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Alternating field and thermal demagnetization of dolomite samples from the Silurian (Llandovery) horizontally-bedded sequence of central Estonia reveal two secondary magnetization components (A and B) both of chemical origin. A low-coercivity (demagnetized at ≤50 mT) component A (D = 60.7°, I = 7.7°, α = 16.6°) with high dispersion (k = 14.2), yields a palaeopole at 18.2°N and 139.5°E that points towards the Late Devonian — Mississippian segment of the Baltic APWP (Apparent Polar Wander Path). A high-coercivity component B (D = 13.5°, I = 66.7°, k = 67.0, α = 4.7°) carries both normal and reversed polarities. Comparing the palaeopole (71.1°N and 173.3°E) with the European APWP reveals a Cretaceous age. These two remagnetizations are linked to mineral assemblages of magnetite and maghemite (A), and hematite (B) determined from mineralogical (X-ray, SEM and optical microscopy) and rock magnetic (acquisition and thermal demagnetization of a 3-component IRM, Lowrie-test) studies. The results suggest that the first (A) Palaeozoic remagnetization was caused by low-temperature hydrothermal circulation due to the influence of the Caledonian (more likely) or Hercynian Orogeny after the diagenetic dolomitization of carbonates. Hematite, carrying the component B, and goethite, are the latest ferromagnetic minerals that have precipitated into the existing pore space (hematite) and walls of microscopic fractures (goethite) that opened to allow access for oxygen-rich fluids during the Late Mesozoic.
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INTRODUCTION

We applied rock magnetic and mineralogical methods to specify the age of magnetic minerals in dolostones from the Rõstla quarry (58.7°N, 25.8°E) Central Estonia (Fig. 1A) in order to establish their post-sedimentational history. The exposed thickness of the dolostones, mined for building stone, is about 7 m. Stratigraphically, the dolomites belong to the Mõhküla Beds that form the uppermost part of the Raikküla Stage, dating to the middle Llandovery (443–428 Ma), Early Silurian (Fig. 1B). The beds formed in shallower open shelf conditions at the end of the differentiation stage (late Caradoc–mid Llandovery) of the Baltic Palaeobasin (Nestor and Einasto, 1997). The skeletal remains of fossils and lithological characteristics support a normal marine origin of primary carbonates. Teedumäe et al. (2001), who gave a detailed description of the Rõstla section, noted two dolomitization events associated with the section at Rõstla. According to their interpretation of the X-ray fluorescence and geological data, the first event occurred soon after deposition, probably at the end of the mid Llandovery (Silurian). Later events of mineralization (including dolomitization and karstification) have altered the rocks along fracture and disturbance zones mainly. Jürgenson (1970) suggested that the dolomitization was a long-lasting process, which took place mainly after the Devonian, whereas Vingisaar and Taalmann (1974) proposed a Late Silurian–Early Devonian late diagenetic age for all varieties of Estonian dolomites. Also, a hydrothermal origin of dolomitization has been proposed for the fracture zone-related sulphide-bearing dolomite bodies (Vahe et al., 1962).
finely crystalline (Fig. 2C), mainly pale beige, wavy- or nodular-bedded argillaceous dolomite, is represented by samples RR4–RR6, RS1, RS2, RS7, RO1, RO2 and RO6. In places, this variety has a black, red or violet colour within the lense-like interbeds of skeletal-silicified grainstone, or, in nodules. Massive dolomite dyke-like bodies that cross-cut the quarry represent the third variety (samples RR7–RR9, RS5, RS6 and RO5, Fig. 2D). These consist of medium to coarse-grained (locally greenish-grey) dolomites that do not show any sedimentary features but contain relatively voluminous (up to a several hundreds cm³) caverns. Overall, the walls of caverns show large (up to a few mm) rhombs of dolomite crystals with rare rusty impregnation. Most likely, the third variety of dolomites post-date varieties I and II.

Representative parts of the hand-samples were used for mineralogical and petrophysical (density and porosity) studies at the Department of Geology, University of Tartu; and another part for magnetic studies at the Laboratory for Palaeomagnetism, Geological Survey of Finland and at the Solid Earth Geophysics research laboratory, University of Helsinki, Finland. Thin sections of the samples were studied microscopically. The mineral composition of selected whole-rock samples and mineral fractions were investigated as unoriented powder preparations on a Dron-3M diffractometer using Ni-filtered Cu Kα radiation from 2 to 55°, 20 with 0.02° 20 step size, and 5 s counting time. The quantitative mineral composition of the samples was found by the full-profile Rietveld method by using Siroquant 2.5TM code (Taylor, 1991). Scanning electron microscope and electron microprobe studies were performed at the Institute of Electron Optics, Oulu University.

A total of 233 cylindrical specimens were drilled from samples. The densities and porosities of the specimens were determined with the Archimedes principle by weighing the water-saturated and oven-dried specimens in air and water. Measurements of magnetic susceptibility (χ) and natural remanent magnetization (NRM) were made. Most of the specimens were demagnetized stepwise with an alternating field (AF) of up to 160 mT. In several cases the demagnetization was stopped earlier, when the intensity of NRM decreased below the level of instrumental noise (~0.03 mAm⁻³). After each step the intensity and direction of NRM were measured using a superconducting (SQUID) magnetometer. Eleven specimens were thermally demagnetized until the 680°C or thermal step (usually between 250 to 500°C) when a drastic increase in magnetic susceptibility or remanence occurred, reflecting mineralogical changes due to heating (goethite/maghemite to hematite, see e.g., Dunlop and Özdemir, 1997). Individual NRM measurements were subjected to a joint analysis by stereographic plots, demagnetization decay curves, orthogonal demagnetization diagrams (Zijderveld, 1967), and principal component analysis (Kirschvink, 1980) to obtain the characteristic remanence directions. Fisher (1953) statistics was used to calculate mean remanence directions. To identify the carriers of remanence, eleven samples were tested by coercivity (with a maximum available magnetizing field of 1.5 T) and unlocking temperature properties (Lowrie, 1990; Fig. 3) by step-wise heating in air.

SAMPLES AND METHODS

Twenty-six hand-samples (RR1–RR9, RS1–RS10, RO1–RO7), which represent three varieties (hereafter I, II and III) of dolomites, were collected from the Rõstla quarry in different years (1998, 2004, and 2005) and thus, from different positions of the face. The samples were oriented with a geological compass taking the effect of declination (~5°) into account. Variety I comes from the uppermost interval of the quarry (samples RR1–RR3, RS3, RS4, RS8–RS10, RO3, RO4 and RO10). It represents a finely crystalline, pale yellow dolomite with reddish nodular areas. The nodular areas are coarser and more porous, and have sharply violet-tinted rims at the contacts with the finely crystalline parts (Fig. 2A, B). Variety II, micro-
Fig. 2. Macro- and microscopic photos of the Röstla dolomites

A — finely crystalline yellow dolomite (variety I) with violet hematite-rich concentrations that contain coarser dolomite crystals and is more porous than the surroundings rock; B — finely crystalline dolomite in plane polarized light, sample RR1 (variety I). The photograph is taken from the sharp violet-tinged rim representing higher hematite concentrations. Inside the reddish nodule-like hematite-rich masses (the lowermost part of the photo) the dolomite crystals are coarser (0.04–0.15 mm), whereas in the outside part (the uppermost part of the photo) the crystals are finer, with diameters of <0.05 mm; C — dolomite (paler) crystals and hematite (darker) surrounding an ~1.5 mm open void (sample RR4; variety II). The concentration of hematite is higher adjacent to the void; D — concentration of darker minerals is higher along the cracks (specimen RO5–4, variety III); E — spheres of goethite (dark) occurring on the walls of dolomite (pale crystals; sample RR2; variety I); F — SEM backscattered image of pale radial aggregates (goethite according to morphological parameters; composed of 81 wt.% of iron oxide according to Electron Probe Microanalyzer — EPMA data) filling the cracks (black) in dolomite (dark grey; sample RO3; variety I)
Fig. 3A and B — progressive acquisition of IRM (isothermal remanent magnetization); C–H — thermal demagnetization of a three-component IRM produced by magnetizing the sample in 1500 mT along its z-axis, followed by 400 mT along the y-axis, and finally 120 mT along the x-axis.
RESULTS

MINERALOGY

Based on XRD data the samples are dolomites to argillaceous dolomites (Table 1). Most of the samples represent pure dolostones with a dolomite content from 92 to 97%. In three samples of variety II the dolomite content is somewhat lower (71–76%), the rest being clay minerals, quartz and K-feldspar. The only magnetic mineral recognized in whole-rock samples in a detectable amount (i.e. >0.5%) is hematite, which comprises up to 2.2% of the composition of variety II. Binocular microscopic and SEM observations reveal that, apart from hematite, the presence of goethite that occurs as secondary reddish to dark-brown spherolitic aggregates in the form of surface coatings on fracture planes and dissolution mould walls (Fig. 2E, F).

The yellowish and reddish argillaceous finely crystalline dolomite of varieties I and II is composed of micro-to-finely crystalline (<0.05 mm) masses of tightly interlocking anhedral-to-subhedral dolomite crystal mosaics, which may contain floating, medium-crystalline (0.05–0.2 mm) dolomite euhedral rhombs. Dolomite crystals are cloudy and both in plane and polarized light are unzoned. The subhedral crystals have mostly planar boundaries (Fig. 2B).

Varieties I and II contain patches and laminae of medium-to-coarsely crystalline (0.05–0.5 mm) dolomite porous frameworks, which are frequently found around fractures and (crinoid) dissolution moulds. The intergranular pores of these areas are filled with submicroscopic hematite masses giving a reddish or dark violet colour (Fig. 2A–C). Hematite in redish-coloured areas and belts of the finely-to-microcrystalline dolomite occurs along the intergranular contacts of the dolomite crystallites and it is preferentially concentrated along the boundaries with the medium-to-coarse dolomite areas (Fig. 2B).

Variety III is composed of replacive medium-to-coarse crystalline (>0.2 mm) anhedral-to-euhedral dolomite rhombs that vary in grain size, and which are remarkably more coarse-grained (up to several mm) towards the abundant fractures and cavities. The crystals are turbid and commonly complexly zoned in plane polarized light. The crystal boundaries of the euhedral dolomite crystallites are mainly nonplanar (tangential) and crystal boundaries are rarely partly curved. The euhedral dolomite individual crystals are in most cases cemented by intergranular xenomorphic dolomite, which shows sector-like extinction in polarized light.

The acquisition curves of isothermal remanent magnetization (IRM; Fig. 3A, B) reveal steep (at <0.3 to 0.4 T) and gentle (at >0.5 T) gradients with no saturation reached in 1.5 T. Thermal demagnetization curves of the 3 axes IRM from variety I samples (Fig. 3C–F) show a relatively smooth decay at the soft and hard fractions indicating a domination of hematite at a wide range of coercivities. The demagnetization curve of the medium (0.12 to 0.4 T) fraction shows, additionally to the signal by hematite (Fig. 3C, F), slightly steeper gradients between 350 to 400°C (Fig. 3C, F) and 520 to 560°C indicating the presence of some maghemite and magnetite. Signal of hematite at the low-coercivity fraction curves may be due to the presence of large multi-domain grains or indicates heat-caused mineralogical changes during the treatment. Varieties II and III reveal hematite producing the high (0.4 to 1.5 T) coercivity component (Fig. 3G, H) whereas magnetite and possibly some maghemite (Fig. 3H) are responsible for the demagnetizing behaviour of the soft and medium coercivity fractions. No clear

### Quantitative mineral composition of Rōtslø dolomites by XRD powder patterns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>Chlorite</th>
<th>Ilite</th>
<th>Quartz</th>
<th>K-feldspar</th>
<th>Albite</th>
<th>Dolomite</th>
<th>Hematite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RR1-3c</td>
<td>A+B&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.4 ±0.8</td>
<td>1.5 ±0.2</td>
<td>2.3 ±0.5</td>
<td>2.1 ±0.4</td>
<td>91.7±1.0</td>
<td>tr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR2-1c</td>
<td>A+B&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.7 ±0.8</td>
<td>1.9 ±0.2</td>
<td>2.9 ±0.5</td>
<td>1.4 ±0.3</td>
<td>92.5±0.9</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR3-1c</td>
<td>A+B&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.4 ±0.6</td>
<td>1.1 ±0.1</td>
<td>1.4 ±0.3</td>
<td>0.7 ±0.3</td>
<td>95.4±0.7</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR4-1d</td>
<td>A+B&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>2.7 ±0.4</td>
<td>7.9 ±0.6</td>
<td>8.1 ±0.1</td>
<td>8.5 ±0.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>70.6±0.6</td>
<td>2.2±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR5-3d</td>
<td>A+B&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>2.5 ±0.4</td>
<td>6.1 ±0.6</td>
<td>6.7 ±0.1</td>
<td>8.1 ±0.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>75.4±0.6</td>
<td>1.2±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR6-1f</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.9 ±0.4</td>
<td>6.2 ±0.6</td>
<td>6.2 ±0.1</td>
<td>8.6 ±0.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>76.0±0.6</td>
<td>1.1±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS1-3a</td>
<td>A+B&lt;sub&gt;N&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>tr</td>
<td>1.6 ±0.2</td>
<td>1.9 ±0.3</td>
<td>1.4 ±0.3</td>
<td>94.3±0.5</td>
<td>tr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS2-3d</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.8 ±0.2</td>
<td>1.1 ±0.5</td>
<td>0.6 ±0.5</td>
<td>96.5±0.7</td>
<td>tr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR7-6c</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.8 ±0.7</td>
<td>1.0 ±0.2</td>
<td>1.6 ±0.4</td>
<td>1.4 ±0.4</td>
<td>93.2±0.8</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR8-3c</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.8 ±0.6</td>
<td>1.4 ±0.1</td>
<td>1.7 ±0.3</td>
<td>1.2 ±0.3</td>
<td>91.9±0.7</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR9-3c</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.8 ±1.2</td>
<td>1.2 ±0.2</td>
<td>1.0 ±0.7</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>94.4±1.4</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PS — palaeomagnetic signature (see text and Table 3 for explanations), where A (B) denotes presence of two different remanence directions. Subindex N(R) denotes normal (reversed) polarity of the characteristic remanence; tr — traces of mineral observed
indications of goethite, which has been found by mineralogical studies, have been found during the IRM test. It’s possible that goethite has not been saturated due to the relatively low field used to magnetize the samples, or is non-magnetic.

PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

The grain densities of the Rösla dolomites (Table 2) are lower than that of theoretical dolomite (2866 kg m\(^{-3}\); e.g., Johnsson and Olhoeft, 1984) and the contrasts between different varieties are negligible. Varieties I and II have high porosities (Table 2 and Fig. 4), which are most likely due to volume reduction by replacement of original carbonates (mainly calcite) by dolomite, as the latter has a smaller molar volume. Variety III has relatively low differences between grain and wet densities as mirrored in their low porosity (Table 2). However, due to the relatively small sizes of specimens (~11 cm\(^3\)), the effect of macro-porosity is not, taken into account thus the overall po-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Var.</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>(N_s)</th>
<th>(\rho_g) [kg m(^{-3})]</th>
<th>(\rho_w) [kg m(^{-3})]</th>
<th>(\phi) [%]</th>
<th>(\chi) ([\times 10^{-6} \text{ SI}])</th>
<th>NRM [mA m(^{-1})]</th>
<th>(Q) [-]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>A+B</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2801 ±16</td>
<td>2596 ±43</td>
<td>11.4 ±2.7</td>
<td>8 ±2</td>
<td>0.78 ±0.46</td>
<td>4.6 ±3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>A+B</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2800 ±15</td>
<td>2635 ±77</td>
<td>9.2 ±3.6</td>
<td>18 ±12</td>
<td>0.28 ±0.41</td>
<td>0.4 ±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2806 ±38</td>
<td>2740 ±65</td>
<td>3.7 ±1.7</td>
<td>15 ±7</td>
<td>0.07 ±0.03</td>
<td>0.2 ±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2802 ±22</td>
<td>2643 ±83</td>
<td>8.9 ±4.1</td>
<td>13 ±9</td>
<td>0.44 ±0.48</td>
<td>2.1 ±3.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Var. — variety of sampled dolomites (see text for I, II, and III), PS — palaeomagnetic signature, where A, B denotes presence of two different remanence directions, \(N_s\) — number of samples, \(\rho_g\) — grain density, \(\rho_w\) — wet density, \(\phi\) — porosity, \(\chi\) — magnetic susceptibility (volume normalized), NRM — intensity of the natural remanent magnetization, \(Q\) — Koenigsberger ratio

Fig. 4A — porosity vs. grain density; B — grain density vs. magnetic susceptibility; C — intensity of natural remanent magnetization (NRM) vs. magnetic susceptibility for dolomite specimens from the Rösla quarry

In C the Koenigsberger ratio (\(Q\)) is indicated by inclined lines; intensity of NRM and magnetic susceptibility are given on a logarithmic scale
osity of the variety III type of dolomites is higher than the laboratory porosity due to the presence of visible vugs, which are comparable to the sizes of the specimens.

Based on the values of natural remanent magnetization intensity, the samples are divided into two groups with relatively high and low values of NRM. The first group, represented by the first variety of dolomites, reveals high intensities (NRM >0.4 mA m⁻¹). The ferruginous-rich specimens of variety II belong to this group as well (Fig. 4C). The second group, with much lower intensity (NRM <0.4 mA m⁻¹), is represented by variety III (Table 2) and the light-coloured (non-ferruginous) specimens of the second variety belong also to group 2. Because of the high internal mineralogical and magnetic inhomogeneity of variety II the standard deviation of NRM intensities is large (Table 2). The two groups can be differentiated also by their Koenigsberger ratios (group 1: \( Q > 0.5 \); group 2: \( Q < 0.5 \)). The discrepancies in \( Q \) are mainly in the variation in NRM, since the magnetic susceptibilities (Fig. 4B, C) are uniform and relatively low (<100 × 10⁻⁶ SI) in all samples. Slightly higher susceptibility values were measured for variety II; however, these values correlate neither with NRM intensity data nor with the intensity of impregnation.

**PALAEOMAGNETIC BEHAVIOUR**

Two remanent magnetization components (hereafter called A and B) were identified by directional groupings, alternating field (AF) coercivity and thermal spectra (Figs. 3 and 5). Both components are present in varieties I and II with NRM intensities generally >0.2 mA m⁻¹. Variety III has extremely weak NRM (Table 2) generally without detectable remanent magnetization components. The two components differ in their stability against the AF demagnetization: component A is softer and removed at fields ≤50 mT whereas component B is more resistant to AF, as in many specimens it has not yet been totally removed at 160 mT, the maximum field applied (Fig. 5). Specimens with higher resistance against the AF course (e.g., Fig. 5B, D, E) usually exhibit both components of remanence, whereas specimens with lower resistance (Fig. 5A and C) show up component A only, if at all. Both polarities are present in component B with antipodal symmetry \( B_0 \) (Fig. 5B and G) and \( B_90 \) (Fig. 5E and F), and they pass the reversal test (category B; McFadden and McElhinney, 1990). During the course of thermal demagnetization the low coercivity (A) component is observable until 200 to 320°C, being partly overshadowed by temperature-caused changes in carriers of remanence. The pale samples without visible hematite concentrations tend to reveal component A only (e.g., Fig. 5H). The majority of the thermally demagnetized specimens show an abrupt increase in magnetic susceptibility and/or intensity of the NRM at between 300 and 400°C, indicating mineralogical changes. Comparing the demagnetization results with the magnetic mineralogy (Fig. 2), and coercivity spectra (Fig. 3), we suggest that component A is carried by magnetite, maghemite and possibly some large multidomain hematite, whereas hematite is responsible for component B.

The combined sample-mean direction of B (Table 3) is slightly shifted from the present Earth’s magnetic field (PEF) at the Røstla site \((D = 6°, I = 72°)\). However, the component’s unlikely to represent viscous remanent magnetization (VRM) as it does not demagnetize at elevated temperatures. Also, the presence of the reversed counterpart does not support its viscous origin. We suggest B represents a magnetic overprint that, carried by hematite, is chemical in origin.

The low-coercivity component A1, of single polarity, has a mean direction (Table 3) that is clearly different from PEF and B. To avoid the effect of possible overlap between the coercivities of A and B, and to get more reliable approximation, we calculated the component A (A2 in Table 3, Figs. 6 and 7) from seven samples that are (i) demagnetized thermally and (ii) lacking a significant signal from component B. In spite of the lower number of samples, dispersion is somewhat higher \((k = 14.2)\) as compared with A1. The relatively low precision of component A is mainly caused by variation in declinations, whereas the inclination is more stable (Fig. 6). The shallow inclination of the component A concords with palaeogeographic reconstructions where Baltica occupied low northerly latitudes on its way northwards at Late Devonian to Carboniferous times (e.g., Torsvik, 1998).

**DISCUSSION**

The uniform very fine-to-fine grain size, unzoned and cloudy petrographic character, the planar crystal contacts of the dolomite crystallites, as well as preservation of primary sedimentary textures, suggest diagenetic dolomitization of the varieties I and II found at Røstla. In contrast, the medium-to-coarse crystalline dolomite with abundant nonplanar crystal boundaries and zoned overgrowths in cross-cutting vein-like bodies (variety III) suggests significant recrystallization. The paragenetic relationships of the dolomite with possible magnetic carrier Fe-minerals suggest that the hematite and goethite postdate the diagenetic dolomitization. Hematite impregnates the nodular areas and goethite occurs as secondary coatings on the fracture and mould walls. Hematite seems to be responsible for the remanent magnetization component B. Low- to medium-coercivity ferromagnetic minerals such as magnetite, possibly some maghemite and large multidomain hematite, are the cause of the component A.

To find the possible ages for components A and B, we plotted them (see Table 3) on the Apparent Polar Wander Path (APWP) for Baltica (Fig. 7A) and Europe (Fig. 7B; Besse and Courtillot, 2003). The APWP of Baltica includes poles from stable Europe of Late- and post-Carboniferous times (200–300 Ma; Torsvik et al., 2001). It was constructed with the spherical spline method with a smoothing parameter of 200 weighted according to the reliability criteria \((Q\text{-factor}; \text{Van der Voo, 1990})\) of the available poles. We used the GMAP programme of Torsvik and Smethurst (http://www.geophysics.ngu.no). The poles with \(Q\text{-factor} of 5, 6, and 7 selected from the database in Torsvik et al. (1996, 2001) were used. Comparison of poles A and B of this study with the APWP sug-
Fig. 5. Examples of alternating field (A–E) and thermal (F–H) demagnetization behaviour characteristic of the Röstla dolomites

A — specimen RS1-1a; B — specimen RS3-1c; C — specimen RS7-2a; D — specimen RR4-2d; E — specimen RO3-2b; F — specimen RR4-3b; G — specimen RR2-3b; H — specimen RR5-1b; a — are relative intensity and susceptibility ($J/J_0$ and $c/c_0$) decay curves, where the demagnetizing alternating field (mT) or temperature (°C) is given on the horizontal axis; b — are stereographic projections of directional data on demagnetization; c — are the orthogonal demagnetization diagrams, where open (closed) symbols denote the vertical (horizontal planes).
gests a Late Devonian to Carboniferous age for A, and an Early Cretaceous age for B (Fig. 7). However, both poles plot off the Baltic APWP: because the demagnetization spectra of the two components slightly overlap, the two components may have been separated imperfectly. Therefore, the components, especially the low-coercivity component A, may be contaminated by component B. The more reliable approximation, pole A2 from seven samples only, gives a slightly older age compared to A1 (Fig. 7A). We note that the sample-mean directions are relatively similar in their inclinations but differ in their declinations (Fig. 6). The individual sample-mean A poles align subparallel to the Devonian–Carboniferous section of the APWP-curve suggesting that the A poles may also reflect a considerable time of acquisition. Neither A nor B poles point towards the Silurian, therefore, we deduce that a primary magnetization of Silurian age is lost and overprinted by younger events. Both remanence components are likely of chemical origin. Consequently, the diagenetic dolomitization, which paragenetically predates the hematite precipitation, must have taken place before the acquisition of component B.

The palaeomagnetic signatures do not assess directly the age of the late replacive dolomitization event carried by variety III dolomites that have completely replaced the earlier carbonates. In a regional context, the exposure of the Mõhküla Beds is located within a NE–SW-trending belt of faults, which often carry dolomitization and Pb–Zn mineralization in Ordovician to Lower Silurian limestones (Puura and Sudov, 1976). In a local view, the Rõstla quarry is situated next to an area with sparse sulphide mineralization (galena, sphalerite, pyrite; see, e.g. Sundblad et al., 1999). About 15 km SSW from Rõstla (Fig. 1A) in the excavated trench for the Navesti River, the sulphide mineralization fills large caverns, pores and fissures of the Mõhküla Beds (unpublished observations by Vaino Puura in late 1950’s). At the Navesti location, thin sulphide and carbonate veins were observed to penetrate the overlying Devonian marlstones, suggesting a post-Devonian age for their formation. Also, the a post-Devonian formation is supported by the fact that the sulphides lack signs of weathering in spite of continental conditions in the Late Silurian (Nestor, 1997) and Early Devonian (Kleesment and Mark-Kurik, 1997) stages.

At Rõstla, the variety III dolomites are spatially related to the numerous fractures and caverns as well as to rare old karst caves and channels 10–30 cm in diameter, suggesting intensive reworking by rock–water interaction. Although sulphide mineralization at the Rõstla quarry is poorly represented, we suggest that the late replacive diagenetic or low-temperature hydrothermal dolomitization is of the same age as in the Võhma–Navesti area. The complexly zoned crystals with a smaller number of unzoned crystals indicate multiple episodes of replacement, overgrowth and also nucleation, which suggest a longer period of activity of these zones rather than a short single impulse of alteration. The formation of low-coercivity ferromagnetic minerals at Rõstla could be related to this interval. Low-temperature orogenically-derived fluid flows are linked in several studies worldwide, e.g. Permian widespread secondary magnetization in North American carbonate and clastic deposits (McCabe and Elmore, 1989) and Tertiary magnetism of the Middle De-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magnetic component</th>
<th>N (n)</th>
<th>Polarity</th>
<th>D [°]</th>
<th>I [°]</th>
<th>k</th>
<th>α95</th>
<th>Plat [°N]</th>
<th>Plot [°E]</th>
<th>dp [°]</th>
<th>dm [°]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>19 (138)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>150.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>7 (27)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>139.5</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bn</td>
<td>11 (68)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>81.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>75.9</td>
<td>200.0</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bn</td>
<td>4 (26)</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>201.0</td>
<td>–53.9</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>166.7</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcomb</td>
<td>15 (94)</td>
<td>N+R</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>173.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bn+Bn</td>
<td>2 poles</td>
<td>N+R</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>176.9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N (n) — number of samples (specimens) revealing the component, D — declination, I — inclination, k — Fisher’s (1953) precision parameter, α95 — radius of a cone of 95% confidence about the mean; Plat and Plot — the latitude and longitude of the virtual geomagnetic poles, dp and dm — semiaxes of an oval of 95% confidence of the pole; see text for description of magnetic components.

![Fig. 6. Equal-angle stereonet projections of in situ remanence directions (sample means — left) and the site means (right).](image-url)
vonian carbonates of the western Canada Sedimentary Basin (Lewchuk et al., 2000).

Our results fit into the following scheme of geological evolution in Central Estonia. Mid Llandovery: deposition of almost pure bioclastic limestones in a shallow NE part of the Ordovician–Silurian Baltic Basin (Nester and Einasto, 1997), followed by diagenetic dolomitization of limestones in some parts of the basin (Kipli, 1983). Middle Llandovery to Ludlow: burial of the Mõhküla Beds under a pile of carbonate rocks. It is likely that the primary iron oxides were sulphurated due to anoxic conditions during the diagenesis and burial. Late Silurian to Early Devonian: a far-field expression of the compression by Caledonian tectonics; formation of systems of mainly NE–SW-oriented faults plus erosion and weathering of the overlying Silurian deposits; the Raikküla (including the Rösta) deposits became exposed (Puurä et al., 1999). At Rösta, systems controlling the youngest dolomites as well as karst caves and channels (in which later superposed dolomite mineralization has developed) probably belong to this age. Mid to Late Devonian: burial of the eroded very slightly southerly sloping surface (Puurä et al., 1999) under transgressive Devonian deposits some hundreds of metres thick. A predominantly continental setting lasted from the Carboniferous to the Paleogene. Puurä and Sudov (1976) supposed that lead and zinc sulphide mineralization in association with barite and carbonates in NE and Central Estonia formed due to a post-Devonian regional hydrothermal event. The present study dates the formation of iron oxides (likely due to oxidation of diagenetic sulphides) to the Late Devonian–Carboniferous. Possibly, the brines were slightly heated by Mid to Late Devonian burial causing hydrothermal circulation of fluids and redox gradients. The event could be tied to the far-field hydrothermal influence of the Caledonian and serve as a source for secondary dolomitization and variety III dolomites. Caledonian induced mobilization of several elements in the Precambrian crust of the Fennoscandian Shield has been observed and dated to 450 Ma (U–Pb, Vaasjoki et al., 2002) and ~400 Ma (Sm–Nd age of fluorite, Alm et al., 2005). Our study cannot exclude the possible influence of Hercynian tectonism that is somewhat more coeval with the Late Devonian–Carboniferous age of component A. Hercynian tectonism has been associated with simultaneous block tectonics and mafic intrusions in NE Poland (Krzemińska et al., 2006) and the southern part of the Baltic seabed (Puurä et al., 1991).

During the following predominantly continental and oxygen-rich humid interval, hematite, which post-dates the dolomites according to the present study, was precipitated into the pore space of variety I and II dolomites predominantly. Results similar to our hematite-carried component B, have been reported from Upper Devonian dolomites from Latvia (Cesis quarry) and Lithuania (Skaistgirys quarry) (Fig. 7). The authors (Katinas and Nawrocki, 2004) have tied the component to chemical remagnetization due to migration of oxidizing fluids at faults that were reactivated during the Late Jurassic–Early Cretaceous. We also note that the area was subjected to a prolonged period of erosion during the Mesozoic and Cenozoic, allowing oxidizing fluids to penetrate deeper and deeper through time even without any specific tectonic events. Variety III dolomites lack the magnetic signal of hematite suggesting that the intergranular porosity of these dolomites was too low for fluid flow (see Table 2) and precipitation of secondary hematite. During the Neogene continental period, the Raikküla and Mõhküla deposits became exposed and, finally, buried under a thin cover of Quaternary deposits.

CONCLUSIONS

Two components of remanent magnetization have been identified in the dolomites of the Mõhküla Beds in the Rösta quarry by alternating field and thermal demagnetization. A northeasterly-directed component (A) with low inclination
dates to the end of Palaeozoic, presumably Late Devonian to Mississippian, whereas another much deeper component (B) is younger, Cretaceous. There is no indication of any primary Silurian magnetization directions. Mineralogical studies revealed hematite responsible for the high-coercivity component (B). The co-presence of magnetite and possibly some maghemite (component A) has been shown by magnetic tests.

We interpret the first (A) Palaeozoic remagnetization epoch as being caused by low-temperature hydrothermal circulation due to the influence of the Caledonian/Hercynian orogeny taking place after the early diagenetic dolomitization of carbonates but serving as a possible source for secondary dolomitization. Hematite and goethite are the latest ferromagnetic minerals that have precipitated into the existing pore space (hematite) and walls of microscopic fractures (goethite) that opened to allow access for for oxygen-rich fluids during the Late Mesozoic.
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