Indium in polymetallic mineralisation at the Gierczyn mine, Karkonosze-Izera Massif, Poland: results of EPMA and LA-ICP-MS investigations
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Cassiterite and base-metal mineralisation from the Gierczyn mine on the Karkonosze-Izera Massif, Poland has been studied using electron microprobe and LA-ICP-MS (Laser Ablation Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry) techniques in order to determine the content of indium in the ore minerals. The main carriers of this element are sphalerite (up to 433 µg/g of In), chalcopyrite (up to 117 g/g of In) and cassiterite (up to 0.02 wt.% In). Trace element contents of sulphides, especially Ga in chalcopyrite intergrown with sphalerite in comparison with chalcopyrite without sphalerite, may indicate metamorphic re-equilibration and suggests that the ore minerals might recrystallized and undergone metamorphism in conditions of greenschist/amphibolite facies. Although the deposits in the Stara Kamienica Schist Belt are not of economic value, they can contribute to better understanding of the relations between trace element partitioning and the formation of ore minerals.
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INTRODUCTION

Indium (In) is a crucial commodity for the modern economy due to its application in the form of indium tin oxide (ITO) in almost every flat-panel display screen and in touchscreen devices in computer monitors, TVs, laptops, notebooks and mobile phones. The demand for and price of this element increased rapidly in the first decade of the 21st century and both are considered to be highly vulnerable for several reasons (Werner et al., 2015). Firstly, the market for this metal is rather small with world refinery production of 750 tonnes in 2018 (Anderson, 2019) and its supply chain is dominated by few countries: China (40% of world production), South Korea, Japan, Canada, France and Belgium account for 95% of the world supply (Anderson, 2019). Any new, widespread use could dramatically change the current demand. Additionally, indium is not concentrated enough to constitute a major commodity in ore deposits so it is produced almost solely as a by-product of zinc smelting. As a result, the supply of indium is determined by the supply of zinc, regardless of the market demand for indium. For these reasons indium has been identified as one of the “Critical Raw Materials” by the European Commission in 2011 and in subsequent studies reviewing the list in 2014 and 2017 (EC, 2014; Blengini et al., 2017).

Indium minerals are rare and the most important one, roquesite (CuInS2), usually occurs as inclusions in major ore-forming minerals such as bornite, chalcopyrite and sphalerite (Schwarz-Schampera and Herzog, 2002). More often, In-rich substitutes for elements with similar ionic radii in base-metal sulphides and relatively high indium concentrations can occur in sphalerite, chalcopyrite, stannite, tin-sulphosalts, tennantite and cassiterite (Schwarz-Schampera, 2013); however, most occurrences of these minerals are subeconomic for indium.

The most important In-bearing deposits are sediment-hosted massive sulphides (SHMS) and volcanogenic massive sulphides (VMS), which account for >60% of indium reserves due to the presence of In-enriched sphalerite (Ye et al., 2011; Frenzel et al., 2016; Werner et al., 2017). The concentration of indium in these ores is in the range of 20–200 g/t and typical examples include VMS deposits such as Kidd Creek (Canada), Neves-Corvo (Portugal), Laochang (China), as well as the Bainiuchang and Dabaoshan SEDEX deposits (China) (Ye et al., 2011; Schwarz-Schampera, 2013). The remaining resources reside in skarns, epithermal deposits, polymetallic base-metal vein deposits, granite-related tin-base-metal deposits and Sn-W-Mo porphyry deposits (e.g., Mount Pleasant Canada; Schwarz-Schampera, 2013; Werner et al., 2017).
Several ore deposits in the Variscan orogenic belt in Europe have been identified to contain significant indium resources (Werner et al., 2017), including VMS deposits in Portugal such as Neves Corvo (in grades varying within the range 20 to 1,100 g/t; Pinto et al., 2014), skarn-type ores in the Pöhla district, Erzgebirge, Germany (Bauer et al., 2019), and polymetallic Sn-base-metal vein- and greisen-type deposits in the Freiberg, Marienberg, Annaberg, and Ehrenfriedersdorf old mining districts, Erzgebirge, Germany (Seifert and Sandmann, 2006; Seifert, 2015). Andersen et al. (2016) investigated indium mineralisation in SW England in detail. They found that Early Permian, granite-related skarn and lode parageneses in this region are enriched in indium, while older sedimentary exhalative and vein parageneses, predating granite emplacement, are largely devoid of it. These authors reported a strong affinity for indium of sulphide-bearing magmatic-hydrothermal assemblages with the highest concentrations found in mineral lodes associated with the Carnmenellis and St Agnes granites. These districts had the highest historical production of tin (with the famous South Crofty Sn-Cu mine) and the indium content locally exceeds 430 g/t. According to Gion et al. (2019), among granites, S- and A-type highly fractionated plutons have the highest probability of being associated with indium-bearing deposits. According to recent papers, magmatic-hydrothermal mineralisation associated with post-collisional magmatic pulses (especially evolved, peraluminous granites) which have developed skarn, greisen, lode and vein-type mineralisation, represents promising exploration targets for indium (Cook et al., 2011; Pavlova et al., 2015; Seifert, 2015; Andersen et al., 2016; Lerouge et al., 2017). Granites with the highest probability of being associated with indium-rich ores are A- or S-type, highly fractionated intrusions (Gion et al., 2019).

Low-grade tin deposits have been mined for several centuries in the Stara Kamienica Schist Belt (SK) in southwestern Poland, with the last operating mine, “Gierczyn”, closed in 1958 (Mochnacka et al., 2015; Fig. 1). Cassiterite mineralisation was accompanied by a polymetallic sulphide/sulphosalt association and additionally, local enrichment in Co minerals resulted in temporary exploitation of cobalt ore nearby Przecznica (Mochnacka et al., 2015). Several investigations (e.g., Piestrzyński...
and Mochnacka, 2003) reported indium in sphalerite and stannite while slight enrichment in this element has also been noted in whole-rock samples (Mikulski et al., 2018; Malek et al., 2019; Mikulski and Malek, 2019). Progress in laser ablation-inductively-coupled mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS), provides an opportunity to measure trace elements (including indium) in sulphides at much lower detection limits (e.g., Cook et al., 2009; Ye et al., 2011; George et al., 2016) but so far no LA-ICP-MS data has been published for base-metal mineralisation in the Sudetes Mts. in SW Poland. The aim of this study is to provide new data on minor and trace elements in sulphides from the Gierczyn mine in order to identify the main indium carriers in the deposit.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Karkonosze–Izera Massif (KIM) is exposed in the west Sudetes on the NE margin of the Bohemian Massif (Fig. 1). It is one of the easternmost exposures of the Variscan crystalline basement in Europe and consists of the Karkonosze Granite and its metamorphic envelope. Granites of the massif crystallized ~312 Ma (Kryza et al., 2014) and are considered to be mostly peraluminous (Slaby and Martin, 2008), transitional between I- and S-type (Slaby and Martin, 2005), while trace elements indicate that the parental magma, enriched in W, Sn, Mo and Bi, was highly-evolved and fractionated (Mikulski, 2007). These features correspond to granites belonging to the family of Variscan intrusions recognized as a promising exploration target for indium (e.g., Seifert, 2015; Andersen et al., 2016; Lerouge et al., 2017).

The metamorphic envelope of the intrusion includes four different structural units of Neoproterozoic–Paleozoic age (Mazur and Aleksandrowski, 2001): the Izera–Kowary Unit (IKU), the Ješted Unit, the Southern Karkonosze Unit and the Leszczyńiec Unit (Fig. 1). The Izera–Kowary Unit is divided by the Late Carboniferous Karkonosze pluton into northern and southeastern parts and consists of orthogneisses and mica schists (Figs. 1 and 2). The northern part is referred to in the literature as the Izera Complex built of texturally diverse Izera Gneisses, which enclose lenses of undeformed, coarsely crystalline, porphyritic Izera and Rumburk granites, interpreted as relics of an S-type granitic protolith (Borkowska et al., 1980; Oberc-Dziedzic et al., 2005) and dated at 515–480 Ma (Kröner et al., 2001; Żelaźniewicz et al., 2009). The Izera Complex also contains three schist belts: the northern Złotniki Lubanskie, the central Stara Kamienica and the southern Szklarska Poręba. They are composed of mica schists with minor interbeds of amphibolite, calc-silicate rocks, quartzite and quartz–feldspar schist, and were metamorphosed under conditions of upper greenschist and lower amphibolite facies (Żelaźniewicz et al., 2003).

An extensive review of the ore mineralisation in the KIM was published by Mochnacka et al. (2015). Tin mineralisation had been exploited in the Stara Kamienica Schist Belt since the 16th
century (Madziarz and Sztuk, 2006), but after World War II only exploration works have been conducted. This unit extends from Przeznica in the east, through Gierczyn and Krobica to Czerniawa in the west and further westward, to Nové Město upon Smrek in the Czech Republic. Disseminated in the chlorite-mica-quartz schist rich in amaldine garnet, low-grade cassiterite mineralisation forms a stratabound body and is accompanied by a polymineral sulphide-sulphosalts association (e.g., Jaskólski and Mochnacka, 1959; Speczak and Wiszniewska, 1984; Cook and Dudek, 1994; Mayer et al., 1997; Piętjezyński and Mochnacka, 2003; Michniewicz et al., 2006; Mochnacka et al., 2015 and references therein). Extensive descriptions of ore minerals from this locality have been made e.g. by Speczak and Wiszniewska (1984); Piętjezyński and Mochnacka (2003) and Michniewicz et al. (2006). The ore assemblage in general consists of cassiterite and various sulphides, arsenides and sulphosalts with the most abundant ones being pyrrhotite and chalcopyrite. The mineral succession and different stages of mineralisation have been distinguished in the literature on the basis of calculated temperatures of formation, and an important observation is the presence of two generations of cassiterite (e.g., Mochnacka et al., 1999), considered to be pre- and post-sulphide. Stannite is present as inclusions in pyrhotite, sphalerite and chalcopyrite. Mikulski et al. (2018) as well as Mikołowski and Malek (2019), reported inclusions (10 m in size) of indium-bearing skaraitie (~Cu,Zn,Fe)2(In,Sn)S5, which can contain up to 19 wt.% of In.

The origin of this tin deposit is still a matter of discussion and several genetic models have been proposed (for detailed reference list see Michniewicz et al., 2006; and Mochnacka et al., 2015), but the most widely accepted ones involve hydrothermal activity. Some authors attributed it to the Ordovician granitic protolith of the Izera Gneisses (e.g., Jaskólski, 1948; Cook and Dudek, 1994; Michniewicz et al., 2006) while others to the Variscan Karkonosze Granite (e.g., Jaskólski and Mochnacka, 1959; Speczak and Wiszniewska, 1984; Mochnacka et al., 2001, 2015). Three schist belts in the IKU are usually considered to be the country rocks for the intrusion of the granitoid protolith of the Izera gneisses (elaźniewicz et al., 2003) and in this case, pre-Variscan magmatic fluids may be responsible for the tin mineralisation. Michniewicz et al. (2006) concluded their petrographic and structural observations with a statement that the mineralisation is pre-metamorphic-tectonic -metamorphic processes, thus both tin and slightly later sulphides mineralisation seems unlikely to be related to the younger Variscan Karkonosze Granite intrusion. On the other hand, e.g. Speczak and Wiszniewska (1984) argued in favour of the role of hydrothermal fluids associated with intrusion of the Variscan Karkonosze massif in the genesis of ore mineralisation found in the SK. Oberek-Dziedzic et al. (2010), based on zircon dating, proposed that mica schists in the southeastern part of the Izera–Kowary Unit are contemporaneous with the Izera granites and their close proximity is the result of tectonic juxtaposition. Assuming that the Izera Granites are contemporaneous with the mica schist protoliths, the hydrothermal system responsible for the tin accumulation is more likely related to the Variscan Karkonosze Granite (Mochnacka et al., 2015).

SAMPLES AND METHODS

Five archival samples containing sphalerite and chalcopyrite visible macroscopically, collected by Prof. Ksenia Mochnacka in the now inactive Gierczyn mine, were selected for the study and one-inch polished mounts were prepared from each of them. The suite of samples is limited and was based towards coarse-grained sphalerite and chalcopyrite. Optical light microscopy was used to characterize the ore minerals, paying particular attention to mineral inclusions, zoning, cracks or other textural aspects, all of which may impact trace element distribution. Each sample was also studied by electron microprobe to assess the Zn and S contents, which would be used as the internal standards during LA-ICP-MS measurements. Microprobe analyses (EPMA) of chalopyrite, sphalerite, stannite and cassiterite were carried out using a JEOL JXA-8230 SuperProbe electron microprobe at the Critical Elements Laboratory AGH-KGHM in Krakow. During analyses of the sulphides the electron microprobe was operated in the wavelength-dispersion mode at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV and a probe current of 20 nA. Counting times of 20 s on peak, and of 10 s on both (+) and (-) backgrounds were used for all elements except 40 s on peak and 20 s on background used for In and Sn. For cassiterite, analytical conditions were 15 kV accelerating voltage and 100 nA probe current. Counting times for cassiterite were 20 s on peak, and 10 s on both (+) and (-) backgrounds for Sn, Ca, Si, Fe, Mn, 40 s on peak and 20 s on background used for Nb, Ta, W and 60 s on peak and 30 s on background used for In. The following standards and spectral lines were used for sulphides: FeS2 (FeKα), chalcopyrite (CuKα), ZnS (ZnKα), Ag (AgLα), InS2 (InLα), SnS (SnLα), Co (CoKα) and Cds (CdLα), whereas for the following: cassiterite (SnLα), albite (SiKα), rhodonite (MnKα), scheelite (WmKα), diopside (CaKα), hematite (FeKα), manganotantalite (TaLα), InS2 (InLα) and LnBO3 (NbLα). Detection limits for the EPMA measurement of Sn-bearing standards (Sn-bearings: Si, Sn, Fe, Cu, Ag, Cd, In, Ti, W, Mn, Ca, Na, Mg, Cu, Mo, V, Zn, Sn) and SnS and applied for all EPMA measurement of Sn minerals (they are +0.00091 and +0.00348 for cassiterite and stannite, respectively).

Trace element contents were measured at the Department of Applied Geosciences and Geophysics, Montanuniversität Leoben, Austria, using an ESI NWR213 Nd:YAG laser ablation system coupled to an Agilent 8800® triple quadrupole ICP-MS. Helium was used as carrier gas with a flow rate of 0.75 L/min. Fluxency was set between 2–3 J/cm2. For sphalerite and chalcopyrite analyses, the matrix-matched sintered pressed powder pellet reference material MUL-ZnS (Onuk et al., 2017) was used for quantification of the element content and the USGS powder pressed polysulphide reference material MASS-1 (Wilson et al., 2002) was used for quality control of the analyses. Data reduction was done using the leiolite v3.2 software. The following isotopes were analysed: 51V, 52Cr, 55Mn, 56Fe, 60Co, 65Ni, 63Cu, 71Ga, 74Ge, 77As, 82Se, 88Mo, 107Ag, 111Cd, 119In, 118Sn, 122Sb, 206Hg, 206Pb, 208Bi. The sphalerite (MUL-ZnS1) and chalcopyrite (MASS-1) reference materials were periodically analysed (every 14 spots) for quality control. Ablation spots were carefully selected in an effort to analyse sphalerite and chalcopyrite free of obvious inclusions or cracks; the samples analysed do nevertheless locally display inhomogeneity on the
scale of the ablation spot. A consistent 50 µm diameter spot size was used for all measurements. The dataset includes 22 spot analyses in massive sphalerite and 24 spot in massive chalcopyrite.

We acknowledge polyatomic interferences that may require corrections to derive precise data using LA-ICP-MS in cases where concentrations of the interfering element are sufficiently high. Taking into account the scope of this paper, mass interference from $^{115}$Sn (tin isotope with 0.34% abundance) needs to be addressed while measuring the content of In (Jenner and O’Neill, 2012). We have not made corrections to the dataset as, although such interferences can impact data quality when the elements concerned are present at wt.% concentration (e.g., in cassiterite or stannite), we consider them negligible and within instrumental error for the low g/g values reported here.

RESULTS

ORE PETROGRAPHY

Cassiterite typically occurs as oval grains, usually 50–150 m in size, but also as multigrain aggregates, found in chlorite and/or mica laminae and concordant with schist foliation (Fig. 3A). In some cases, cassiterite grains seem to be locally pulverized, brecciated and cataclased during tectonic deformation (Fig. 3B). Zinc and copper mineralisation also occurs as thin veinlets and lenses (0.5–3 mm thick), usually parallel with the quartz-chlorite-mica schist foliation (Fig. 3A) but in some cases forming larger massive accumulations up to a few cm in size. Pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite and sphalerite are the most abundant sulphides (Fig. 3C–E) but traces of galena, cobaltite, native bismuth and bismuthinite have also been found. In some samples pyrrhotite and quartz textures can be described as granoblastic (polyhedral and amoeboid) with a 120° grain boundary contacts (Fig. 3F; Figs. 3E, F). This texture is often considered as indicative of metamorphism and is formed to minimize grain surface areas and interfacial tension (Craig and Vaughan, 1994). Stannite is present as small (up to 30 m) inclusions in chalcopyrite and pyrrhotite. Sphalerite commonly contains fine chalcopyrite inclusions, so-called “chalcopyrite disease” (Fig. 3D) while small stannite inclusions in chalcopyrite and pyrrhotite are rare. The sulphides locally accompany cassiterite (Fig. 3A), but Sn and base-metal mineralisation are commonly spatially separated. SEM-EDS analysis helped to identify numerous small (single to tens of m in size) grains of native bismuth, bismuthinite (Bi$_2$S$_3$), laitakarite (Bi$_2$Se$_3$), ullmannite (Ni$_2$SbS$_3$), molybdenite (MoS$_2$), a Ag$_2$(S,Se) phase and a Bi-Pb-Ag-Se-S sulphosalts similar in composition to wittite or weibullite (Fig. 3G, H). The main gangue minerals are quartz (usual polygonal; Fig. 3F), idiomorphic almandine garnet (up to several mm in size), cloritides and micas (muscovite and biotite).

EPMA

Massive sphalerite from the Gierczyń mine is composed of 58.1 ± 0.61 wt.% Zn, 33.76 ± 0.26 wt.% S and 8.1 ± 0.62 wt.% Fe on average (Table 1). Cadmium was consistently detected with mean concentrations of 0.43 wt.% (Table 1). Cobalt contents up to 0.05 wt.% (0.03 wt.% on average) and In concentrations up to 0.06 wt.% (0.04 wt.% on average) have been detected (Table 1). By comparison, sphalerite present as minute (tens of m in size) inclusions in sulphides differs slightly from massive sphalerite: it has a lower Zn content but higher average contents of Cd (1.70 wt.%) and In (0.1 wt.%: Table 1).

Chalcopyrite grains, both massive and present as inclusions in sphalerite, have a similar chemical composition and contain 34.00 ± 0.19 wt.% Cu, 29.86 ± 0.17 wt.% Fe and 35.10 ± 0.18 wt.% S on average (Table 1). Zinc, Sn and Ag were consistently detected with mean concentrations -0.09, 0.04 and 0.03 wt.% respectively, except for small chalcopyrite inclusions in sphalerite where Zn content reached up to 0.67 wt.%, though these measurements can be affected by surrounding sphalerite (Table 1). Indium content has been measured up to 0.03 wt.% (0.01 wt.% on average, close to detection limits; Table 1). Most stannites are present as minute inclusions in pyrrhotite and do not carry indium; only those intergrown with a tiny Pb-Bi-Ag-Se-S sulphosalt contain detectable amounts of In (up to 0.04 wt.%: Table 1). Average composition of stannite is 29.86 ± 0.25 wt.% S, 28.49 ± 0.85 wt.% Cu, 26.96 ± 0.60 wt.% Sn and 13.87 ± 1.18 wt.% of Fe (Table 1).

Cassiterite associated with sulphides contains Fe, Ti, W, In as trace elements but besides Fe, they are usually below or very close to detection limits. Most measuring spots show In below or very close to detection limits of 0.01 wt.% (Table 2). For further investigations on major substitutions of trace elements in cassiterite, crystallochemical formulae were calculated on the basis of six oxygen atoms according to Lerouge et al. (2017). While most of the elements are below or close to detection limits, a strong 1:1 correlation between Sn$^{4+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ is observed (R$^2$ = 0.99) and confirms major substitution Sn$^{4+}$-2Fe$^{2+}$.

LA-ICP-MS

SPHALERITE

The ablation profiles for some elements (In, Mn, Cd, Fe, Co, Hg) are smooth and flat (Fig. 4) indicating homogeneity on the scale of the ablation crater and, implicitly, occurrence of the element in solid solution. Time-resolved depth profiles for Cu are rarely flat and usually show characteristic spikes (Fig. 4B), interpreted as a result of small chalcopyrite inclusions in the ablated spot. Other elements, notably Sn, Ga, Ag and Se commonly exhibit irregular profiles (Fig. 4), suggesting the presence of micro- or nanoscale inclusions of minerals carrying these elements. Sn and Ag profiles commonly display a slight increase positively correlated with Cu spikes (Fig. 4B) which indicates that “chalcopyrite disease” is enriched in these elements in comparison with the host sphalerite. LA-ICP-MS measurements are summarized in Table 3 and results in general match those determined by EPMA (Table 1). Significant Fe and Cd contents (8.53–11.80 wt.% and 3945–5405 g/g respectively) as well as In enrichment in the range of 347–433 g/g have been measured (Table 3). Copper exhibits a wide range of concentrations (301–6894 g/g; Table 3), a result of small chalcopyrite inclusions. Sphalerites also contain notable Co (182–225 g/g; Table 3) which shows strong positive correlation with Zn and Cd (R$^2$ = 0.67 and R$^2$ = 0.8 respectively). Up to 4.6 g/g of Ga has been measured; Ag, As, Pb, Sn, Sb and Bi are typically <2 g/g while Ge, Mo, Ni, V and Te do not exceed the background level (Table 3).
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Fig. 3. Ore minerals from the Gierczyn mine, reflected light images

A – oval cassiterite grains in chlorite laminas in quartz accompanied by chalcopyrite and pyrrhotite, B – cataclastic cassiterite, C – chalcopyrite with LA-spots in quartz, D – exsolution of chalcopyrite and pyrrhotite in sphalerite with LA-spots, E – massive pyrrhotite with polygonal morphology, note the ~120° grain boundary contacts, F – quartz with polygonal texture; BSE images: G – intergrowth of laitakarite, ullmannite, chalcopyrite and Ag₉(S,Se) phase in quartz; H – intergrowths of native bismuth and bismuthinite; Cas – cassiterite, Chl – chlorite, Qz – quartz, Ccp – chalcopyrite, Po – pyrrhotite, Sph – sphalerite, Ltk – laitakarite, Ulm – ullmannite, Bi – native bismuth, Bis – bismuthinite
Sphalerite incorporates many metals into its structure: Cu$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$, Fe$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ which substitute for Zn via the simple substitution equation M$^{2+}$-Zn$^{2+}$. For elements generally occurring in odd valences such as Cu, In and other trace compounds (e.g., Sb, Ag, Ga, As), multiple coupled substitutions with Zn are thought to be involved to explain the enrichment of the elements in sphalerite (Johan, 1988; Cook et al., 2012, Belissont et al., 2014). The accepted mechanism of In incorporation into sphalerite is 2Zn$^{2+}$-Cu$^{2+}$+In$^{3+}$ (Cook et al., 2009, 2012) and given very low concentrations of Ag in the samples measured, mainly Cu is involved in the coupled substitution.

**CHA L COP Y RITE**

Ablation profiles for some elements (In, Mn, Sn, Ag, Co) are smooth and show flat patterns indicating homogeneity on the scale of the ablation crater (Fig. 5) and occurrence of the element in solid solution. Other elements (Cd, Zn, Ga, Se, Sb, Pb, Bi) commonly exhibit less regular profiles, which are likely a combination of lattice-bound element and sub-microscopic inclusions of minerals that contain them (most evident in the case of Pb and Bi; Fig. 5A).

Measurements confirmed that chalcopyrite from Gierczyn is indeed enriched in In (38–125 g/g; Table 3). It contains typically over 400 g/g of Zn, 100 g/g of Ag and 225 g/g of Sn (Table 3). Selenium is present at the level of tens of g/g; Co, Ga, Cd, Sb, Pb, Bi are present in almost all analysed spots at the single g/g level while Ge, As, Ni, Mo, Te, Hg and Tl are present at or below detection limits (Table 3).

Chalcopyrite is isostructural with roquesite (CuInS$_2$) and as a result, significant concentrations of In can be hosted in chalcopyrite (Wittmann, 1974). Incorporation of trace elements into the chalcopyrite structure is more complex than in the case of sphalerite or galena due to the presence of covalent bonding (see discussion in George et al., 2016, 2018). Coupled substitutions are difficult to verify because Fe and Cu is much more concentrated than In and is likely involved in many coupled substitution mechanisms that are impossible to distinguish in simple scatter plots.

**DISCUSSION**

Indium content in cassiterite is significantly lower than in samples from Cornwall where average values for different localities are 121–970 g/g of In in cassiterite (Andersen et al., 2016). Indium concentrations in sphalerites and chalcopyrites from Gierczyn are higher than those reported from stratiform
pre-granite sulphides from Cornwall (mostly below the lower limit of detection, outliers up to 242 \text{ g/g of In in sphalerite and 42 g/g In in chalcopyrite}), but not as high as those from granite-related skarns from that locality (e.g., sphalerite from the Haytor Vale Mine contains up to 1300 and 540 \text{ g/g In on average; Andersen et al., 2016}). Indium contents up to 250 g/g in chalcopyrite (80 g/g on average) are significantly lower than data reported from thirteen Sn ± W granite-related ore deposits in the western Variscan Belt (Massif Central and Armorican Massif, France; Galicia, Spain; and SW England) by Lerouge et al. (2017) where contents reach 2870 g/g. Sphalerite from the Freiberg district also contains much higher In concentrations, between 0.03 and 0.38 wt.% In (mean value 0.16 wt.%; Seifert and Sandmann, 2006). Considering available LA-ICP-MS data for trace elements in chalcopyrite for different ore deposit types, the values show similarities to proximal orepipe samples from the Baita Bihor Cu-Au-Pb-Zn-Mo skarn deposit in Romania (George et al., 2016, 2018) with In concentrations around 100 g/g, Sn and Co concentrations at tens and hundreds of g/g and very low contents of As. The Baita Bihor skarn is a result of contact metamorphism (Ciobanu et al., 2002), contains Cu-Zn-Pb-W-Bi ores, and the estimated temperature of formation for proximal zone pipes is 500°C (George et al., 2018). Sphalerite with low concentrations of As and Ge, together with enrichment in Co, as in the case of the Gierczyn samples, are often reported in skarn deposits (e.g., Cook et al., 2009; Frenzel et al., 2016; George et al., 2016) and usually indicate a higher temperature of formation.

The formation of In-rich sulphides may be facilitated by high-temperature precipitation from the hydrothermal fluid or recrystallization processes e.g. due to metamorphic overprinting (Schwarz-Schampera and Herzig, 2002). Co-precipitation of In with Cu, Zn and Fe accounts for the elevated concentrations in chalcopyrite ores and is characterized by formation temperatures in the range of ~290–380°C with a general rise in In concentrations in ore minerals with depth and increasing formation temperatures (Schwarz-Schampera and Herzig, 2002). Cook and Dudek (1994) used sphalerite from the Stara Kamienica Schist Belt as a geothermometer and their data suggest temperatures 500–550°C and pressure 6–7.5 kbars. Frenzel et al. (2016) in their meta-analyses of trace elements in sphalerite showed statistically significant differences in the mean concentrations of Fe, Ga, Ge, In and Mn in sphalerite between different deposit types. Statistical calculation allowed them to demonstrate that concentration of these elements strongly correlates with the homogenisation temperature of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
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</tr>
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<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta₂O₅</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnO₂</td>
<td>99.67</td>
<td>98.46</td>
<td>98.59</td>
<td>98.87</td>
<td>99.84</td>
<td>100.10</td>
<td>99.28</td>
<td>99.37</td>
<td>100.39</td>
<td>100.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In₂O₃</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100.32</td>
<td>98.86</td>
<td>99.24</td>
<td>99.64</td>
<td>100.84</td>
<td>100.62</td>
<td>99.78</td>
<td>101.03</td>
<td>101.00</td>
<td>100.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2**

**Apfu on the basis of 3 Sn**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>WO₃</th>
<th>Nb₂O₅</th>
<th>Ta₂O₅</th>
<th>SnO₂</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>In₂O₃</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WO₃</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb₂O₅</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta₂O₅</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnO₂</td>
<td>2.974</td>
<td>2.975</td>
<td>2.960</td>
<td>2.956</td>
<td>2.943</td>
<td>2.970</td>
<td>2.976</td>
<td>2.904</td>
<td>2.967</td>
<td>2.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In₂O₃</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>3.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

bdl – below detection limit; structural formulae were calculated on the basis of six oxygen atoms (as in Lerouge et al., 2017)
fluid inclusions and was the basis for the empirical formula of a new geothermometer GGIMFis (Ga, Ge, In, Mn and Fe in sphalerite; Frenzel et al., 2016). Application of the GGIMFis geothermometer to our results gave temperatures in the range 331–349°C. Frenzel et al. (2016) also discussed thoroughly the impact of metamorphism on trace element contents in sphalerite. A plot of GGIMFis temperature against peak metamorphic temperature for the deposits first increase in the ratio 1:1 up to ~310°C but then remains constant while peak temperature further increases (Frenzel et al., 2016). They interpret this result as reflecting closure of the sphalerite system at ~310°C during retrograde metamorphism and conclude that the geothermometer is reset for deposits affected by greenschist or higher grade metamorphism. This means that the results for the Gierczyn samples (331–349°C) can be interpreted as reflecting the temperature of sphalerite deposition (Variscan, post-metamorphic mineralisation), but they can as well represent sphalerite metamorphosed in conditions of greenschist/amphibolite facies (thus pre-metamorphic mineralisation).

Metamorphism is basically the re-equilibration of existing mineral assemblages under different temperature and pressure conditions and according to Frenzel et al. (2016) the most important effect of metamorphism on sphalerite in terms of trace elements will be a diminishing of Ga and Ge concentrations relative to the concentrations of Fe, Mn and, to a lesser degree, In. They point out that this does not necessarily mean that Ga and Ge will be expelled from the deposit; instead, these elements might partition into other minerals, e.g. the Cu-Fe-sulphides (e.g., Reiser et al., 2011). In recent papers George et al. (2016, 2018) investigated hydrothermal chalcopyrite and the partitioning of elements between co-crystallizing phases using LA-ICP-MS. Their data show that hydrothermal chalcopyrite crystallizing alone is likely to host greater concentrations of Co, Zn, Se, Ag, In, Sn and Bi than chalcopyrite co-crystallizing with sphalerite (being a preferential host, sphalerite will reduce the

---

**Table 3**

The results of LA-ICP-MS measurements in µg/g for selected elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Ga</th>
<th>Ge</th>
<th>As</th>
<th>Se</th>
<th>Ag</th>
<th>Cd</th>
<th>In</th>
<th>Sn</th>
<th>Sb</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Bi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sphalerite</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDIAN (22)</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4188</td>
<td>359</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEAN (22)</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>985</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1517</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4467</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3945</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>023</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>6894</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>5405</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chalcopyrite</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDIAN (24)</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEAN (24)</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>IS</td>
<td>1477</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>1288</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IS – internal standard
amounts of trace elements available for chalcopyrite). On the other hand, they observed that in the deposits where sulphides recrystallized under metamorphic conditions the opposite is true for Ga and Sn, which are significantly higher in chalcopyrite co-crystallizing with sphalerite by comparison with chalcopyrite crystallizing alone (George et al., 2018). They proposed that during recrystallization, Ga and Sn in pre-existing sphalerite (a preferential host at lower temperatures) have been remobilized and partitioned into co-existing chalcopyrite.

We observe a similar pattern for Ga in the sulphides investigated from the Gierczyn mine but not for Sn (Table 4); however, in this case the presence or absence of cassiterite and stannite (absent in the deposit investigated by George et al., 2018) is expected to be the main factor influencing the content of Sn in the sulphides. Additionally, we observe that chalcopyrite which occurs together with massive sphalerite has significantly lower Se, Ag, Cd and slightly higher In than chalcopyrite without sphalerite (Table 4).

Two leading hypothesis regarding origin of the tin-sulphide mineralisation in the Stara Kamienica Schist Belt can be summarized as “pre-metamorphic” and “post-metamorphic” respectively, but both invoke intrusion of a granite as a main source of the mineralising fluids i.e. the pre-Variscan granitic protolith of the Izera Gneisses or the Variscan Karkonosze Granite, respectively, which likely could be a source of In (Mochnacka et al., 2015; Gion et al., 2019).

Although the sample set is limited, textural observation of pyrrhotite and quartz with granoblastic polygonal textures (Fig. 3E, F), as well as partitioning of trace elements between sulphides, are more consistent with the idea that at least part of the tin and base-metal mineralisation underwent metamorphism. This could have been during regional metamorphism directly preceding emplacement of the Karkonosze Granite Intrusion or during earlier metamorphic events. Further investigation providing absolute ages of metamorphism and mineralisation as well as comparison with sulphide occurrences related to the Karkonosze Granite Intrusion are needed to verify this interpretation.

**CONCLUSIONS**

With development in analytical techniques, trace elements in sulphides are becoming increasingly useful in deciphering geological processes related to mineral deposits. The low grade tin-sulphide mineralisation in the Stara Kamienica Schist Belt is enriched in indium, with sphalerite (up to 433 µg/g of In), chalcopyrite (up to 117 g/g of In) and cassiterite (up to 0.02 wt. % In) as the main hosts of this element. Indium, tin and especially gallium present in pre-existing sphalerite may have been remobilized and partitioned into chalcopyrite during metamorphism, thus increasing the concentration of these elements in the lat-
ter. Chalcopyrite from Gierczyn occurring together with sphalerite has a higher Ga content than chalcopyrite occurring without sphalerite, a feature consistent with metamorphic re-
crystallization of the ore assemblage. Further research on trace
elements in sulphides can help answer the question whether they
recrystallized in conditions of greenschist/amphibolite facies.
Investigation of sulphides from the Karkonosze-Izera Massif as a whole can give interesting insights into trace ele-
ment partitioning between sulphides affected by contact and/or
regional metamorphism.
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