INTRODUCTION

Many syenite-monzonite rocks are associated with gabbro, diorite, monzogabbro, monzodiorite and appinite rocks, and are metaluminous to peralkaline in terms of their composition (Murphy, 2013; Haldar and Tišljar, 2014). In many cases, they are also commonly associated with granites (Gill, 2010). Syenites often occur in extensional settings in association with peralkaline A-type granitoids (anorogenic), monzonites, and alkaline or thoiliteic diorite-gabbro (e.g., monzogabbro). These environments are associated with the continental rifts (e.g., Green, 1992; Upton et al., 1996; Upton et al., 2003), hot spots (e.g., Upton et al., 2003; Bailey et al., 2006; Kogarko et al., 2006), back-arc tensional basins, subduction-related settings (e.g., Beard and Borgia, 1989; Bacon et al., 2007; Fazlnia and Alizade, 2013; Murphy, 2013; Fazlnia, 2017), and continental syn- or post-collision zones (Gualda and Vlach, 2007; Gill, 2010; Aghazadeh et al., 2010; Castro et al., 2013; Moreno et al., 2014, 2016). Geographical areas, in which syenite-monzonite-gabbro complexes occur, include lopolith intrusions (Kogarko et al., 2006), continental rift intrusions (Green, 1992; Upton et al., 1996, 2003), and subduction-related assemblages (Arculus and Wills, 1980; Conrad and Kay, 1984; Beard and Borgia, 1989; Bacon et al., 2007).

In Iran, major plutonic outcrops associated with the Zagros orogenic belt have been formed during Mesozoic and Tertiary times (Berberian and King, 1981; Alavi, 1994). The Zagros orogenic belt, which is considered part of the Alpine orogenic system, consists of three parallel zones with the NW–SE trend. These zones include (1) the Zagros Fold-Thrust Belt, (2) the Sanandaj–Sirjan Zone, and (3) the Urumieh–Dokhtar Magmatic Arc (UDMA; Alavi, 1994; Fig. 1). All three zones extend to the north-west of Iran.

Petrological studies in northwestern Iran have indicated that syenite-monzonite-gabbro assemblages might have been created in the supra-subduction zone (Aghazadeh et al., 2010) and/or post-collision settings (gabbroic-syenitic-monzonitic in-
Fig. 1. Simplified geological map of eastern Miandoab (modified after Rezaei et al., 2009); the bottom right of the figure is a simplified map of Iran and locations of the Urumieh–Dokhtar Magmatic Arc and Sanandaj–Sirjan Zone (modified after Stöcklin, 1968).
trusions from the Alborz magmatic belt, NW Iran; Castro et al., 2013). Therefore, the alkali rocks, such as syenite-monzonite-gabbro assemblages in the north-west of Iran, were formed during the final stages of the Neotethys subduction beneath Central Iran. In addition to the alkali intrusive rocks, alkali volcanic rocks, such as trachytes, trachybasalts and basalts of the same ages, have been found throughout northwestern Iran (Kheirkhah et al., 2009; Azizi et al., 2014). These rocks are also associated with the last stages of the Neotethys subduction beneath Central Iran, or with syn- to post-collision environments between the Arabian and Central Iran plates.

The Arbat syenite-monzonite-gabbro complex, in the eastern Miandoab from the northern UDMA of Iran, comprises part of the rocks exposed in the Zagros orogenic belt. This study investigated the geochronological and tectonic setting of the rocks. The study of these rocks could help to reconstruct the last stages of the Neotethys subduction in the northwestern region of the UDMA, Iran. The study area could be of great interest for the Alpino-Himalayan orogenic belt. The study of these rocks could also help to understand the old tectonic environment in the central parts of the belt.

**GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND FIELD OBSERVATIONS**

The UDMA is a subduction-related Andean-type magmatic arc composed of tholeiitic, calc-alkaline, and K-rich alkaline intrusive and extrusive rocks, along with an active margin of the Iranian Plate, located between the Sanandaj–Sirjan and Central Iran zones (Alavi, 1994; Shahabpour, 2007). The mentioned lithology is a result of the Neotethys subduction beneath Central Iran (Berberian and King, 1981). The oldest rocks in the UDMA are calc-alkaline intrusive rocks that cut across the Upper Jurassic formations and are overlain unconformably by the Lower Cretaceous fossiliferous limestone. The Eocene Urumieh–Dokhtar Magmatic Arc contains abundant mafic to intermediate volcanic and intrusive rocks, with calc-alkaline to shoshonitic chemistry (Berberian and King, 1981; Alavi, 1994; Yeganehfar et al., 2013; Jafari et al., 2015; Hassanzadeh and Wernicke, 2016; Babazadeh et al., 2017; Jafari et al., 2018; Fazlnia, 2018a). The youngest rocks in the UDMA consist mostly of lava flows and pyroclastics that belong to the Pliocene to Quaternary volcanic zones of adakite, alkaline and calc-alkaline composition (Berberian and Berberian, 1981; McCreesh et al., 2003; Jahangiri, 2007; Omrani et al., 2008; Neill et al., 2013, 2015; Rasouli et al., 2016). All these formations are related to the subduction of the northern Neotethys (Nabavi, 1976). In contrast, Kheirkhah et al. (2009), Allen et al. (2013), McQuarrie and van Hinsbergen (2013), and Azizi et al. (2014) have concluded that the youngest rocks in the UDMA were formed at the end of subduction. The Arbat intrusions are located 25 km east of Miandoab and in the north of UDMA (Fig. 1), according to the structural divisions of Stöcklin (1968).

Eocene–Oligocene syenites and the associated rocks from UDMA and north-west Central Iran have been studied (Ashrafi et al., 2009; Ferdowsi et al., 2015). These rocks are saturated or undersaturated in silica. Intrusions from the northwestern part of UDMA, NW Iran, are Si-saturated and undersaturated, and are alkali-normative in their compositions (Ashrafi et al., 2009; Tajbaksh et al., 2012; Ferdowsi et al., 2015). These rocks occurred in a syn- or post-collision arc-related setting, during the Neotethys closure and the final evolution of the Zagros Orogeny.

Various studies (Aghazadeh et al., 2010; Castro et al., 2013) of granite-syenite-monzonite-gabbro intrusions from NW Iran (northern UDMA), Late Eocene–Oligocene in age, and from the western Alborz magmatic belt (a part of the Zagros orogen) indicate that these rocks show saturated-under saturated shoshonitic and adakitic characteristics. These rocks were derived by the melting of a metasomatized mantle in a post-collision arc-related setting that resulted from the Neotethys closure during the final evolution of the Zagros Orogeny.

Some Late Eocene to Late Miocene alkaline and shoshonitic outcrops in the NW part of UDMA have been expanded into lava flows and dykes with the compositions of micro-monzonite, micro-syenite, lamprophyre, basanite, and foid-bearing rocks in NW Iran (Moayyed et al., 2008; Ashrafi et al., 2009; Shafai Moghadam et al., 2014; Aghazadeh and Badrzadeh, 2015). These researchers indicated that the rocks were formed in a post-collision arc setting related to a continental collision between Central Iran and the Arabian plates.

The Arbat intrusions from the eastern part of Miandoab (Fig. 1) are mostly monzonitic and monzosyenitic rocks along with mafic parts, such as monzogabbro and monzodiorite, and with felsic parts, like alkali syenite (Fig. 2). This area is part of UDMA, based on the structural-sedimentary divisions of Iran (Stöcklin, 1968). The Arbat intrusions are located in the southwestern and south-western parts of the previously studied syenites and the related rocks from the northwestern UDMA (Ashrafi et al., 2009; Aghazadeh et al., 2010; Castro et al., 2013; Ferdowsi et al., 2015). In addition, these rocks are located 100 km to the south-east of the Islami (Saray) Peninsula (Shafai Moghadam et al., 2014). They suggested that the Late Miocene Saray high-K magmas were derived to a small degree from partial melting of the subduction-metasomatized (subcontinental) lithospheric mantle source in a post-collisional setting.

The study of igneous intrusions into the Cretaceous limestone, shale and regional metamorphic rocks can be of interest. Around the village of Arbat (Fig. 1), all types of igneous rocks have intruded into the Eocene Fajan and Ziarat formations. These intrusions metamorphosed the formations and were covered by the Oligo-Miocene formations (Rezaii et al., 2009). The fragments of Eocene volcanic rocks can be seen in the form of metamorphosed xenoliths (hornfels) within these intrusions. Therefore, the age of all study rocks could be between Early to Late Oligocene. However, Rezaii et al. (2009) offered the Oligocene age for these rocks.

Many studied outcrops are observed in the erosional and mould formed sets (Fig. 2A–C). Some parts of the mafic and felsic outcrops have been exposed in a rubblemold form of different sizes (Fig. 2B, C) as a result of the onion-skin weathering. All rock types are coarse-grained and some crystals are more than 3 cm in size (Fig. 2A, bottom right, 2E). Fresh outcrops of the mafic rocks are seen in grey (Fig. 2D). The mafic and felsic parts have normal contact (Fig. 2A) and the existence of the rounded fine-grained, more mafic cognate enclaves from the early stages of crystallization in the magma chamber inside the felsic parts (2E, bottom right) show two different crystalline accumulations. These enclaves were formed at the initial pluses of magma injection; they have been surrounded by the subsequent pluses of magma injection (Didier and Barbarian, 1991). In addition, they may be the result of two distinct magma types (e.g., one crustal, and one mantle-derived; see the discussion section). Coarse-grained dikes with a small thickness, from a few centimetres to 10 centimetres of felsic parts inside mafic parts and of mafic parts inside felsic part (Fig. 2E) indicate that
Fig. 2. Field observations of mafic to felsic alkaline intrusions from the east of Miandoab

A – common syenite to gabbro outcrops; B, C – syenite and gabbro turned to cobbles due to onion-skin weathering; D – thick and coarse-grained intrusions of gabbro and monzogabbro; E – coarse-grained syenite-monzonite dikes ins gabbro and monzogabbro, mafic microgranular enclaves from the mafic parts in the felsic parts are shown in bottom right; F – fragments of Eocene volcanic rocks in the form of hornfels within the intrusions.
all types of the rocks are of the same age. The observation of the fragments of the Eocene volcanic rocks with the hornfels structure (Fig. 2F) inside the Arbat intrusions indicates the penetration of these masses in the Eocene rocks.

PETROGRAPHIC OBSERVATIONS

The syenites are generally coarse-grained (the average grain size is 4 mm) and composed mainly of alkali feldspar (80–100 vol.%) with the variable development of perthitic intergrowth textures, plagioclase (0–10 vol.%), and biotite and opaque minerals (0–5 vol.%; Fig. 3A). The rocks have mostly granular and laminated textures, and long perthitic intergrowth alkalifeldspars occur with the sutured boundaries. There are no magmatic initial-hydrous minerals, such as amphibole and biotite, in these rocks.

The monzonites are generally medium-grained (with the average grain size of 0.6 mm); they are composed mainly of alkali feldspar (40–60 vol.%), plagioclase (20–45 vol.%), biotite (5–20 vol.%), clinopyroxene (2–5 vol.%), and opaque minerals (2–5 vol.%). Accessory apatite and sometimes titanite occur with small abundances (Fig. 3B). The rocks have primarily a granular texture. Laminated textures and long perthitic intergrowth alkali feldspars also occur in the rocks.

The mafic facies (Fig. 2A, B, D, E) are mostly composed of gabbro, monzogabbro and monzodiorite. The rocks are generally medium-grained (the average grain size of 0.7 mm). They are composed mainly of plagioclase (25–45 vol.%), alkali feldspar (5–15 vol.%), biotite (5–15 vol.%), olivine (5–20 vol.%), clinopyroxene (10–30 vol.%), and opaque minerals (5–15 vol.%). In some of these rocks, titanite occurs in the spaces between the crystals (Fig. 3D). Euhedral fine-grained apatite crystals occur within the biotite. Their texture varies from granular to cumulate and intercumulate; however, in some places, a poikilitic texture, in which relatively large crystals of biotite enclose numerous smaller crystals of olivine, clinopyroxene and plagioclase, is observed (Fig. 3C, D). Most of the plagioclase enclaves (Fig. 2E) are of monzonite- monzodiorite composition. With a sharp boundary, they are finer-grained than their host rocks. The enclaves occur in syenites and monzonites.

WHOLE-ROCK GEOCHEMISTRY

ANALYTICAL METHODS

Whole-rock samples (22) were dried at 60°C and sieved to 80 mesh. They were jaw-crushed to 70% passing 10 mesh (2 mm), of which 250 g aliquots were pulverized to 95% passing 150 mesh (100 mm) in a mild steel ring and puck mill. The chemical compositions of the samples were determined at the GeoLab and ACME Analytical Laboratories Ltd., Vancouver, Canada. Aliquots of the 0.2 g sample material were weighed into a graphite crucible and mixed with 1.5 g of LiBO_2·Li_2B_4O_7 flux. The flux/sample charge was heated in a muffle furnace for 30 min at 980°C. The cooled bead was dissolved in 100 ml of 5% HNO_3. An aliquot of the solution was poured into a polycrylene test tube for measurement. Calibration standards, verification standards, and re-agent blanks were included in the sample sequence. The values of the major and minor oxides and trace elements were determined by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) and inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometry (ICP-ES). Rare earth element (REE) contents were determined by the inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). Loss on ignition (LOI) was determined by the weight loss of 1 g sample after heating at 950°C for 90 min. Additionally, the geochemical data were evaluated by correlation coefficient analysis, elemental ratios, and mass change calculations. The geochemical results are provided in Appendices 1 and 2.

CHEMICAL CLASSIFICATION

The Arbat plutonic rocks (in the eastern Miandoab) were classified using the total alkalis SiO2 (wt.%) vs (K2O+Na2O wt.%) diagram of Middlemost (1994; Fig. 4A). The mafic rocks were plotted in a wide range represented by gabбро monzogabbro and monzodiorite fields, in accordance with their petrographic features. The felsic rocks were plotted in the monzonite, quartz monzonite and syenite fields, in agreement with their petrographic characteristics. All Arbat plutonic rocks lay within shoshonite-ultrapotasial to alkaline fields defined by Peccerillo and Taylor (1976; Fig. 4B) and Müller et al. (1992; Fig. 4C), and Middlemost (1994; Fig. 4A). These plutonic rocks display low to high potassic affinity with the K2O/Na2O ratios ranging from 0.6 to 1.9 (Appendix 1). The felsic rocks show affinity with metaluminous to weakly peraluminous rocks in the diagram of Shand (1927; Fig. 4D).

GEOCHEMISTRY

The study samples had a wide range of major and minor elements trends vs SiO2 (Harker, 1909); SiO2 (48–69 wt.%), Al2O3 (12–20 wt.%), Fe2O3 (2–11 wt.%), MgO (0.2–12 wt.%), CaO (0.6–10 wt.%), K2O (1.4–8.2 wt.%), Co, V, Sc, Ba, Sr, Rb, Th, Zr, Nb, Y, and REE (rare earth elements), from mafic to felsic rocks (Appendices 1–3).

Decreasing trends of Fe2O3, MgO and MnO oxides, and of Co, Ni, Sc, and V with increasing silica reflected the contribution of these elements to the structure of ferromagnesian minerals, such as olivine, clinopyroxene, biotite, titanite, and iron oxides in mafic units during the early stages of magmatic crystallization. The decreasing and then increasing trends of TiO2 oxide and Y appeared in the contributions of the oxide in the structure of ferromagnesian minerals, such as biotite and titanite, in the intermediate units. The low levels of the oxide in the mafic and felsic units could be due to the low abundances of the minerals. The downward trend of CaO could be due to its participation in the building of clinopyroxene and Ca-rich plagioclase in rocks with a more basic property. The increasing and then decreasing trend of Al2O3 demonstrated also that plagioclase and alkali feldspar were the important accumulation phases during the formation of the intermediate units. The increasing trend of K2O and Na2O oxides, and Ba, Rb, Th, Nb, Hf, and REE with enhancing silica exhibited the contribution of these elements to the structure of felsic minerals, such as alkali feldspar and biotite, and the accessory minerals, such as zircon, apatite, and titanite, in the felsic units during the late stages of magmatic crystallization. The high relative values of K2O and Na2O in the mafic rocks were the result of the high modal percentages of alkali feldspar and biotite. These variations in the oxides and elements indicated that fractional crystallization was an important
Fig. 3. Microscopic images of syenitic to gabbroic outcrops, eastern Miandoab

A – alkali syenite with large alkali feldspar minerals; B – monzonite with large alkali feldspar minerals and clinopyroxene, biotite, plagioclase, alkali feldspar, titanite and apatite minerals; C, D – monzogabbro with abundant crystals of olivine and clinopyroxene; E – monzogabbro with abundant crystals of biotite, olivine and clinopyroxene along with plagioclase, alkali feldspar, and opaque minerals; F – the boundary of fine-grained cognate enclave (containing plagioclase, alkali feldspar, clinopyroxene, and biotite minerals) with monzonite; abbreviations after Kretz (1983): Ap – apatite, Bt – biotite, Cpx – clinopyroxene, Kfs – K-feldspar, Ol – olivine, Pl – plagioclase feldspar, Ttn – titanite
Fig. 4. Descriptive diagrams for determining the nature and classification of the Arbat intrusions, east of Miandoab

A – silica oxide vs total alkaline oxide diagram (Middlemost, 1994); B – silica oxide vs potassium oxide diagram (Peccerillo and Tylor, 1976), discriminant line between shoshonitic and alkali potassic suites is after Calanchi et al. (2002); C – Ce/Yb vs Ta/Yb diagram (Müller et al., 1992); D – discrimination diagram of aluminum changes (A/NK vs A/CNK) based on molar values (Shand, 1927); E – Na₂O+K₂O-CaO vs SiO₂ diagram after Frost et al. (2001); F – The SiO₂ vs Fe-number (wt.%) diagram after Frost et al. (2001), spotted fields in (E) and (F) are gabbro, monzonite, syenite and quartz syenite from the Katerina Ring Complex, southern Sinai, Egypt (Moreno et al., 2014, 2016)
factor in the formation of Arbat intrusions. The different values of $P_{2}O_{5}$ were complying with the various modal percentages of apatite.

High field strength elements (HFSE), such as Nb, Ta, Hf, Zr, P and Ti, large-ion lithophile elements (LILE), such as Rb, K and Cs (except for Sr and Ba), rare earth elements (REEs), and the $(La/Yb)_n$ and $(La/Sm)_n$ ratios were increased from the gabbroic to monzonitic and syenitic rocks (Figs. 5 and 6; Appendix 2). In contrast, the $(Sm/Yb)_n$ ratio was almost constant in all types of rocks. The slightly negative-Eu anomaly was observed in all rock units. This demonstrated that plagioclase might not be an important accumulation phase during the formation of these rocks, or plagioclase was a stable phase at the liquidus of partial melting. Another possibility is that the source rock was poor in this element. However, the low Eu contents in the Arbat intrusive rocks confirmed the last scenario. With a review of the patterns of the decreasing and increasing values of the elements (Figs. 5 and 6), it could be concluded that the origin of all rocks was similar, and the fractional crystallization in the parental magma created a combined variation from gabbro to syenite. The values of U and Th were high in all rocks of the intrusions.

**DISCUSSION**

**TECTONIC IMPLICATIONS**

In most samples, the HFSE (Nb, Ta, Zr and Hf) along with P and some LILE (Rb, K and Cs) clearly indicated negative and positive anomalies in comparison with the primitive mantle, respectively (Fig. 5). These anomalies occurred in the subduction zones (e.g., Pearce et al., 1984; Pearce and Peate, 1995; White, 2005; Gill, 2010). The HFSE with very low solubility could not be introduced from the subducted slab to the partial melting situation by the subduction fluids (Brenan et al., 1994; Pearce, 1996; White, 2005). The occurrence of the preserved minerals of the elements, such as rutile, apatite and titanite, and their stability in the melt liquidus at the mantle wedge also could cause negative anomalies in some of the HFSE (Woodhead et al., 1993; White, 2005; Xiong et al., 2005). In addition, the depletion of these elements in the felsic parts could indicate the crust interference in their formation (Swain et al., 2008). Such anomalies could also be seen in the melts created from post-collision environments, where there was a subduction-modified mantle source (Fig. 7) to generate these rocks (Neill et al., 2013, 2015). Therefore, small-volume mafic melts were created as a result of the metasomatized mantle in a post-initial collision or break-off scenario (Fig. 7). The alkaline-shoshonitic-ultrapotassic characteristic of all samples (Fig. 4) compiled with the syn- or post-collision tectonic setting. These conditions likely occurred in the mantle wedge of the Neo-Tethys subduction under Central Iran during the last stages of the subduction.

During Oligocene–Miocene times, the end of the Neo-Tethys subduction might have occurred beneath Central Iran in the northwestern part of Iran (Molinaro et al., 2005; Vergès et al., 2011; Shafiei Bafti and Mohajjel, 2015; Azizi et al., 2016; Hassanzadeh and Wernicke, 2016; Fig. 7). Oblique subduction of the Neo-Tethys and tectonic pressure from the Arabian Plate towards the north-east with an angle of about 55° during and after the collision with Central Iran might have built up shear stresses in the upper crust (McClay et al., 2004). The reduction in the lithostatic pressure of the upper mantle caused the decompressive partial melting in the mantle wedge forming the Arbat mafic melts. The results of this study suggested that a post-initial collision might have led to the break-off of the Neo-Tethys slab beneath the Arbat continental crust in the Oligocene. Persistence of oblique tectonic stresses caused the right-lateral strike-slip faults, which facilitated the injection of the mafic magmas into the base of the lower crust (Fig. 7). The faults resulted in slab break-off in the remnants of the oceanic crust under the collision zone of the Arabian-Eurasian plates and the decompression melting process in the upwelling mantle due to the formation of the pull-apart basin resulted from the faults.
In order to provide new constraints on the origin and tectonomagmatic evolution of the Arbat intrusions from the UDMA, NW Iran (Appendix 4), we have compared the compositions of syenite-monzonite-gabbro from the Arbat complex to those from post-collisional Ediacaran felsic-mafic rocks in the Katerina Ring Complex (S Sinai, Egypt; Moreno et al., 2014, 2016). Geochemical fields for comparisons in the figure are based on the studies of Moreno et al. (2014, 2016). The comparisons showed that the Y/Nb, Th/Nb, Th/Ta, La/Nb and Ce/Pb ratios of the Arbat intrusions were mostly similar to those of the continental crust (CC), subduction-related magmatic suites and continental arc (Sub and CA), mafic-felsic rock suites, and continental convergent margin rocks and shoshonites (Sh). In addition, these rock types have, relative to the primitive mantle, negative Nb, Ta, Hf and Zr anomalies (Fig. 5). These characteristics along with enrichment in LILEs are consistent with a continental arc, syn- or post initial-collision tectonic setting.

**MAGMA GENERATION**

The study of many alkaline volcanic and intrusive rocks from NW Iran in the UDMA show that all the rocks occurred and developed in an arc-related setting as a result of the syn-collision or post-collision between the Central Iran and Arabic plates during or after the closure of the Neotethys (Moayyed et al., 2008; Ashrafi et al., 2009; Aghazadeh et al., 2010; Castro et al., 2013; Shafaii Moghadam et al., 2014; Aghazadeh and Badrzadeh, 2015). However, three suggestions can be presented for the origin of the Arbat syenite-monzonite-gabbro from the East Mandoab:

- partial melting in the subducted slab,
- partial melting of the metasomatic mantle wedge in the supra-subduction zone,
- partial melting at the base of the lower continental crust in the supra-subduction zone for the formation of felsic potassic magmas because of the exposure of hot mantle magmas.

There was no high-silica adakite (see Bonin, 2007) in the study area at the same time of the formation of the studied rocks. Therefore, the first scenario could not support the formation of the rocks.

At the time of the collision and after that, the slab break-off occurred (Molinaro et al., 2005; Omrani et al., 2008; Neil et al., 2013, 2015; Francois et al., 2014a, b; Azizi et al., 2014; Shafaii Moghadam et al., 2014). Slab rollback and then partial delamination could also have occurred (Jahangiri, 2007; Azizi et al., 2014). Post-collisional relaxation process (Aghazadeh et al., 2011; Neil et al., 2015) in the subducted slab at the Oligocene–Miocene might have happened too. Hence, the interference of the subducted slab in the production of subductional fluids and their transportation to the mantle wedge, as well as mantle raising from the slab break-off situation or mantle raising due to the subducted slab rollback, were the melting process factors at the mantle wedge. The gabbroic-monzogabbroic melts were formed in such a condition. Geochemical evidence indicated that these rocks were formed by the partial melting of a mantle spinel lherzolite in the wedge (Appendix 5). These depths along with the syn-tectonic or post-tectonic conditions indicated that mafic magmas could be formed due to post-collision uplifting; hence, pressure on the partial melting location in the mantle wedge was reduced (decompression melting). This process along with the subduction-fluid interference produced from the remnants of subducted slab, the slab break-off in the subducted-slab remnants, and the injection of the deeper parts of the mantle to the mantle wedge resulted in the partial melting (Figs. 7 and 8A) and production of the mafic melt rich in potassium.

Oblique subduction of the Neotethys beneath Central Iran occurred during the Middle Triassic to Middle Cenozoic (McCly et al., 2004; Molinaro et al., 2005; Agard et al., 2011; Alamini et al., 2013; Azizi et al., 2014; Neil et al., 2015; Hassanzadeh and Wernicke, 2016; Jafari et al., 2018; Fazlnia, 2018a, b). These researchers have explained that such subduction caused the formation of the right-lateral strike-slip faults to the crust depths on the western edge of Central Iran (UDMA). In addition, Fazlnia (2018b) suggested that the
Sardasht mafic and felsic intrusions were formed as a result of the Neotethys slab break-off and transtension along the SE-trending lateral strike-slip fault zones related to the oblique subduction of the Neotethys plate underneath the east SSZ in the Early to Middle Eocene. In addition to this scenario, it is possible that sub-crustal processes, such as lithospheric dripping, could be appropriate for the formation of these rocks. As a result, these faults helped the mantle to uplift in the study area during syn-collision, especially for the post-initial collision of the Central Iran and Arabian plates. Therefore, the pressure reduction process happened properly, leading to partial melting (decompression melting) at the mantle wedge and to the formation of the mafic melt rich in potassium.

**EVOLUTION OF MAGMATIC PROCESSES**

The mafic melts probably resulted from a partial melting process of a mantle spinel lherzolite in the wedge (Appendix 5). The ultrapotassic-shoshonite-alkaline characteristics of the Arbat gabbro-monzogabbro samples (Fig. 4A–C) indicated that the melts had resulted from the low percentages of the partial melting of the mantle source. After the melting of the mantle, the melts were separated from that and injected into the crustal magma chambers. Potassium-rich intermediate and felsic rocks in the chambers were formed by the fractional crystallization process (Fig. 8B). At these crustal magma chambers, the fractional crystallization (FC) along with assimilation and fractional crystallization (AFC) might have caused the evolution of the intermediate to felsic parts (Fig. 8C). Geochemical evidence of spider diagrams (Figs. 5 and 6) and Harker variation diagrams (Appendix 3) displayed the same origin for all rocks. Fractional crystallization was also probably the most important factor in the evolution of the Arbat intrusions. Some paradoxes in the distribution patterns of the elements in some samples resulted probably from the AFC process in the crustal chambers. The Th/Ta (1.7–8.4), Th/Nb (1.5–18) and Ce/Pb (0.16–0.30) ratios in the Arbat syenites supported the negligible involvement of a crustal component in the sources of the rocks (e.g., Moreno et al., 2014, 2016). Therefore, the decreasing and increasing values of the elements, such as HFSE and LILE, were probably due to the influx of fluids associated with subduction. Our data suggested that a syn- or post-collision tectonic setting might have led to the break-off of the Neotethys slab beneath the Arbat active continental collision in the Oligocene. Therefore, it is possible that changes in the Th/Nb, Th/Ta, La/Nb and Ce/Pb ratios could be due to the penetration of asthenospheric magmas from below the slab break-off to the mantle wedge. Fractional crystallization along with the convection processes in the Arbat magma chambers led to the occurrence of lithological variations in the outcrops of the intrusions and more mafic cognate enclaves (Fig. 2E). Yang et al. (2012), Carvalho et al. (2014), Litvinovsky et al. (2015) and Bao et al. (2016) emphasized that probable parts crystallized earlier, in the next pluses of magma injection or during convective flows within the magma chamber, were scattered as enclaves.

**Fig. 7. Tectonomagmatic evolution of the Arbat intrusions**

Three dimensional picture of the evolution during Oligocene with the direction of the Arabian Plate towards Central Iran (modified from Fazinia, 2018b); the fields on the Sanandaj–Sirjan Zone and Urmia–Dokhtar Magmatic Arc are the orientation of the instantaneous strain ellipse.
CONCLUSIONS

The Arbat gabro-monzonite-syenitic complex from the east of Miandoab is metaluminous with alkaline-shoshonite-ultrapotassic characteristics. These rocks were formed in a syn-collision or post-collision arc-related setting in the Oligocene. The intrusions evolved during or after the continental collision at the NW edge of UDMA, Iran. The oblique subduction, closure of Neotethys, and then a continental collision between the Central Iran and Arabian plates caused the evolution of the study area. The mantle wedge beneath the Arbat area endured partial melting and production of the potassium-rich mafic melts as a result of subduction-zone fluids and metasomatic processes, decompression melting due to the activities of the depth faults, penetration of deep-mantle liquids due to the slab break-off or slab rollback, and/or lithospheric dripping and then partial delamination in the subducted-slab remnants. Therefore, the Arbat mafic melts were probably produced in a subduction-modified mantle source. These mantle wedge magmas endured fractional crystallization (FC process) and, probably, contamination and assimilation (AFC process) to form potassium-rich intermediate and felsic rocks during the injection at the crustal magma chambers.
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