Calculation of salt basin depth using fluid inclusions in halite from the Ordovician Ordos Basin in China
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INTRODUCTION

The determination of crystallization conditions in ancient salt basins plays an important role in palaeogeographic reconstructions, and relevant methods have been developed since the middle of the 20th century (Borchert and Muir, 1964). However, there are no reliable criteria according to which a basin’s depth can be directly determined (Ivanov and Voronova, 1972; Babel and Schreiber, 2014). Supporters of the deep water hypothesis of evaporite basins (i.e., that they were deposited at hundreds of metres of water depth) have built their assumptions on the immensity of immensity of some ancient basins and the considerable thicknesses of salt deposits within such basins (e.g., Schmalz, 1969). On the other hand, the supporters of a shallow water hypothesis (i.e., that the salts were deposited in water up to 15 m deep) (e.g., Strakhov, 1967; Valyashko, 1962) have interpreted the considerable thicknesses of salts as a function of structural-tectonic conditions in which salt accumulated by analogy to present-day “salina” basins (Babel, 2007).

During the late 1980’s, scientists developed a method for calculating the depths of salt basins, starting from the gas (CH4 + N2) saturation of brines in the primary, single-phase fluid inclusions within halite (Petrichenko, 1988). According to data on pressure, temperature and geochemistry, the thicknesses of the brine columns in ancient salt basins ranged from 6–450 m during the halite sedimentation stage, and from less than 8 up to 50 m during the stage that preceded the crystallization of potassium salts (Petrichenko, 1988). However, even recently the following statements are often found in the literature: “very deepwater”, “deepwater”, “relatively deep water”, and “shallow water”, without the depth having actually been determined in the course of a given study.

The Ordos Basin represents one of the largest oil and gas production regions in China and has therefore been the focus of many previous studies (e.g., Feng et al., 1998; Wang and Al-Aasm, 2002; Yu et al., 2017). The recent discovery of a new...
tight carbonate gas exploration field, within the Middle Ordovician Majiagou Formation, in the eastern part of the basin (Zhang et al., 2018) has contributed to the reevaluation of the reservoir’s potential. The brine depth during Ordovician evaporitic sedimentation in the Ordos Basin has been the subject of controversy and was discussed by Xue (1986), Zhang et al. (1991), and Bao et al. (2004); Until recently, it was accepted that the Ordovician Majiagou Formation developed as a gently inclined carbonate platform in a very shallow epicontinental sea, and that evaporites occurred in a restricted, shallow, and hypersaline environment (Feng et al., 1998; Wang and Al-Aasm, 2002; Yang et al., 2005; Li et al., 2011). Zhang et al. (1991) concluded that at the beginning of halite precipitation, the salt were formed within a deep basin, and the next evaporitic basin gradually experienced the shallowing of the basin to a sabkha environment by the end of deposition. Our case study calculates the depth of the Ordos Basin during salt sedimentation by evaluating the size of the gas phase of the primary, gas-liquid inclusions within halite, immediately after the inclusions were depressurized. Such information is important for understanding salt sedimentation and the environmental evolution of the Ordos Basin.

GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Ordos Basin, also known as the Shaanxi-Gansu-Ningxia Basin, is the second largest sedimentary basin in China, with a surface area of ~250,000 km². Geologically, the Ordos Basin is a platform-type basin, located within the western section of the North China Massif (Fig. 1). The basin has experienced several evolutionary stages since the basement was formed, including Archean–Proterozoic basement formation in the continental accretion stage, Early Paleozoic terrigenous shallow marine conditions in the passive continental margin stage, a Caledonian–Hercynian regional uplifting stage, and a Late Paleozoic intra-continental depression stage of the North China Craton. Since the Mesozoic, the basin began to shrink and underwent denudation. During the Cenozoic, strong structural zones developed along the basin margin, accompanied by the intrusion and eruption of igneous rocks (Yu et al., 2017). The total thickness of the sedimentary succession in the Ordos Basin is estimated to be approximately 5–10 km. Hydrocarbons are mainly produced from the Triassic, Jurassic, and Ordovician systems.

In the Early Paleozoic, when the Northern China Block moved to the 20th parallel of the southern hemisphere (Scotese, 2014), continental lowlands were extensively flooded, and a shallow epeiric sea existed during Ordovician times. On the margins of that inland sea, several ancient landmasses provided barriers to the flow of seawater between the intracratonic platform and the open sea (Chen et al., 2019). Consequently, carbonate rocks interbedded with evaporites were formed within shallow platform environments. In the Ordos Basin, the Ordovician succession is usually 600–800 m thick, and it mainly consists of carbonates (dolomite and limestone), with interbedded anhydrite and halite.

The main evaporite-bearing unit in the Ordos Basin is found within the Majiagou Formation. Sections 1, 3, and 5 of the Majiagou Formation are mainly evaporite successions, while sections 2, 4, and 6 are dominantly carbonate successions.
Carbonate isotope stratigraphy has indicated that the Majiajou Formation formed during the Middle Ordovician (Meng et al., 2019). Evaporite deposits in this unit are represented by frequent alternations between fine silty dolomite, halite, and anhydrite (Fig. 2). They are both underlain and overlain by marine carbonate deposits, including limestones and dolomites.

The evaporites in the Ordovician Majiajou Formation are platform deposits formed in lagoons that received the restricted seepage of external marine waters. In those lagoons, the brine surfaces responded to slow, low-amplitude 4th-order eustatic rises and falls in sea levels, and the resulting bedded evaporites were interbedded with normal marine platform carbonates (Chen et al., 2019). Currently, the evaporitic sediments of the Majiajou Formation are recognized in boreholes at depths >2 km, in the eastern and central sections of the Ordos Basin (Figs. 1 and 2).

**FLUID INCLUSIONS IN HALITE**

The determination of fluid inclusions in halite, which is necessary to define genetic type, is a complex task as such inclusions occur within the sedimentary textures of the halite in the Majiajou Formation.

**PRIMARY FLUID INCLUSIONS**

The crystallization mechanism of halite at the bottom of modern evaporite basins is well-known (e.g., Valyashko, 1952; Lowenstein and Hardie, 1985; Babel and Schreiber, 2014). Modern halite crystals featuring chevron textures represent the same mechanism of origin as those formed in ancient salt basins (Kovalevych et al., 2009; Kovalevych and Vovnyuk, 2010; Galamay and Bukowski, 2011). The structural features of chevron halite are associated with a zonal distribution of microinclusions, parallel to the crystal growth facets. Two types of mineral inclusion distribution can be distinguished in halite, those with non-systematic and those with rhythmic zonation (Petrichenko, 1985). In halite with rhythmic zonation, the width of the rhythms (i.e., the zone with inclusions + the zone without inclusions) indicates the daily rates of halite growth. Cloudy-white zones with inclusions formed during rapid crystallization, while transparent ones without inclusions formed during slow crystallization. All primary inclusions in each growth zone have identical values of pressure and temperature (P-T) parameters and the same chemical composition of their respective brines.

---

Fig. 2. Lithological column responses for the Yu-9 and Zhengjia-1 boreholes (after Meng et al., 2018) with sampling sites.
In addition to primary inclusions, secondary inclusions of various generations have been detected within sedimentary and transparent post-sedimentation halite, and the latter are located either non-systematically or along resistive fractures. It is possible to nominally distinguish the following groups of inclusions as representing early and late diagenesis: small and large sizes, regular and irregular shapes, single-phase (liquid) and gas-liquid inclusions, respectively (Galaymay et al., 2004).

HALITE GAS-LIQUID INCLUSIONS

Since chevron halite crystalizes at the bottom of salt basins, the brine column thickness increases with higher hydrostatic pressures and correspondingly, the gas saturation of the brine inclusions is also higher. Based on observations of gas pressures in primary fluid inclusions of halite, we can estimate the depth of the ancient salt basin. Gas microinclusions (e.g., microoccluded gases) in the rock salt mass are widespread, occurring in the fluid inclusions of halite in a dissolved state. If the halite crystalized at temperatures above 42°C, or if it was subject to overheating in the range of 50–110°C during post-sedimentation transformation, gas would also have been present in fluid inclusions in the form of separate phases (Petrichenko, 1973; Acros and Ayora, 1997).

In the primary fluid inclusions found in Phanerozoic halite deposits, the following components are present: nitrogen, carbon dioxide, methane, and hydrogen, generally in the order of: N₂ > CO₂ > CH₄ > H₂. Three types of inclusion are distinguished by gas components: one with substantial nitrogen (N₂ > CO₂ > CH₄), one with substantial methane (CH₄ > N₂ > CO₂), and one with nitrogen-methane (N₂ > CH₄ > CO₂) (Litvinuk, 2007). The methane content prevails over the fluid inclusions of halite, as methane is drawn from the salt-bearing layers, rich in organic matter (Galaymay and Baranenko, 2004; Kovalevych et al., 2006).

Previous studies on the composition of gas in the brines of primary and early diagenetic inclusions within halite during various time periods of the Phanerozoic (Litvinuk, 2007; Węcław et al., 2008), particularly during the Ordovician (Kovalevych et al., 2006), show that the sum of N₂ + CH₄ in the inclusions amounts to >70%, with CO₂ comprising 0–23.8% (mean = 7.1%). The hydrogen data in the gas mixture are not informative due to the ability of hydrogen to diffuse freely through the crystal structure of the mineral. The sources of these components in the bottom brine of the salt basin were biochemical processes and the atmosphere (Weiss, 1969; Kovalevych et al., 2008). The absence of oxygen in the gas mixture is associated with oxygen consumption during chemical and biochemical oxidation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

During our case study, we observed 2 samples collected from boreholes Yu-9 and 10 samples from borehole Zhengjia-1, located in the middle section of the Ordos Basin (Figs. 1 and 2). The samples included mainly recrystallized rock salts, with transparent halite crystals ranging from 1–1.5 cm in size, frequently with inclusions of greenish-black clay particles. However, only in three samples have we documented relics of sedimentary halite (i.e., in boreholes Ziy-47-21c, Ziy-24-057 and Yu-33; Fig. 2).

Halite plates (1–2 mm thick), cut parallel to the cleavage surface, were polished to determine the homogenization temperatures of two-phase (gas-liquid) and three-phase (gas-liquid-daughter crystal) inclusions. Halite plates were slowly heated to the temperature of inclusion homogenization in a special thermo-chamber made by Kalyuzhny (1982). The heating rate did not exceed 0.2°C/min. when it approached the homogenization temperature. The error in measuring the homogenization temperature is ~1°C. Since the fluid inclusions observed contained not only gas bubbles and sylvite daughter crystals, but also clay particles and xenogenic crystals, we mainly determined the homogenization temperature of the inclusions in the presence of such insoluble phases.

To determine the internal pressure within the inclusions, halite plates with primary gas-liquid inclusions were slowly dissolved in glycerin, with a minimum amount of water. Parameters such as the diameter of the gas phase before and after depressurization of the inclusions were measured under the optical microscope. The measurements were made with a Huygens eyepiece, equipped with a fine-adjustment screw and installed in the field of view at the scale. Based on mathematical calculations and the graphical structure, we identified the absolute pressure in the primary fluid inclusions.

RESULTS AND INTERPRETATIONS

FLUID INCLUSIONS IN HALITE

Primary chevron structures of the Majiaogou Formation are characterized by non-systematic zonation with gas-liquid inclusions (Fig. 3C, D).

In some inclusions, small anisotropic crystals of anhydrite were found. The inclusions are cubic, with the lengths of the edges of cubes varying from a few micrometres to 30 μm (Fig. 3). In Sample Ziy-47-21c, inclusions 80–100 μm in size are present (Fig. 3A, B). The internal pressure of the inclusions is slightly higher than the normal atmospheric pressure which was recorded at the time of collection. Brines are present until the middle stage of halite deposition, and they characterize the sea water as a Na-K-Mg-Ca-Cl (Ca-rich) type (Meng et al., 2018). In our study three groups of secondary inclusions were distinguished:

1. Early diagenetic gas-liquid inclusions up to 1 mm in size, cubic or close to cubic. Isotropic sylvite daughter crystals were found in the inclusions. The presence of K salt minerals indicated a high concentration of brines during potassium salt precipitation (Meng et al., 2018). The inclusions were arranged non-systematically in the chevron halite and on the periphery of the chevrons (Fig. 4A–C). The internal pressure was close to the pressure in the primary inclusions. Evidence of inclusion cracking was not recorded.

2. Anomalously large, late diagenetic, gas-liquid inclusions up to 2–3 mm across and irregular in shape. These inclusions often contained numerous anisotropic, small crystals of anhydrite and black clay particles. The inclusions were located in transparent recrystallized halite (Fig. 4D). The internal pressure in these inclusions was higher than that in the primary inclusions. Aureoles of small fluid inclusions in resistive fractures were found around large inclusions.

3. Late diagenetic single-phase (liquid) and gas-liquid inclusions that are cubic (size: 50–200 μm). The inclusions were located in secant cracks in halite (Fig. 4E, F).
Internal pressure there was close to the normal atmospheric pressure. The brine composition was characterized by reduced values of all major ions relative to sedimentation and early diagenetic types, and the type of brine did not change.

The homogenization temperatures of the primary and early diagenetic fluid inclusions of the Majiagou Formation were similar, and sylvite crystals in the early diagenetic inclusions completely dissolved at 46–54°C (Table 1). Anomalously large, gas-liquid, late diagenetic inclusions were not homogenized. The temperature of homogenization of the late diagenetic gas-liquid inclusions, located in the intersected cracks, was recorded in the range of 27–30°C.

The salt deposits of Majiagou Formation formed under various P-T conditions. The sedimentation temperature was not determined due to overheating at temperatures of 62–70°C during one of the post-sedimentation stages. Large secondary fluid inclusions of recrystallized halite were depressurized under such conditions and overfilled at an elevated pressure. The formation of late-diagenetic inclusions, representing low pressure, was the result of the cleaving and stretching of individual salt blocks during tectonic processes (Matukhin et al., 1985). The preservational integrity of the primary fluid inclusions in the Majiagou Fmormation halite indicates that the chemical compositions of these inclusions are the same, and that they are different in comparison to those of the secondary fluid inclusions (Meng et al., 2019).

**INTERNAL PRESSURE WITHIN FLUID INCLUSIONS**

The primary inclusions of sedimentation halite, observed in the majority of salt-bearing formations, were characterized by their pressures being close to the normal atmospheric pressure. Some authors mentioned a pressure increase in the primary single-phase fluid inclusions (Moscowskiy, 1983) and in the primary fluid inclusions containing a gas phase (Litvinyuk, 2007). In the Majiagou halite (Zjy-47-21c), we investigated gas-liquid primary fluid inclusions (Fig. 3), with sizes ranging from 20–45 μm. Immediately after the inclusions had depressurized, the gas phase increased by 1.8–2 times in diameter (Table 2).

If all of the gas was in a different phase, the initial pressure (before inclusion depressurization) was equal to the cubic degree of the absolute increase of the gas phase radius, although in our case, only a certain portion of gas captured during crystallization was contained in the gas bubble. Taking into account the fact that the solubility of gas components increases with increasing pressure and decreasing temperature, we derived the following equation:

$$\frac{P_1}{P_0} = \frac{P_{\text{max}}}{P_0} - k_s \frac{RT}{P_0} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[V_i - V_i(0)\right],$$

(1)

where: $P_0 = 101325$ [Pa] – standard pressure (after inclusion depressurization), $P_i$ [Pa] – initial pressure (before inclusion depressurization), and $P_{\text{max}}$ [Pa] – maximum possible pressure in the inclusion (without taking into account the pressure of gas dissolved before inclusion depressurization). Additionally, $R = 8.31441$ [J / K mol] is taken as the universal gas constant, $T = 291$ [K] (18°C) is the test temperature, $r(p_i)$ and $r(p_0)$ are the solubilities of each gas component ($i$) at the initial and standard pressures, respectively, in mol/m³. Finally, $n$ – amount of components in a gas mixture, $l_i$ – molar part of the gas components, and $k_s$ – ratio of the brine inclusion volume to the initial volume of the gas bubble, such that:

$$k_s = \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{l_i}{R_i}^3 - 1$$

(2)
where: $L$ – length of the inclusion edge and $R_1$ – gas phase radius before inclusion depressurization.

Taking into account the change in pressure above a curved surface (Zhukhovitsky and Shvartsman, 1968), the maximum possible pressure is expressed by the following equation:

$$\frac{P_{\text{max}}}{P_0} = k_1^3 + \frac{2 \cdot \sigma}{R_1 \cdot P_0} \cdot (k_1^3 - 1)$$  \[3\]

where: $\sigma$ – coefficient of the surface tension for highly concentrated solutions. Within the range of 0.073–0.093 [H/m], this value does not significantly affect the accuracy of calculations; we assumed a value of $\sigma = 0.083$ [H/m]. The coefficient of the linear increase in the gas phase ($k_1$) was defined as the ratio of the standard ($R_0$) to the initial ($R_1$) radius of the surface curvature:

$$k_1 = \frac{R_0}{R_1}$$  \[4\]

Since an unknown value ($P_1$) appears on the left and right sides of Equation [1], it is better to perform those calculations using a graphic method, which requires a minimum number of calculations. Equation [1] is the linear function of $P_1/P_0$ from $r_{[p]} - r_{[p]}$, where the expression before the square brackets is the coefficient of the angular inclination of the solid lines, and $P_{\text{max}}/P_0$ is the value on the $P_1/P_0$ axis that determines their initial points (Fig. 5). The dispersion of solid lines is associated with measurement errors. The intersection points of the long broken lines and solid lines in Figure 5 determine the partial (reduced to standard) gas pressure (Table 3).

The determination of the compositions of gas mixtures in the primary inclusions of the Majiagou halite was difficult since the late-diagenetic inclusions greatly prevailed in volume. However, the gas dissolved in the brines of primary inclusions of halite contained predominantly $N_2$ and $CH_4$. For that reason, we calculated the pressure values for gas mixtures that varied in the compositions occurring in the primary inclusions in the halite of Phanerozoic deposits (Tables 4 and 5). One can conclude from these calculations, regardless of the composition of the
gas, that pressure was proportional in the inclusions. At pressures of 0.47–0.58 MPa, a brine column thickness of 37–46 m corresponded to the pressure prevailing at the bottom of the salt basin.

### DISCUSSION

Halite is a unique sedimentary mineral. Among the minerals found in sedimentary rocks, only in halite (and very rarely in sylvite) peculiar skeletal forms are established, which are uniquely identified as sedimentary crystalline textures. They contain numerous fluid inclusions, which are the conserved microdroplets of the brines of ancient salt water basins (Kovalevych and Vovnyuk, 2010). The presence of rhythmic zonation in halite, manifested by inclusion-rich bands separated by clear halite bands without inclusions, caused by temperature fluctuations forming during the day, is typical for shallow salt basins. However, the types of chevron structure zonation can be related to various physicochemical conditions of halite crystallization and zonation did not always indicate the depth of basin (after Petrichenko, 1988). In the present study, halite samples did not represent typical rhythmic chevron zonation, but there was non-systematic zonation with rather poorly displayed rhythms (Fig. 3).

Our conclusions are based on the results of a study of superheated fluid inclusions in halite, from a depth more than 2.5 km, and thus without conclusive evidence of the reliability of the results obtained, these results cannot be considered valid. The question of the correspondence of the physicochemical data obtained from the study of fluid inclusions in halite to the conditions of the salt formation still remains controversial. The reason for this is the high plasticity and solubility of halite, for

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fluid inclusion</th>
<th>Size [µm]</th>
<th>Homogenization temperature [°C]</th>
<th>Homogenization temperature of the primary and secondary fluid inclusions, with gas bubbles and sylvite daughter crystals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gas bubble</td>
<td>Syl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Yu-33</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>primary</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>early diagenetic</td>
<td>300x200</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–/–</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Zjy-24-057</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>primary</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–/–</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>early diagenetic</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–/–</td>
<td>250x180</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Zjy-47-21c</td>
<td></td>
<td>50–100</td>
<td>58, 62, 62, 67, 68, 68, 68, 68, 70,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>primary</td>
<td>50–100</td>
<td>58, 62, 62, 67, 68, 68, 68, 68, 70,</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lines indicate that data were unavailable

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inclusion No.</th>
<th>Inclusion and gas phase parameters [µm]</th>
<th>Coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R₀</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( k₂ \) – ratio of the brine inclusion volume to the initial volume of the gas bubble; \( P₀ \) – initial pressure; \( P_{\text{max}} \) – maximum pressure; \( R \) – universal gas constant; \( T \) – temperature

\( r(p₄) \)– dissolvability of pure gases on pressure

The long broken lines represent the solvability of these gases and are drawn according to Pavlov et al. (1987); the solid lines represent the numbers of inclusions based on the data from Table 2

### Fig. 5

The dependence of dissolved gases on pressure

The long broken lines represent the solvability of these gases and are drawn according to Pavlov et al. (1987); the solid lines represent the numbers of inclusions based on the data from Table 2
Nor mal seawater, thereby resulting in a higher salinity water depth of the inner clastic carbonate platform model (Fig. 2). During highstands, when connections to the external ocean increased, the inner epeiric lagoon was dominated by the sedimentation of carbonate rocks with scarce evaporites.

In the boreholes below the salt deposits, there are mainly marine limestones (Fig. 2) created during sea level highstand. Above the salt deposits, there are dolomites with shallow-water depositional features, such as erosional surfaces and breccia. Therefore, Zhang et al. (1991) concluded that in the beginning, halite was formed in a deep basin, and then the evaporitic basin gradually shallowed to a sabkha environment. Macroscopic observations of the salt types and distribution patterns of the microfacies (Bao et al., 2004) support such variability. The origin of the various colours of evaporites is associated with the depth and environment of salt sedimentation, where dark halite is found in the lower parts of cores and changes into white halite in the middle parts, and finally into red halite containing high potassium contents in the upper parts of the cores. The halite investigated comes from the lower part of the Ma-5 section, which was deposited when the evaporitic basin was still relatively deep (~40 m), as shown in previous studies (Zhang et al., 1991; Bao et al., 2004).

Our research indicates the possibility of using a method of mathematical calculations to estimate the depth of the basin. These studies were carried out on selected samples with inclusions larger than 10–15 μm, suitable for research under optical microscopy. We do not have enough data from other sections of the Majiagou Formation (Fig. 2) to determine the potential changes in the depth of the Ordos Basin.

Such depth changes were recorded for example in the Devonian Pripyat Basin (Petrichenko, 1988). The calculated depth of that basin was 22–30 m at the beginning of halite sedimentation, while after the sedimentation of a 250 m thick rock salt succession, the calculated depth decreased to 8–10 m. In the Permian Dnieper-Donets Basin, the calculated basin depth gradually changed from 13–15 m to 50 m during the sedimentation of a 40 m rock salt layer. In the upper part of the profile, halite deposition was abruptly replaced by the sedimentation of calcium sulphates, and the calculated depth reached 50–55 m (Petrichenko, 1988).

**CONCLUSIONS**

Studies of two-phase inclusions (liquid + gas) in halites from ancient marine salt-bearing formations make it possible to calculate the depth of the salt basin. However, such research

---

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of lines (inclusions)</th>
<th>Initial / standard pressure $p_i/p_0$ [MPa]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$N_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas mixture options</th>
<th>Content amount $N_2$ [vol.%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$N_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

body with an increasing salinity gradient toward its centre. In this high-salinity central lagoon, with occasionally stratified brine, the precipitation of halite crystals and the deposition of a large amount of thickly bedded rock salt occurred (Fig. 6). During highstands, when connections to the external ocean increased, the inner epeiric lagoon was dominated by the sedimentation of carbonate rocks with scarce evaporites.

Many physical and chemical observations (Petrichenko, 1973, 1988; Bukowski et al., 2000; Galamay et al., 2003; Galamay and Bukowski, 2011; Meng et al., 2014) suggest that, after exposure of halite containing liquid inclusions, at temperatures ranging from 50 to 110°C and with increased pressure, the informative value of primary inclusions in halite may be preserved. The gas phase can appear due to stretching or partial cracking in inclusions after heating to >50°C (Roedder, 1984), and the homogenization temperature of such inclusions corresponds to the temperature of salt deposit overheating (Petrichenko, 1973). The integrity of early diageneric inclusions with the primary fluid inclusions in the Majiagou Formation halite have been preserved. This indicates that they are of the same chemical composition, and that the secondary fluid inclusions have a different composition (Meng et al., 2018).

The brine depth during the deposition of Ordovician evaporites in the Ordos Basin has been the subject of much controversy (Xue, 1986; Zhang et al., 1991; Bao et al., 2004). The lithology and the variation in facies and sedimentary structures of the evaporites clearly show that those of the 5th member of the Majiagou Formation formed in a marine epicontinental basin, in which the facies distributions are similar to a bull’s eye pattern, with rock salts in the center of the basin (Xue, 1986). There are two contrasting models for the sedimentary facies of the Ordovician evaporites in the Ordos Basin. One is a classic carbonate platform model (Yao et al., 2008), and the other is a carbonate ramp model (Hou et al., 2002). According to Chen et al. (2019), during sea level lowstands, the water depth of the inner epeiric lagoon was less than the depth of the normal sea water, thereby resulting in a higher salinity water
should be preceded by identification genetic types of inclusions. Only fluid inclusions for which the chemical composition of brines was unchanged due to depressurization during their stretching or partial cracking are suitable for calculating basin depth.

The salt deposits of the Majiagou Formation during the post-sedimentation stages were overheated at temperatures of 62–70°C. The coarse, late-diagenetic fluid inclusions of the recrystallized halite were depressurized under such conditions and overlapped at an elevated pressure. Meanwhile, the primary inclusions retained their integrity.

Slightly higher pressure of gas (relative to the atmospheric pressure) in the primary inclusions of bottom-grown halite crystals indicates a brine column thickness of ~40 m.

Our findings also support previous conclusions regarding the sedimentation model of the Ordovician Majiagou Formation based on petrological and sedimentary studies (Zhang et al., 1991; Bao et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2019).
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