Mineral chemistry and thermobarometry of plutonic, metamorphic and anatectic rocks from the Tueyserkan area (Hamedan, Iran)
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The study area is a part of the NW to SE striking Sanandaj–Sirjan metamorphic belt in western Iran. The Alvand Pluton, consisting of rocks that range in composition from gabbro to granite, is the major magmatic rock complex of this area. Gabbroic rocks include olivine gabbro, gabbro norite, norite and gabbro. Rocks around the Alvand Pluton were subjected to different P–T conditions due to polymetamorphism. Common metamorphic rocks are meta-pelites, but some metapsammites, meta-basites and meta-carbonates also occur. States, phyllices, schists, migmatites and hornfelses are major rock units of meta-pelites in the metamorphic sequence. Based on mineral chemistry, the highest temperature of crystallisation (1300°C) was determined for the olivine gabbros, and the lowest temperature (950°C) was calculated for the hornblende-bearing gabbros. Clinopyroxene–plagioclase thermometers and garnet–biotite–plagioclase–quartz–aluminosilicate–cordierite thermometers and garnet–plagioclase–muscovite–biotite thermometers (GASP), garnet–aluminosilicate–quartz–plagioclase–biotite–quartz–aluminosilicate–quartz (GPMB) barometers (Ferry and Spear, 1978; Perchuk et al., 1985; Aranovich et al., 1988; Hoisch, 1990; Holland and Powell, 1990; Berman, 1991; Dasgupta et al., 1991; Bhattacharya et al., 1992; Kleemann and Reinhardt, 1994; Holdaway, 2000; Henry et al., 2005; Powell and Holland, 2008).
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INTRODUCTION

The study area is located in the northern Sanandaj–Sirjan zone, western Iran. The Sanandaj–Sirjan zone is part of the Alpine-Himalayan orogenic system extending from NW Iran and western Turkey to SE Iran. Basic petrographic studies on plutonic and metamorphic rocks of the Hamedan region of the northern Sanandaj–Sirjan zone have been published in the papers in Persian (Zareiyan et al., 1971–1974). Additional studies of petrography and petrogenesis of plutonic and metamorphic rocks are discussed in some publications and M.Sc. and Ph.D. theses (Irani, 1993; Sadeghian, 1994; Baharifar, 1997, 2004; Sepahi, 1999, 2008; Badrzadeh, 2002; Sepahi et al., 2004, 2009; Shahbazi, 2010; Shahbazi et al., 2010; Tork, 2011; Borzoei, 2012; Saki et al., 2012). However, the mineral chemistry and thermobarometry of an interesting plutonic and metamorphic sequence from the area north of Tueyserkan have not been studied in detail so far. Therefore, we decided to focus our work on various index minerals from mafic plutonic rocks and their metamorphic aureole from the Tueyserkan area in order to estimate the P–T conditions of magmatism and metamorphism. The major goal was to determine whether the heat provided by the Alvand Pluton was sufficient to cause high temperature metamorphism and anatexis of the metamultis in the region. This was accomplished by using various published thermobarometric calibrations, e.g., garnet–biotite and garnet–cordierite thermometers and garnet–plagioclase–muscovite–biotite (GPMB), garnet–aluminosilicate–quartz–plagioclase (GASP) and garnet–biotite–plagioclase–quartz (GBPQ) barometers (Ferry and Spear, 1978; Perchuk et al., 1985; Aranovich et al., 1988; Hoisch, 1990; Holland and Powell, 1990; Berman, 1991; Dasgupta et al., 1991; Bhattacharya et al., 1992; Kleemann and Reinhardt, 1994; Holdaway, 2000; Henry et al., 2005; Powell and Holland, 2008).

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Sanandaj–Sirjan zone, or Zagros imbricate zone of the Zagros Orogen, Iran (Alavi, 1994, 2004) comprises a metamorphic belt of low- to high-grade regional and contact metamorphic rocks that have been intruded by mafic, intermediate and felsic magmas (Fig. 1). Major magmatism in the Sanandaj–Sirjan zone occurred during the Mesozoic (e.g., Baharifar, 1997, 2004; Sepahi, 1999; Rashidnejad-Omran et al., 2002; Sheikholeslami et al., 2003; Sepahi et al., 2004; Ahmadi-Khalaji et al., 2007). Altogether, the crystallisation ages of the major plutons range from Mesozoic to Paleogene, ~200 to ~40 Ma (Valizadeh and Cantagrel, 1975; Braud, 1987; Masoudi, 1997; Baharifar, 2004; Ahmadi-Khalaji et al., 2007; Arvin et al., 2007;
Shahbazi et al., 2010; Ahadnejad et al., 2011; Mahmoudi et al., 2011; Esna-Ashari et al., 2012). The time span of magmatism in the Sanandaj–Sirjan zone of the Zagros Orogen can be related to different stages of magmatism resulted from opening of an ocean, later subduction of the oceanic crust, and collision and post-collision (post-orogenic) stages of magmatism. Because the plutonic rocks of the area studied are mid-Jurassic in age (Shahbazi et al., 2010), magmatism and metamorphism of the region is attributed to subduction of the Neo-Tethys Ocean beneath the central Iranian micro-continent and to the subsequent collision of the Afro-Arabian continent and Eurasia from Jurassic to Neogene times (e.g., Baharifar, 1997, 2004; Sepahi, 1999; Sepahi et al., 2004; Shahbazi et al., 2010). Sepahi et al. (2004) have referred to an arc-type metamorphism followed by a somewhat higher pressure event.

Plutonic rocks of the Alvand Plutonic Complex, as a major plutonic body of the northwestern Sanandaj–Sirjan zone, have been divided into three rock associations (Sepahi, 2008): gabros–diorites–tonalites (GDT), porphyritic granodiorites and granites and leucocratic granitoids. The GDT association is composed of olivine gabro, gabbro, gabbro–norite, quartz gabbro, diorite, quartz diorite and tonalite. In the granodiorite–granite suite, porphyritic monzogranite is the most frequent rock type. Leucocratic granitoids are composed of leucotonalites, leucogradonitoids and leucogranites. U-Pb dating shows a Jurassic crystallisation age for the Alvand Pluton. Gabbros formed at 166.5 ± 1.8 Ma, granites at 163.9 ± 0.9 Ma and 161.7 ± 0.6 Ma, and leucocratic granitoids at 154.4 ± 1.3 and 153.3 ± 2.7 Ma (Shahbazi et al., 2010). K-Ar cooling ages between 90 and 63 Ma (for micas of the granitic rocks) were reported by Valizadeh and Cantagrel (1975). Rb-Sr ages be-
between 89 and 68 Ma (for micas of the granitic rocks) were published by the same authors. Braud (1987) has determined a K-Ar cooling age of 64 ± 2 Ma for the porphyritic granite of the Alvand Pluton.

Metamorphic rocks of the area are meta-pelites and minor meta-psammites, quartzites, meta-basites, calc schists and calc silicates. Meta-pelites include slate, phyllite, pelitic schist/migmatite and hornfels (Fig. 1). The metamorphic rocks of theTueyserkan area have been subjected both regional and contact metamorphism. Regional metamorphic zones of chlorite, biotite, garnet, andalusite (chastolite), sillimanite and sillimanite–(±cordierite)–K-feldspar and contact metamorphic zones of cordierite (±andalusite), cordierite–K-feldspar and sillimanite–K-feldspar are widespread around the Alvand Pluton, especially in the Tueyserkan area. A detailed description of metamorphic rocks and zones was undertaken during earlier researches (e.g., Sepahi et al., 2004, 2009).

ANALYTICAL METHODS

About 100 thin sections from various plutonic and metamorphic rocks were evaluated for petrographic investigation. EPMA analyses of various minerals (Appendices 1–11) were made at the IMPRC, Karaj, Iran, using a Cameca SX100 electron microprobe under 15 kV accelerating voltage, 20 nA beam current and 52–0 μm beam diameter. AX (free download from webpage: http://www.esc.cam.ac.uk/astaff/ holland/index.html) and THERMOCALC (free download) softwares were used for mineral formulae calculations, P–T estimations and petrogenetic interpretations.

FIELD OBSERVATIONS AND PETROGRAPHY

Considering that data on the field relations and petrography of the plutonic and metamorphic rocks of the region has been published previously (Sepahi et al., 2004, 2009; Sepahi, 2008, see the section on the geological setting, above), we here only briefly refer to field observations and petrography of the selected rock samples (i.e., we do not present complete descriptions of all of the rock types of the region).

PLUTONIC ROCKS

Various plutonic rocks, ranging from gabbro to granite, crop out in the Tueyserkan area. These were intruded by aplitic and pegmatitic dikes. The granites contain feldspar megacrysts in some field exposures. They are composed of quartz, K-feldspar, plagioclase and biotite (Fig. 2A); muscovite and zircon are accessory minerals. Some restitic xenocrysts of Al2SiO5-minerals (andalusite and sillimanite) and garnets occur in these rocks. Mafic rocks include olivine gabbro, gabbro and norite. Olivine gabbro has subhedral granular to intergranular texture and is composed of olivine, clinopyroxene and plagioclase as major minerals and orthopyroxene, amphibole and biotite as minor minerals (Fig. 2B). Plagioclase–olivine coronas occur occasionally. Gabbro has intergranular, ophitic and sub-ophitic textures and is composed of clinopyroxene and plagioclase as major phases (Fig. 2C) and olivine and hornblende as minor minerals. Norite has subhedral granular to sub-ophitic texture and is composed of orthopyroxene and plagioclase (Fig. 2D), minor olivine and clinopyroxene and accessory apatite.

METAMORPHIC ROCKS AND MIGMATITES

Hornfels and migmatite are the most common metamorphic rocks in the area. Field observations indicate a gradual change in the degree of anatexis from metatexite through diatexite to garnet-bearing granite in the area (Fig. 3) but the order of rock units towards the plutonic bodies is not regular in many outcrops.

In migmatites, partial melting fronts were initiated around various porphyroblasts, especially Al2SiO5 minerals and cordi-

Fig. 2. Photomicrographs of (A) porphyroid granite, (B) olivine gabbro, (C) gabbro and (D) norite

Fig. 3. Gradual changes from metatexite to diatexite to garnet-bearing granite while garnet ± andalusite/sillimanite porphyroblasts have remained metastable in the anatectic rocks

From A to H degree of partial melting is increasing gradually in anatectic rocks: A, B – metatexite migmatite, C–E – diatexite migmatite, F – sillimanite–garnet-bearing diatectic granite and G, H – garnet-bearing granite; length of scales is: 5 mm (A), 200 mm (B, G), 100 mm (C), 200 mm (D, E), 40 mm (F), diameter of coin is 15 mm (H)
Er, and then migrated to other parts of the rock. During anatexis, garnet and aluminosilicate (andalusite, sillimanite) porphyroblasts remained partly stable but floated and were re-distributed in the viscous mush when the partial melt reached its critical moving threshold.

Among the hornfelses, garnet–staurolite–Al₂SiO₅ and andalusite–fibrolite–cordierite–garnet-bearing hornfels (Fig. 4A–C) prevail. The typical mineral assemblage of the first rock type is quartz + biotite + muscovite + andalusite/sillimanite (rarely kyanite) + staurolite + garnet + chlorite ± spinel, and for the second rock type is quartz + biotite + muscovite + K-feldspar + cordierite ± andalusite (fibrolite) ± spinel. Replacement of andalusite by spinel + cordierite coexisting with biotite is common in some metamorphic rocks (see also Saki, 2011). Cordierite-bearing migmatites are widespread but garnet–sillimanite-bearing migmatites occur in some parts of the metamorphic aureole as well. The typical mineral assemblage of this migmatite is quartz + K-feldspar + plagioclase + biotite + garnet ± sillimanite/andalusite. Overgrowths of sodic plagioclase on K-feldspar occur in places (Fig. 4D). Other migmatite types are spinel–andalusite migmatites, garnet–cordierite migmatites and cordierite–spinel migmatites. Cordierite + K-feldspar + sillimanite/andalusite + biotite + plagioclase + quartz ± garnet ± spinel is a typical assemblage seen near the plutonic rocks in the Tueyserkan area. For comparison, the mineral assemblage of cordierite-bearing migmatites closely resembles D-1 to D-4 assemblages from the deep-seated Steinach aureole of Oberpfalz, North-East Bavaria, Germany reported by Okrusch (1969, 1971).

MINERAL CHEMISTRY

EPMA analysis on various minerals including olivine, clinopyroxene, orthopyroxene, amphibole, biotite and plagioclase in plutonic rocks and cordierite, garnet, plagioclase, biotite, chlorite, muscovite, staurolite, Al₂SiO₅-minerals and spinel in metamorphic rocks are shown in Appendices 1–11.

MINERAL CHEMISTRY OF THE PLUTONIC ROCKS

The results of EPMA analyses for olivine crystals from the gabbroic rocks are given in Appendix 1. Chemical compositions of these crystals lie in the olivine field. Representative results of clinopyroxene from the same rocks (Appendix 2) plot close to the calcic clinopyroxene (augite) field. Representative results of orthopyroxene crystals (Appendix 3) lie in the bronzite, hypersthene and ferrohypersthene fields. Representative results of amphibole crystals (Appendix 4) reveal a typical calcic amphibole composition. EPMA analyses of biotite (Appendix 5) lie in the eastonite–siderophyllite fields near the phlogopite–anneite fields. Plagioclase crystals (Appendix 6) from various mafic plutonic rocks lie in the andesine, labradorite and bytownite fields.

MINERAL CHEMISTRY OF THE META-PELITIC METAMORPHIC ROCKS

EPMA analyses have been carried out on cordierite, garnet, spinel, biotite, staurolite, white mica, Al₂SiO₅-minerals, chlorite, plagioclase and K-feldspar. Representative results are shown in Appendices 7 to 11. Cordierite has intermediate Mg# number (0.489–0.580; Appendix 7, Mg# \( = \frac{Mg}{(Mg + Fe + Mn)} \)). garnets show zoning in some cases but they are almandine-rich pyroxspites with small amounts of grossular in their compositions (X_Mn = almandine content in garnet = 0.80–0.90, Appendix 9). Staurolite crystals are Fe-rich and their Mg numbers (Mg#) are 0.121–0.132. Al₂SiO₅ minerals have minor to trace amounts of Fe and Mg as inclusions (FeO – 0.00–1.12 wt.% and MgO – 0.02–0.29 wt.%). Spinels are Fe-rich, thus hercynitic with minor amounts of Cr, Mg and Mn in composition. Fe/(Fe + Mg) in biotites is 0.57–0.68. Chemical compositions of biotite crystals (Appendix 9) lie in the siderophyllite–anneite fields. White mica compositions lie in the common muscovite range but they also contain minor amounts of Na₂O (Appendix 10) whereas chlorite compositions plot in the rhiphidolite field. Chemical compositions of plagioclase lie in the oligoclase–andesine field (Appendix 11).
K-feldspar crystals contain up to 90 wt.% orthoclase component.

**THERMOBAROMETRY**

In thermobarometric studies, we may determine the \( P - T \) conditions which rocks sustained during equilibrium crystallisation. For this purpose, petrographic studies and determination of the chemical composition of individual minerals by various analytical techniques such as EPMA are essential. Several geothermometers and geobarometers have been introduced by geologists in recent years. We have examined one thermometric (i.e., clinopyroxene–orthopyroxene thermometry) and one barometric (clinopyroxene–plagioclase barometry) method to obtain \( P - T \) conditions of crystallisation of the gabbroic rocks. Then, we calculated \( P - T \) conditions of the metapelitic metamorphic rocks of the region using the mineral chemistry of the index minerals. In this regard, it was important to us to be sure that if the geothermobarometric results are in accordance with the idea that the Alvand Pluton has been a possible heat source for metamorphism and anatexis in the region.

**THERMOBAROMETRY OF THE MAFIC PLUTONIC ROCKS**

Mineral chemistry of orthopyroxene, clinopyroxene, amphibole and plagioclase used to determine the \( P - T \) conditions during the crystallisation of the gabbroic rocks.

**CLINOPYROXENE–ORTHOPYROXENE THERMOMETRY**

Assuming a pressure of 5 kbar (see section on barometry, below) the clinopyroxene–orthopyroxene thermometer of Lindsley (1983) yields crystallisation temperatures of 1200–1300°C for the olivine gabbro and 900–1100°C for the gabbro (Fig. 5).

**CLINOPYROXENE–LAGIOCLASE BAROMETRY**

The application of the barometric equations of McCarthy and Patino-Douce (1998) for coexisting clinopyroxene and plagioclase:

\[
P = [5.066 \pm 0.780 + ((1300 \pm 800/T) - \ln K)/(276 \pm 16)]
\]

where: \( T \) = temperature ±2.5°C, \( P \) = pressure [kbar], \( K = \alpha(T)/\alpha(298) \) and \( \alpha \) results in pressure estimates between 5.5 and 6.5 kbars, \( \alpha_{\text{an}} \) and \( \alpha_{\text{oh}} \) are anorthite activity in plagioclase and Ca-tschermak activity in Cpx, respectively.

\[
P = ([6.330 \pm 0.116] - \ln K)/(301 \pm 9) \times T [\pm 1.0 \text{ kbar}]
\]

We have considered the rim composition of plagioclase crystals for thermobarometric calculations where these are zoned.

**THERMOBAROMETRY OF THE METAPELITIC ROCKS**

Using the compositions of various index minerals of the meta-pelitic rocks, obtained from EPMA analyses, we employed various thermometric and barometric methods to constrain the \( P - T \) conditions during metamorphism.

**TI IN BIOTITE THERMOMETRY**

Biotite is one of the host minerals for Ti in metamorphic rocks, the concentration of which is controlled by temperature. According to Henry and Guidotti (2002) and Henry et al., (2005), the following equation can be used to calculate temperature:

\[
T = ([\ln(Ti) - a - c(X_{Mg})^3]/b)^{0.333}
\]

where: \( a = -2.3594 \), \( b = 4.6482 \times 10^{-5} \), \( c = -1.7283 \), \( X_{Mg} = Mg/(Mg + Fe) \)

On the basis of this equation, temperatures between 550 to 575°C for the garnet–staurolite hornfels and 675 to 725°C for the mesosomes of the migmatitic rocks were obtained (Fig. 6).

**GARNET–BIOTITE AND GARNET–CORDIERITE THERMOMETERS**

According to Ferry and Spear (1978), Fe\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) exchange between garnet and biotite is temperature-dependent.

---

*Fig. 5. Plot of composition of orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene on a Di–Hd–En–Fs rectangle with solvs curves (Lindsley, 1983)*

*Fig. 6. Plot of amounts of Ti versus Mg/(Mg + Fe) for biotites of the contact metamorphic rocks*
This cation exchange can be expressed by the following reaction:

\[
\text{Mg}_3\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_3\text{O}_12 \text{ (pyrope)} + 3\text{KFe}_3\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_3\text{O}_{10}(\text{OH})_2 \text{ (annite)} = 2\text{Fe}_3\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_3\text{O}_{10}(\text{almandine}) + 3\text{KMg}_3\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_3\text{O}_{10}(\text{OH})_2 \text{ (phlogopite)}. 
\]

The calibration by Kleemann and Reinhardt (1994) was used for temperature calculation related to this cation exchange reaction. Temperatures between 558 and 688°C for hornfelses and 759–790°C for migmatic rocks were obtained, which are in accordance with the observed mineralogical assemblages of these rocks. The garnet–cordierite thermometer (e.g., Aranovich and Podlesskii, 1989; Dwivedi, 1996) gave temperatures of 780 to 785°C, for migmatic rocks (Table 1).

**BAROMETRY**

Various geobarometers such as garnet–plagioclase–muscovite–biotite (GPMB), garnet–aluminosilicate–quartz–plagioclase (GASP) and garnet–biotite–plagioclase–quartz (GPBQ or GASP) were employed to estimate the pressure(s) of metamorphism. In the GPMB (garnet–plagioclase–muscovite–biotite) barometer, the following reactions were considered:

\[
\text{Grs} + \text{Prp} + \text{Ms} = 3\text{An} + \text{Phi} \\
\text{Grs} + \text{Alm} + \text{Ms} = 3\text{An} + \text{Ann} \\
\text{Alm} + \text{Phi} = \text{Prp} + \text{Ann} 
\]

(Mineral name abbreviations in these equations are from Whitney and Evans, 2010). For the minerals from the Alvand country rocks the various calibrations of the GPMB barometer yield pressures between 4.5 to 6 kbars and migmatic (Table 2). In the GASP barometer the essential reference reaction is: Sill + Qtz + Grt = An. By using the chemical compositions of minerals in various calibrations for GASP, pressure estimations for hornfels and migmatic are various but lie in a range between 4.4 to 6.8 kbars (Table 2).

The GPBQ barometry is based on the following Mg-Fe-model equilibria (e.g., Hoisch, 1990): Mg$_3$Al$_2$Si$_3$O$_12$ (pyrope) + Fe$_3$Al$_2$Si$_3$O$_{10}$ (grosular) + 3KFe$_3$Al$_2$Si$_3$O$_{10}$ (easonite) + 6SiO$_2$ (quartz) = 6CaAl$_2$Si$_3$O$_9$ (anorthite) + 3KMg$_3$Al$_2$Si$_3$O$_{10}$ (phlogopite) and Fe$_3$Al$_2$Si$_3$O$_{12}$ (almandine) + 2Ca$_2$Al$_2$Si$_3$O$_{12}$ (grosular) + 3KFe$_3$Al$_2$Si$_3$O$_{10}$ (siderophyllite) + 6SiO$_2$ (quartz) = 6CaAl$_2$Si$_3$O$_9$ (anorthite) + 3KFe$_3$Al$_2$Si$_3$O$_{10}$ (annite). By using the chemical compositions of minerals in GPBQ, pressures estimated for hornfels and migmatic are between 4.9 to 5.5 kbars (Table 2).

**THERMOCALC**

In this method, equilibrium curves of various possible reactions between minerals are used for estimation of P–T conditions. To achieve this goal the TC3.2 and AX programs were applied (see analytical method section above, for address). The results of P–T estimation obtained by the THERMOCALC program for various rocks are shown in Figures 7–10. For the garnet–staurolite hornfels temperatures of 620–650°C (at ~5 kbar, Figs. 7 and 8) were obtained. The spinel–cordierite-bearing sample yielded temperatures of 720–760°C (at 4.5–5.5 kbars, Fig. 9) and the garnet-cordierite-bearing sample ~750°C (at 5.0–5.5 kbars, Fig. 10). The estimated temperatures for various hornfelses are 560–630°C at pressures of 4–5.5 kbars. These temperatures extend up to 700–800°C for migmatic rocks.

**Table 1**

Results of geothermometry for the garnet-bearing hornfels and migmatic using various calibrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Garnet–cordierite thermometry</th>
<th>Garnet–biotite thermometry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>migmatic (N31)</td>
<td>migmatic (N31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>779</td>
<td>824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>798</td>
<td>759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>785</td>
<td>803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Table 2**

Results of geobarometry of the garnet-bearing hornfels and migmatic using various calibrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>GASP</th>
<th>GPMB</th>
<th>GPBQ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hornfels (A5)</td>
<td>migmatic (N31)</td>
<td>hornfels (A5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. P–T conditions obtained by the THERMOCALC program for the garnet–staurolite-bearing rocks using the chemical compositions of rims of garnet and staurolite crystals

1 – GRS + 2And + Qz = 3An; 2 – 32Alm + 23And + 75Clc = 125Prp + 24Fst + 252H2O; 3 – 69Clc + 6Fst + 117Qz = 115Prp + 24Fst + 252H2O; 4 – 4Phl + 96Ky + 3Cel = 5Prp + 7Ms; 5 – 3Eas + 2Qz = 3An + 2Ab + H2O; 6 – 90Alm + 3Qz = 69And + 12H2O; 7 – 25Gr + 8Alm + 96And + 12H2O = 75An + 3Fst + Sil; 8 – 64Alm + 318And + 75Ame = 100Prp + 48Fst + 204H2O

Fig. 8. P–T conditions obtained by the THERMOCALC program for the garnet–staurolite-bearing rocks by using the chemical compositions of cores of the garnet and staurolite crystals

1 – 23Ann + 6Fst + 48Qz = 31Alm + 23Ms + 12H2O; 2 – 51Alm + 48Pg + 8Clim = 17Gr + 48Ab + 10Mst + 6H2O; 3 – 8Gr + 46Pg + 21Qz = 46Ab + 6Mst + 34H2O; 4 – 26Ab + 7Clc = 24Mst + 17Prp + 26Pg + 10H2O; 5 – GRS + 2Pg + 3Qz = 3An + 2Ab + 2H2O; 6 – 23Gr + 6Fst + 48Qz = 8Alm + 69An + 12H2O; 7 – GRS + 3Eas + 2Oz = Prp + 3An + 3Cel; 8 – 17Gr + 8Ame + 20Ms + 2Mst = 20Eas + 51An + 36H2O; 9 – 159An + 48Ame = 4Prp + 53Gr + 18Ms + 156H2O; 10 – 109Gr + 192Ccl + 66Sil = 88Alm + 327An + 240Ams + 60H2O; 11 – Prp + 2Gr + 3Eas + 6Qz = 3Phl + 6An + 53H2O

Fig. 9. P–T conditions obtained by the THERMOCALC program for rocks which have spinel–cordierite intergrowths

1 – Spl + 5Phl + 10And = 3Crd + 3Eas; 2 – 15Her + Phl = 3Spl + Ann; 3 – 3Hc + 2Phl + 15Qz = 3Crd + 2Ann; 4 – 3Her + 16Phl + 30And = 9Crd + Ann + 15Eas; 5 – 2Ann + 6Pg + 15Qz = 3Fcrd + 6Ab + 2Sa + 8H2O; 6 – 3Fcrd + 6Eas + 21Qz = 6Crd + 2Ann + 4Sa + 4H2O; 7 – 3Fcrd + 6Eas = 12Spl + 2Ann + 4Sa + 4H2O; 8 – 2Her + 5Qz = Ford; 9 – Ann + 3Sil = 3Hc + 3Qz + 5an + H2O; 10 – 2Phl + Ann + 15Pg = 6Hc + 2Phl + 15Sil + 15Ab + 15H2O

Fig. 10. P–T conditions obtained by the THERMOCALC program for the garnet–cordierite-bearing migmatitic rocks

1 – 3Fcrd + 2Ame + 7Qz = 4Crd + 2Alm + 8H2O; 2 – 12Sil + Prp + 5Phl = 4Crd + 5Eas; 3 – 4Sil + 2Prp + 5Qz = 3Crd; 4 – 4Crd + 7Phl + 6Ame = 13Prp + 7Eas + 2H2O; 5 – 2Sa + 2Ann + 3Ame + 9Qz + 3Crd + 4Phl + 10H2O; 6 – 12Crd + 29Phl + 18Ame = 39Prp + 8Ann + 2Feas + 72H2O
DISCUSSION

We estimated temperatures for various metamorphic rocks near the gabbroic bodies. Estimated temperatures for hornfelses are 560–630°C at pressures of 4–5.5 kbars. Temperatures extend up to 700–800°C for migmatic rocks near the gabbroic plutonic rocks. These estimated P–T conditions and the results of previous studies (e.g., Sepahi, 1999, 2008; Baharifar, 2004; Sepahi et al., 2004, 2008) indicate that the Alvand aureole is a mesozonal deep-seated aureole with conditions that are different from those of shallow-level epizonal aureoles. It is obvious that in this deep-seated contact aureole there is an increase in temperature towards the igneous contact, but the order of metamorphic zones has been complicated due to younger tectonic events in the region.

Our thermobarometric results are important because there have been disputes among geologists who studied partial melting in the Hamedan area and the resulting rocks such as anatetic migmatites (metatexites and diatexites) and restite-rich S-type granites in terms of the possible source of the heat (e.g., Baharifar, 2004; Sepahi, 2008; Sepahi et al., 2009; Sakí et al., 2012). Sepahi (1999) reported migmatites in the region for the first time but he argued that the heat of the plutonic bodies at the present outcrop level of the crust was not sufficient to cause partial melting in the contact aureole (Sepahi, 2008). This interpretation was raised from field observations that show that major anatetic migmatites are located near the granitic plutons (e.g., in the Simin Valley south of Hamedan) but are absent near the contact with the gabbroic rocks (e.g., near Cheshmeh-Ghassaban village, west of Hamedan). Baharifar (2004) considered that the heat of the granitic intrusions could have been responsible for anatexis in the metapelites. Here we postulate the interpretation that the heat for anatexis came from crystallising gabbroic melt because it seems rather unlikely that granitic intrusions with an intrusion temperature between 700 and 800°C would cause anatexis sufficiently intense to produce widespread anatetic migmatites in the Tueyserkan and adjacent areas of the Hamedan region.

The geochronological order of the mafic and felsic plutonism (gabbroic intrusions at 166.5 ± 1.8 Ma and formation of S-type granite at 163.9 ± 0.9 Ma and 161.7 ± 0.6 Ma; Shahbazi et al., 2010) indicates an evolutionary path similar to that proposed by Hyndman (1985) for magmatism and metamorphism in a continental arc setting. According to this model, hot fluids released by dehydration reactions from hydrous minerals together with the heat of mafic magmas (such as gabbros) are responsible for metamorphism, partial melting and generation of anatetic migmatites (metatexites and diatexites) and granitic magmas (the S-type monzogranites of the study area) in the arc crust. In this model, the felsic anatetic magmas end up as high level plutons piercing through the metamorphic pile of the arc environment, and thereby postdating the regional metamorphism by a brief interval. Our estimated temperatures for mafic plutonic rocks (~1300°C) as possible source of the heat, and the maximum temperature estimated for anatetic melts (~750°C) are in accordance with the model of plutonic-metamorphic events suggested by Hyndman (1985).

CONCLUSIONS

EPMA analyses of minerals from gabbroic rocks of the Alvand Pluton indicate that olivine is Fo71–72. Clinopyroxene is almost augite, amphiboles are calcic (hornblende–tremolite–actinolite), biotite is eatonite–siderophyllite and plagioclase is andesine–labradorite–bytownite in composition. These mineral compositions indicate a typical sub-alkaline magma. Crystallisation temperatures for these rocks were 900–1300°C. These rocks crystallised at pressures of around 5–6 kbars. In the metamorphic rocks, cordierite has intermediate Mg², garnet is almandine-rich, spinel is Fe-rich (hercynitic), staurolite is Fe-rich, biotite is siderophyllite–aninite and plagioclase is oligoclase–andesine in composition.

Our study reveals that the gabbroic intrusions at deeper levels of the crust (up to 1300°C at >5 kbar equal to >15 km depth) could have acted as the essential heat source for the intense anatexis and formation of the anatetic migmatites. Thus, the S-type granites are not considered as the heat suppliers of the anatexis but as the final products of an intense partial melting process caused by substantial advective heating by the gabbroic intrusion. The subsequent intrusion of some anatetic magmas to the upper levels of the crust caused reheating in the metamorphic rocks close by.

According to Sepahi (2008) and Sepahi et al. (2009) and the results of this study, plutonism and metamorphism in the Hamedan region, especially in the Tueyserkan area, occurred in the middle crust (15–20 km) of a continental margin which subsequently evolved in a continental collision environment (i.e., collision zone of the Afro-Arabian continent and the central Iranian micro-continent as a southern part of the Eurasia super-continent). The signatures of a later, slightly higher pressure event on previous high T-low P arc-type metamorphism (Sepahi et al., 2004), can be attributed to this type of geological evolution in the region.

Field observations show that the exposed volumes of the gabbro-dioritic rocks are not adequate to cause widespread migmatisation and production of S-type granites in the Tueyserkan area. We therefore suggest the existence of larger volumes of mafic intrusions at deeper levels, responsible for anatexis and granitisation. According to field observations, megablocks and enclaves of such intrusions have been transported to higher levels of the crust by restite-rich, crystal-laden viscous granitic intrusions with their roots possibly located in the partial melting zone around mafic intrusions at deeper levels of the crust.
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