotyshcha Olistostrome). In the olistostrome between the lenses of debris-flow deposits can be found the thin layers of the background laminated clayey strata. The olistostrome tends to occur in the lower part of these formations, but in places (for example in the core of the Akreshory Syncline, see Fig. 4) throughout the greater part of the Vorotyshcha Formation. The matrix of the olistostrome consists of semi-lithified, often gypsiferous, grey and bluish clays with small clasts of redeposited molasse and locally flysch (usually Menilite Fm.) rocks. The matrix is characterized by poorly layered and chaotic sedimentary textures (Fig. 3A, B).

Olistoliths in the olistostrome from the inner (south-west) part of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe (Boryslav Subnappe) are composed of Miocene grey mudstones, siltstones and sandstones of the Polyanytsia and Vorotyshcha formations; the Oligocene-Miocene dark bituminous shales of the Menilite Formation; and, less often, Eocene muddy flysch. The pre-Miocene flysch rocks within the olistoliths are strongly deformed: crumpled into small folds, locally transformed into tectonic breccia. The olistostrome in places contains small lenses of coarse sand and gravel with exotic debris (greenschist fragments) (Fig. 3C). The thickness of the lens increases to the north-east, where the coarse sand and gravel are transformed into the Sloboda Conglomerates.

The olistostrome in the outer (north-east) part of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe (Runhur Subnappe) is gradually replaced by a olistostrome-conglomerate succession (the Sloboda Conglomerate Member and their analogues – Truskavets, Rushor, Krasnoilsk conglomerates) (Fig. 3D–F). It is a succession up to 600-m-thick of conglomerates, breccias, sandstones with clay intercalations. Clasts are represented by green and red schists, quartz, limestones and other exotic rocks (Fedu-şchak, 1962; Oszczykpo et al., 2012) as well as black shales of the Menilite Formation. The conglomerate is associated in places with the olistostrome, with the olistoliths composed of Oligocene-Miocene bituminous shales (Menilite Fm.) and...
Eocene flysch (Fig. 3D). The conglomerate is often characterized by chaotic matrix-supported textures and is a debris-flow deposit (Fig. 3E, G).

Conglomerates at the bottom of the molasse in the Boryslav-Pokutya Nappe lie conformably on the Menilite Formation (Fig. 3G), with local erosion but probably without a significant stratigraphic break. Furthermore, thin layers of gravel and fine conglomerates with exotic clasts occur within the Menilite Formation, indicating continuity of sedimentation from flysch to molasse.

The olistostrome and olistostrome-conglomerate successions (debris-flow deposits, some with large landslides-olistoliths) form sedimentary lenses at different levels of the Polyanytsia and Vorotyshcha formations and were probably deposited during accumulation of the Polyanytsia-Vorotyshcha strata – from the earliest Miocene to the boundary of the Early–Middle Miocene (Andreeva-Grigorovich et al., 2011; Kulyanda and Hnylko, 2012).

Early Miocene, probably Eggerian, foraminifers were found in molasse strata containing a debris-flow lens (Fig. 3D) (Runhur Subnappe, Polyanytsia Formation along the Rybnitsya River near the Kosiv city). According to Trofymovych (in Vashchenko et al., 2009) these foraminifers comprise Globigerina ciperoensis ciperoensis Bolli, G. praebulloides aff. leroy Blow, G. praebulloides praebulloides Blow, Spirolutricula aff. lampossa Hussey, Cibicides amphisiensis (Andreae), Hanzawaia boueana (d’Orbigny), Ammodiscus cf. miocenicus Karrer, A. aff. tenuissimas Grzybowski and Reophax sp.

Early Miocene, probably Ottangian-Karpotian foraminifers were found in molasse strata of the Polyanytsia Formation un-
derlying the olistostrome of the Vorotyshcha Formation (Boryslav Subnappe, Pokutta folds, Akra and Pístynka rivers). According to Chernuhka (in Vashchenko et al., 2001) these foraminifers comprise Globigerinoides trilobus (Reuss), Globorotalia mayeri (Cushman et Ellis), Globocoquadrina langiana Cita et Gelata, G. dehiscens (Chapman et al.) and Globigerina bollti Cita et Premoli Silva and others.

The youngest microfauna among the olistostromes of the Boryslav-Pokutta Unit was established in the Vorotyshcha Formation at the northwestern part of the Ukrainian Carpathians near the border with Poland near the city of Dobromyl (the Ternavka Stream) as well near the city of Boryslav. At these locations the background clays embedded in the chaotic olistostrome deposits contain foraminifers (M. Kulyanda in Kulyanda and Hnyylko, 2012) indicating an age close to the Early-Middle Miocene boundary: Globigerina bollti Cita et Premoli Silva; G. bulloides (d’Orbigny), Globigerinoides trilobus (Reuss), Globocoquadrina dehiscens (Chapman et al.), Globigerinella obesa (Boll.), Globorotalia scitula (Brady), Paragloborotalia mayeri (Cushman et Ellis) (Andrejeva-Grigorovich et al., 2011; Kulyanda and Hnyylko, 2012).

Well-developed sections of the Boryslav-Pokutta and Sambir nappes outcrop along the banks of the Akra and Luchka rivers and their inflows (Fig. 4), where olistostromes are distributed among salt-bearing molasse. The structure of the Boryslav Subnappe, represented by the Pokutta folds (Tłokiński, 1950), outcrops there in the upper Akra River. One of the Pokutta folds – the Akreshory Syncline – contains in its core an olistostrome (of thickness 100–150 m) attributed to the Vorotyshcha Formation (see Fig. 4).

The Akreshory Section (Boryslav Subnappe) is situated along the Akra River and contains the youngest deposits in the core of the Akreshory Syncline (see Fig. 4). Below the village of Akreshory on the banks of the Akra River, there are outcrops of rocks belonging to the Polyanysta Formation which are represented by the grey marly shales with intercalations of thin-bedded sandstone. There are several beds of massive sandstone (with thicknesses of 1–2 m) and lenses (up to 5-m-thick) of debris-flow deposits with clasts of the grey marly shale, of sandstone of the Polyanysta Formation and of laminated siliceous limestone of the Menilite Formation. The thickness of the Polyanysta Formation is about 150 m. Downstream along the Akra River there is a gradual transition of the Polyanysta Formation into the Menilite Formation composed of the dark grey bituminous mudstones. Upstream along the Akra River the Polyanysta Formation gradually passes into Vorotyshcha Formation that is represented by an olistostrome composed of semi-lithified gypsiferous grey and bluish clays with clasts and blocks (up to metre in diameter) of the better-lithified sandstones and shales of the Polyanysta Formation. There, olistoliths of the Menilite Formation and more ancient flysch formations were not found. At the bottom of the Vorotyshcha Olistostrome there are several layers (5–15-cm-thick) of laminated silts and clays. The olistostrome completes the stratigraphic succession of the Boryslav Subnappe and fills the core of the Akreshory synclinal fold. The olistoliths in this olistostrome may have been derived from the limbs of the Akreshory Syncline (which are composed of the Polyanysta Formation, see Fig. 4) during synsedimentary folding.

Another syncline, comprising the olistostrome of the Vorotyshcha Fm. in the core, is located near the Pokutta Fault dividing the Boryslav and Runhur subnappes in the area (see Fig. 4). The olistostrome (100–200-m-thick) contains rock fragments of the Polyanysta Formation and in addition olistoliths of the Menilite Formation. The Polyanysta and Menilite formations form the syncline limbs which may be the source area for the Vorotyshcha Olistostrome.

The structure of the Runhur Subnappe of the Boryslav-Pokutta Nappe is exposed along the Akra-Luchka River section (Fig. 4).

The Akra-Luchka Section (Runhur Subnappe) is situated along the Akra and Luchka rivers and contains the deposits filling the Sloboda Anticline (see Fig. 4).

In the southwestern limb of the Sloboda Anticline, near the confluence of the Akra and Luchka rivers green mudstones of the Bystrytsya Formation (Eocene) are exposed. 50 m higher along the Akra River there are the exposures of the Vorotyshcha Formation composed of grey layered semi-lithified clays and sandstones, and sedimentary breccias from the same clays and sandstones belonging to Vorotyshcha Formation. The contact between the Bystrytsya Formation and the Vorotyshcha Formation is not exposed, though the boundary between the two formations is probably erosive. Upstream along the Akra River there are exposures of greenish-grey laminated and massive, in places salt-bearing clays and sandstones of the Vorotyshcha Formation. The beds are gently dipping to sub-horizontal. The clays locally pass into breccia, rich in small fragments of siltstone and sandstone embedded in a pelitic matrix. Stratigraphically higher on the left bank of the Akra River is the Sloboda Conglomerate Member. The conglomerates are also exposed along the Akra River (~400–750 m above its mouth) where it can be traced for 300–350 m along the banks of the Akra. They are composed of fragments of various sizes (up to a few decimetres or even metres across) of the schist, quartz, sandstone and black, in part variegated Eocene-Oligocene mudstone.

In the north-east limb of the Sloboda Anticline, 500 metres from the church in the village of Luchka, downstream along the Luchka River there are exposures of the Menilite Formation – black mudstones, sandstones, flint-bearing levels and limestones. The Sloboda Conglomerate Member (Vorotyshcha Formation) can also be seen, lying on the eroded surface of the Menilite Formation. This matrix-supported conglomerate comprises debris-flow deposits with pebbles and blocks (up to several metres across) of green schist, quartz, sandstone and black mudstones. The conglomerate may be the source area for the Vorotyshcha synclinal fold. The olistoliths in this olistostrome may have been derived from the limbs of the Akreshory Syncline (which are composed of the Polyanysta Formation, see Fig. 4) during synsedimentary folding.
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Confomlerates extend downstream along the Luchka River a few tens of metres. Beneath, there are exposed stratified clays of the Vorotyshcha Formation which extend 500 m downwards along the Luchka River to the mouth of the Rushor Stream. Probably, these clays belong to the core of the local anticline (see Fig. 4). In left bank tributaries of the Luchka River, the stratified clays are replaced by olistostrome with a clayey matrix and olistoliths (up to tens of metres across) of black shales and sandstones of the Menilite Formation.

Near the mouth of the Rushor Stream, Dobrotil-like grey clays interbedded with sandstones are observed. The Dobrotiv-like beds extending 150 m downwards along the Luchka River are overlain by the Sloboda Conglomerate Member. This member is composed of boulders and pebbles (Fig. 3E, F) of green, rarely variegated schists, quartzite-like Paleozoic (?) sandstone, quartz, limestone and dolomite, and other exotic clasts; they also contain a thick lens of debris-flow deposits with fragments (olistoliths) of the Menilite Formation and of Eocene flysch strata.

The Sloboda Conglomerate is traced down 250 m along the Luchka River and gradually passes into the Dobrotiv Member.
(Vorotyshcha Fm.) stratigraphically upwards. Under the bridge across the Luchka River (the road to the village of Kosmach) the Dobrotiv Member is exposed as thin rhythmic alternations of clays (20–30 cm) and siltstones (5–10 cm). These deposits can be traced downriver for 700 m.

750–800 m below the bridge on the Luchka, the first layers of pink clay appear, within grey clays. Stratigraphically above, variegated marls, sandstones and siltstones of the Stebnyk Formation are developed. They complete the stratigraphic succession of the Runhur Subnappe.

Beneath of the Runhur thrust, already within the Sambir Unit, outcrops of the gysiferous unstructured grey clays can be observed, which contain olistoliths of the Stebnyk Formation (the Lanchyn Olistostrome, see below).

Our observations at other locations show that many olistoliths in the Polyanytsia-Vorotyshcha Olistostrome of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Unit are generally similar to the deposits situated stratigraphically directly below of the olistostome strata. This feature allows us to suggest that the Polyanytsia-Vorotyshcha Olistostrome was formed in the Boryslav-Pokuttya sedimentary basin "in situ" due to the erosion of its uplifted floor. Uplifted areas may have been formed during the growth of the anticlinal and/or thrust-anticlinal uplifts which supplied the material for olistoliths. Synsedimentary tectonics probably took place during the general elevation of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Unit due to its thrusting on the to platform.

The structural position and composition of the olistostrome in the Vorotyshcha and Polyanytsia formations suggests that it was formed due to erosion of the uplifted parts of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe and deposited, instead of in the nappe front, in the inner part of the moving nappe. This supports the idea that olistostrome sedimentation took place on the top of the Carpathian accretionary flysch wedge (in a piggy-back basin).

The quantity and size of the clasts of the exotic non-flysch rocks of the Sloboda Conglomerate gradually increases from the inner to the outer part of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Unit. Such distribution of detrital material shows that the exotic clasts were supplied from the platform fore-bulge, which is compared with the previously known (Kruglov, 1986) Ležajsk Massif uplifted in the Early Miocene.

Therefore, both the exotic fragments derived from the platform forebulge and flysch clasts derived from nappe-related thrust-anticlinal elevations within the Boryslav-Pokuttya sedimentary basin were delivered by debris-flows into the piggy-back olistostrome-conglomerate Boryslav-Pokuttya molasse basin.

Olistostrome in the Sambir Nappe was discovered recently (Hnylko and Vashchenko, 2003). It extends as a strip hundreds of metres to a few kilometres in width ahead front of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe only, and can be traced from the Seretel River basin (Fig. 5) near the Ukrainian-Romanian border up to the Kalush area (see Fig. 1).

This chaotic stratum, that outcrops along the Prut River near Lanchyn, was interpreted by Vialov (1965) as the “Lanchyn Blue Facies” of the Stebnyk Formation. We propose to call this stratum the “Lanchyn Olistostrome”.

The interpretation of the structure of the Lanchyn Olistostrome is controversial. Some authors (Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2008a) conclude that the matrix of the Lanchyn Olistostrome exposed along the Prut River is represented by the Stebnyk Formation and olistoliths are expressed by the grey chaotic gysiferous clayey deposits derived from the Vorotyshcha Formation. Hnylko and Vashchenko (2003) suggested that

---

**Fig. 5. Geologic map and cross-section of the Boryslav-Pokuttya and Sambir units along the Seretel River and position of the olistostromes**

For location see Figure 1
these grey chaotic clayey deposits with gypsum veins are more similar to the matrix olistostrome they are the typical debris-flow deposits with clasts of red shale and marl of the Stebnyk Formation and other fragments of redeposited molasse (Fig. 6A–D). The grey matrix is considered as an integral element of the Balych Formation that contains the olistoliths (Fig. 6E; sizes from a few up to hundreds of metre across) of the Stebnyk Formation and rarely of the Vorotyshcha Formation.

The well-exposed section of the Lanchyn Olistostrome is located along the Seretel River near the Ukrainian-Romanian border (Fig. 5).

In the Seretel Section, beneath the thrusts of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe, the Lanchyn Olistostrome comes to the surface. The olistostrome can be traced over 800 m downstream along the Seretel River. Here the visible thickness of the olistostrome is 500–800 m. However, the true thickness may be considerably lower because the olistostrome has undergone intense tectonic deformation and possibly is divided into several tectonic slabs. The matrix of the Lanchyn Olistostrome is semi-lithified, often intensely gypsiferous, grey-blue clay with chaotic, poorly layered sedimentary textures (Fig. 6A, D). Olistoliths are of more strongly lithified red-brown and grey shale, marl and sandstone of the Stebnyk Formation. Small clasts are composed also of sandstone, grey and red shale and marl of the Stebnyk Formation.

Rocks in the olistoliths are often strongly folded, sheared, in places transformed into tectonic breccia up to melange (Fig. 7A) and are very similar to the tectonically deformed deposits of the Stebnyk Formation developed in front of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe (Fig. 7B). These internally deformed olistoliths were probably derived from the uplifted front of Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe.

Nannoplankton of the NN5 zone and the lower Middle Miocene foraminifers: *Globoquadrina altispira* (Cushman et Jarvis), *Globigerinoides trilobus* (Reuss), *Gl. aff. bisphaericus* Todd, *Globigerina bulloides* (d’Orbigny), *G. foliata* Bolli, *Turborotalita quinqueloba* (Natland), *Globigerinella obesa* (Bolli), *Bulimina elongata* d’Orbigny, *B. striata striata* d’Orbigny, *Praeglobo-

---

**Fig. 6.** Lanchyn Olistostrome of the Balych Formation ahead the front of Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe

A, B – matrix of the olistostome – debris-flow deposits with clasts of the Stebnyk Marls (Prut River near Lanchyn); C, D – matrix of the olistostrome (Seretel River near Krasnoilsk); E – olistolith of the Stebnyk Formation (Seretel River near Krasnoilsk); F – layered deposits of the Balych Formation that stratigraphically overlie the Lanchyn Olistostrome (Seretel River near Krasnoilsk)
buliminoides (d’Orbigny) and Ammonia beccarii (Linne), were found in the Lanchyn Olistostrome (Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2008b; Vaschenko et al., 2009). These findings confirm the assumption that the Lanchyn Olistostrome is a component of the Balych Formation.

Downstream along the Seretel River the grey chaotic clayey matrix of the Lanchyn Olistostrome gradually built up the lamination of clayey shales with sandstone intercalations (Fig. 6F) which belong to the Balych and Berezhnytsia formations containing monoplankton of the NN5–NN8 zones (Andreyeva-Grigorovich et al., 2008b; see Fig. 2).

Below, along the Seretel River, the autochthonous molasse – layered sandstones and clays of the Outer Zone of the Carpathian Foredeep, are exposed (see Fig. 5).

Thus, the structural position of the Lanchyn Olistostrome (ahead front of the Boryslav-Pokutta Nappe) and its composition (the presence of olistoliths of strongly deformed rocks analogous to the rocks in the frontal part of the Boryslav-Pokutta Nappe) suggest that the olistostrome was accumulated due to denudation of the uplifted front of the moving Boryslav-Pokutta Nappe in its foreland.

**DISCUSSION**

Around of the Paleogene and Neogene boundary, the Boryslav-Pokutta Unit together with the Skyba, Sub-Silesian and Silesian units formed the remnant Outer Carpathian flysch basin on the structures of the Eurasian passive margin. The residual Outer Carpathian flysch basin was limited along the south-west side by the accretionary prism composed of the Inner Flysch Nappes (Chornohora, Svydovets, Buklia and others, see Fig. 1). The growth of the prism took place in front of the moving ALCAPA and Tisza-Dacia terranes due to subduction of the substrate of the Outer Carpathian sedimentary basin beneath these terranes. Miocene molasse, including the olistostromes described, were formed due to fold-thrust movements of the accretionary prism during the transition from the remnant flysch to a peripheral foreland basin (Csontos and Vörös, 2004; Oszczypko, 2006; Hnylko, 2012).

In the Oligocene and Early Miocene, deep-water flysch sedimentation in the Boryslav-Pokutta Basin gradually changed to shallow molasse (including evaporite) deposition, probably due to a general synsedimentary uplift of the basin. This uplift may have been an expression of the vertical component of thrusting of the Boryslav-Pokutta Nappe on the edge of Eurasia (platform) (Fig. 8). Synsedimentary movements led to the growth of anticlinal and/or thrust-anticlinal walls from which olistoliths of the flysch and molasse rocks were slid to the adjacent synclinal depressions (for example, the Akeshory synclinal trough, see Fig. 4). This process led to the formation of the Polyanytsia-Vorotyshcha olistostrome into the inner part (piggy-back basin) of the moving Boryslav-Pokutta Nappe (Fig. 8).

In the Early Miocene the fore-bulge was formed at the foreland of the Boryslav-Pokutta Nappe (which was transformed at this time into the forward moving nappe of the Carpathian accretionary prism). The forebulge supplied the exotic material for the Sloboda Conglomerate and its analogues. Most thick and proximal sequences of the Sloboda Conglomerate are located along the border between the Boryslav-Pokutta Unit and the Sambir Unit, which can be observed in exposures along the southeastern part of the Ukrainian Carpathians between the Bystrytsia Nadvynanska and Seretel rivers. This position clearly indicates the now buried ancient source area, which supplied exotic clasts into the conglomerate. Obviously the source of supply of the exotic platform-derived debris (the flexural forebulge known us “Zuber Ridge”, see Fig. 2) was placed (at least in the SE part of the region) between the Boryslav-Pokutta and Sambir palaeobasins (Fig. 8). This situation suggests that the Sambir sedimentary basin was located north-east of the platform forebulge (the hypothetical source area) and thus was situated on the platform basement.

In the Middle Miocene the Boryslav-Pokutta Nappe gradually tectonically covered the fore-bulge and began to cover the Sambir foredeep basin. Olistoliths from the uplifted front of the Boryslav-Pokutta Nappe were transported into the foredeep basin, where the Lanchyn Olistostrome was formed (Fig. 8).

**CONCLUSIONS**

The origin of two olistostrome complexes at the front of the Ukrainian Carpathian orogen is related to synsedimentary Miocene thrust movements of the Carpathian accretionary wedge and to erosion of the uplifted areas of the Boryslav-Pokutta Nappe (the forward nappe of the wedge).

The first complex is the Lower Miocene Polyanytsia-Vorotyshcha Olistostrome with clasts of molasse and flysch de-
posits. It was formed in the inner part of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe on top of the accretionary wedge (in a piggy-back basin). This olistostrome is associated with the Siboda Conglomerate derived from the platform fore-bulge located at the foreland of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe.

The second is the Middle Miocene Lanchyn Olistostrome with olistoliths of strongly deformed molasse rocks, mainly of the Stebnyk Formation. These olistoliths were derived from the uplifted front of the Boryslav-Pokuttya Nappe. The Lan-

chyn Olistostrome was deposited ahead of this front in the foredeep basin.
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