Stratigraphy and palaeoenvironment of Stajnia Cave (southern Poland) with regard to habitation of the site by Neanderthals
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The Stajnia Cave is one of the most important archaeological sites due to the finds of the first remains of Neanderthals in Poland, and several tens of thousands of flint artefacts from the Middle Palaeolithic. Based on geological, geochemical, palaeobotanical, palaeoecological, archaeological and isotope analyses, coupled with absolute age determinations (OSL, U-Th and C14), 15 lithostratigraphic layers were distinguished and palaeoenvironmental conditions during the sedimentation of these beds were determined. The cave loams accumulated through weathering, aeolian and fluvial processes. Their age may be correlated with an interval from the Early Glacial to the Late Pleniglacial of the Weisian (Weichselian) Glaciation. Archaeological relics related to the Neanderthals have been discovered in layer D with an absolute age of about 52,000–45,000 years BP and correlated with MIS 3 – the Middle Plenistivilian (Interplenistivilian). Climate oscillations in the Weisian are reflected by the type of the sediments and their physical-chemical features, allowing determining warmer interstidial and colder stadial periods. Generally, the climate was cold, characteristic of tundra areas with a typical vegetation and fauna, and with the mean temperature of the warmest month not exceeding 12°C. Based on multi-proxy studies it can be concluded that from layer E1 upwards, the climate conditions became progressively drier. At that time, the climate was cold with continental features enhancing tundra domination. This conclusion is confirmed by palaeontological investigations and the record of stable oxygen isotopes in the teeth of reindeer. The studies have also indicated seasonal migration of reindeer on the tundra that surrounded the cave. Probably, short-term slight climate warmings occurred during the Middle Plenistivilian (Interplenistivilian).
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INTRODUCTION

Most archaeological cave sites in Poland are situated in the Polish Jura Chain known in the literature under the name Kraków-Częstochowa Upland (Fig. 1). Over 50 caves and rock shelters have been excavated in the region during the last 140 years (Madeyska and Cyrek, 2002). Archaeological, geological and palaeontological investigations have been conducted in the Polish caves since the end of the 19th century, mainly in the vicinity of Ojców near Kraków (Zawisza, 1874; Römer, 1883; Ossowski, 1885). One of the most important archaeological sites of the Middle Palaeolithic, being still excavated, is the Cienna Cave in the Prądnik Valley (Valde-Nowak et al., 2014). The set of archaeological artefacts from this cave belongs to the Micoquian-Prądnik industry. Middle Palaeolithic artefacts have been discovered in several other caves, e.g. Nietoperzowa (Chmielewski, 1969, 1975; Kracarz and Madeyska, 2010), Koziania (Chmielewski et al., 1967), and Wyłotne (Chmielewski, 1970; Kozłowski, 2006).

The middle mesoregion of the Polish Jura Chain is referred to as the Częstochowa Upland. Madeyska (1981, 1982, 2009), Madeyska-Niklewiska (1969) and Madeyska and Cyrek (2002) have worked out the geology of most caves in the Kraków-
-Częstochowa Upland. An interesting Middle Palaeolithic site is the Bišnik Cave near Wolbrom (Cyrek, 2002; Cyrek et al., 2010, 2014; Fig. 1). Its geology was studied by Miroslaw-Grabowska (2002) and Krajcarz et al. (2014). The age of the sediments with Middle Palaeolithic artefacts corresponds to the Odranian (Saalian) Glaciation, the Eemian Interglacial, and the Early Vistulian (Weichselian). A well-recognized archaeological site is the Komarowa Cave in the vicinity of Olsztyn near Częstochowa (Nadachowski et al., 2009). Archaeological layers representing the Middle Palaeolithic are correlated with the Middle Plenivistulian (Interplenivistulian, MIS 3) of the Vistulian (Weichselian) Glaciation.

Another site documenting Middle Palaeolithic artefacts is the Stajnia Cave, and this is also the only locality with remains of Neanderthals (Homo neanderthalensis) in Poland. These remains were excavated within stratigraphic complex D and they include three permanent molars (S5000 – upper second molar, S4300 – lower first or second molar, and S4619 – upper second molar) belonging to two different adult Neanderthal individuals and one Neanderthal child (Urbanowski et al., 2010, 2012; Urbanowski, 2013; Dąbrowski et al., 2013; Nowaczewska et al., 2013). This site also yielded the youngest, genetically confirmed cave bear specimen from Europe, dated to around 26.1 ka BP (Gaca et al., 2016). Due to the significance of this discovery, the sediments from the Stajnia Cave were subject to multi-proxy and high-resolution studies (Zarski et al., 2012a, b).

The existence of Neanderthals in Eurasia is best documented from MIS 6 until MIS 3 (El Zaatari et al., 2011). They successfully survived severe climatic changes due to the Pleistocene glacial/interglacial cycles. Available palaeoecological reconstructions indicate that Neanderthals occupied different environments in Europe during the MIS 3: from cold, open habitats (e.g., Spy – Belgium), through mixed (intermediate) – including vegetation elements characteristic of open and wooded environments, e.g. Saint-Césaire, France and Vindija, Croatia) to warm, wooded habitats (e.g., Lakonis, Greece and El Sidrón, Spain; El Zaatari et al., 2016).

This paper presents the results of geological, geochemical, isotope and palaeontological investigations in the Stajnia Cave. This paper is focused on recognizing the palaeoenvironmental conditions, including climate, with regard to the habitation of the cave by Neanderthals, and determining the lithostratigraphy and age of the sediments that fill the cave.

GEOMORPHOLOGICAL AND GEOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION

The Stajnia Cave is located in the Mirow Elevation, built of massive limestones, within the Jurassic Kraków-Częstochowa Upland at an elevation of 359 m a.s.l., between the villages of Mirow and Bobolice in the Niegowa municipality (Myślaków district; Fig. 1).

The coordinates of the Stajnia Cave are 50°36′58″N, 19°29′04″E. The cave is 23 m long, 2–4 m wide and 6 m high, with the exposure towards the NE (Fig. 2A–C). It was formed during the Neogene and Pleistocene, Upper Oxfordian (Upper Jurassic) massive limestones are of high resistance to weathering and build a rocky elevation (Fig. 2A, B, D). At the cave entrance occurs a terrace that is over 10 m wide, leading towards the bottom of the dry valley and covered by sands from the Vistulian (Heliasz et al., 2009; Fig. 2A, B).

The cave is filled with cave loams consisting of limestone rubble, sand, silt, and clay. The rubble was formed from the weathering of limestone. Clay is also a product of limestone weathering. Sands entered to the cave from outside by aeolian processes. Sands and silts could reach the cave from the outside as a result of water transport by cracks in the ceiling of the cave.

A characteristic feature of the layers in the cave is their high discontinuity resulting from the spatial distribution in the cave, the intensity of sedimentation processes, as well as human activity from the Middle Palaeolithic until present.

METHOD DESCRIPTION

Palaeoenvironmental studies in caves require the application of interdisciplinary research methods. Basic research methods are geological specification of the type of sediment and its physical and chemical properties. These methods allow determining (approximately) the climatic conditions in which the sediments were accumulated – warm, cool or intermediate. However, they do not enable the temperature reconstruction. To infer about temperature and humidity, palaeobotanical methods can be used.

However, the frequency of pollen (and macrofossils) is insufficient in caves and we do not have a continuous record in the sediments. Pollen is often redeposited, thus palaeobotanical methods are regarded as complementary to the isotopic methods that are very important. The information about air temperature, humidity, and migration of animals and their diet is obtained from the analysis of stable oxygen isotopes content in...
preserved remains of animal bones and teeth. Despite the limitations of the availability of bone material in the examined layers, this method is very prospective. In the case of human fossil remains, data about palaeoclimate can be obtained based on the analysis of oxygen isotope composition of teeth. Palaeozoological methods – analysis of the species and quantity of animal bones – allow determining the palaeoenvironmental conditions concerning habitat and climate in which the animals lived. A certain limitation is the risk of redeposition of bone remains, their small frequency, and the possibility of the occurrence of particular species in different habitats. Methods of absolute dating C14, OSL and U-Th are very important complementary ones. Unfortunately, the methods of radiocarbon dating have a limited range of about 50 thousand years and are not suitable for use to very old remains and deposits. The OSL and U-Th dating methods work well to this period of time and to older remains and sediments, but to a limited extent.

Archaeological studies have allowed specifying the approximate time span.

GEOPHYSICAL METHODS

Resistivity tomography has been implemented to define the types and thickness of the cave sediments. The method is based on measuring the resistance of rocks to the flow of electric current. Each rock has a different electrical resistance. Resistance of limestone is 100–500 Ωm, of limestone rubble and loams with rubble is 1000–40,000, and of loams without rubble is 20–100 Ωm (Fig. 3).

GEOLOGICAL METHODS

Analysis of grain size composition. The following fractions (0.250 kg) were distinguished in the samples: large gravel (>5 mm, gravel 2–5 mm, sand 0.1–2 mm, silt 0.01–0.1 mm, and clay 0.001–0.01 mm (Gradziński et al., 1986). Analysis of heavy minerals composition was performed on the 0.1–0.25 mm fraction. The percentages of transparent and opaque minerals, which together represent 100%, were determined. Analysis of calcium carbonate content in the <0.1 mm fraction was made using the Scheibler method. Analysis of mineral-petrographic composition was performed for the sand fraction (0.1–2 mm). Analysis of frosting of quartz grains was carried out for the 0.5–1 mm fraction using the Cailleux method, modified by Goździk (1981). Analysis of limestone rubble morphology (10–20 mm and >20 mm) was performed applying the method described by Madeyska-Niklewska (1971). Analysis of mineral precipitation on limestone rubble was carried out using the EM/EDS – Scanning Electron Microscopy with X-Ray microanalysis. Geochemical analysis of cave sediments (X-ray diffraction and X-ray fluorescence) was conducted at the PGI-NRI. Geochemical analyses of the content of main and trace
elements (ppm) of cave loams were made using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer with the application of the ICP OES method according to procedure PB-40. Analysis of organic matter content (LOS) was conducted at the PGI-NRI using procedure PB-13, edition 4 (6.11.2009), at the temperature of 1,000°C. Analysis of humus content in the fraction <1 mm, using the Tiurin method, and iron content (fraction <1 mm), using the AAS method, were conducted at Wroclaw University.

Archaeological excavations were the main field works in the cave. The geological samples were collected from particular layers at every 5 cm. Individual uncovered levels in the cave were measured from the zero level corresponding to the floor of the cave. The sediments were observed in longitudinal and transverse sections. Fifteen main lithological-stratigraphic layers have been distinguished in the cave. The samples were collected from all the layers. For the total analysis of the lithostratigraphic layers, average values were used.

**Pollens analyses.** Fourteen samples from complexes D and C were subjected to pollen analysis. Samples (0.1 g) were collected from longitudinal and transverse sections. Laboratory processing included dissolution of calcium carbonate in 10% HCl, boiling of the sediment in 7% KOH, and separation of the mineral fraction by means of heavy liquid (aqueous solution of cadmium and potassium iodide). Maceration was conducted using the modified acetolysis method after Erdtmann (1960). The results of pollen analysis were presented as a taxa checklist including ecological requirements of the taxa found, and as simplified pollen diagrams (histograms). In the calculations, the basic sum (100%) corresponded to the pollen of trees and shrubs (AP), twigs and brambles (SP), and terrestrial herbaceous plants (NAP). The percentage of pollen of aquatic plants, spores, destroyed pollen, unrecognized pollen and plankton was calculated with regard to the basic sum.

**Palaeozoological methods.** Caves and rock-shelters, such as the Stajnia Cave, create suitable taphonomic conditions for preserving remains of both large and small vertebrates. The accumulation of fossil fauna in caves is mostly a result of natural processes such as activity of carnivores, accumulation of so-called owl pellets, with remnants of small vertebrates, or death. However, human behaviour can also be directly related to the use of material of animal origin, including accumulation of large bones, especially in cultural levels. The faunal materials have been studied to extract information on environmental and climatic changes, reconstruction of past communities, as well as exploitation and utilization of remains by humans and animals. The faunal assemblages were collected during excavation (larger specimens) and by wet screening and/or sieving the sediments through small sieves. The most characteristic bones found in situ during exploration were located. Palaeontological samples were submitted to specialists for determination of skeletal categories, species and, in the case of larger bones, taphonomic modifications. Taxonomic determination of mammalian and other remains has not been finished and therefore no comprehensive quantitative and spatial analysis of the fauna is available. Also most of animal remains have not been subject of taphonomic analysis yet.

The interpretation procedures were normalized and followed widely assumed procedures, for instance:

- identification to species category, qualification of number of identified specimens (NISP) and minimum number of individuals (MNI) for each taxon in a lithologically recognized layer;
- reconstruction of dynamics and frequency of species in the stratigraphic sequence to determine faunal changes in communities and in species proportions in each layer and/or sequence;
- assessment of faunal turnover to reconstruct environmental and climatic changes during sedimentation processes.

**Oxygen isotopes.** Oxygen isotope composition along the enamel-dentine junction line of reindeer was investigated by SHRIMP Ile/MC ion microprobe using the secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) method. The 18O/16O content expressed as δ18O has been used to recognize environmental input from the time of enamel formation. The SIMS (secondary ions mass spectrometry) technique does not require any chemical process during sample preparation. Selected remains of reindeer teeth including enamel were sectioned to expose the enamel/dentine junction zone. Afterwards, the tooth was positioned upon a double-sided tape together with reference apatite Durango 3 and was embedded in Struers Epofix. The introduction to in situ oxygen isotope measurements of mammalian teeth using the SIMS method was provided by Blumenthal et al. (2014). A basic instrumental configuration was described in detail by Aubert et al. (2012). According to a new analytical procedure tested on human teeth (Krzemińska et al., 2017) the sequential δ18O profiles have been obtained with a high spatial resolution.

The SHRIMP Ile/MC at the PGI-NRI was operated in a multi-collector negative ion mode using a 15 kV, 3 nA Cs+ primary ion beam focused to a 20 µm diameter spot on the Au-coated target. Oxygen isotope ratios 18O/16O are reported related to the Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW) and expressed as parts per million (%). Analyses were corrected for a small amount of isotopically fractionated electron-induced secondary ion emission EISE (Ickert et al., 2008). The reference Durango apatite yielded a mean δ18O value of 9.80 ±0.10‰ (1σ). The precision of each determination was usually 0.1–0.2‰.

**RESULTS**

**GEOPHYSICAL RESISTIVITY TOMOGRAPHY**

Three tracks were surveyed: track I in the cave, track II on the terrace in front of the cave, and track III on the terrace slope in the dry valley. Track I begins at the end of the cave, passes through its centre, and ends on the terrace. Deposits in the cave, below 1 m depth, were characterized by very high resistivity from 1,000 to 40,000 Ωmm (Fig. 3). Limestones under the terrace were characterized by the mean values of ~200–500 Ωmm. Very high resistance values correlate with the limestone rubble in the cave loams. Based on geophysical studies, it is assumed that the thickness of the sediments in the cave may reach 6–7 m.

**GEOLOGY OF CAVE LAYERS**

Layer H was distinguished below layer G. Orange cave loam of the uppermost part of the layer is exposed and it still awaits a geological study.

Layer G is composed of orange-brown sandy cave loam with low admixture of limestone rubble (Fig. 4). This layer occurs at 1.0–1.5 m below the cave base level (geodetic level) in the grain-size composition, sand predominates over silt and clay (Fig. 5). The mineral composition of the sand fraction
includes over 70% of limestones, about 28% of quartz, and 1.1% of bone fragments (Fig. 5). Morphological analysis of quartz displays the abundance of transitional shining grains (EM/EL) and smaller amounts of transitional-matt grains (EM/RM), round-matt grains (RM) and fresh grains (NU) (Fig. 4). The composition of heavy minerals is dominated by opaque grains, reaching >50% (Fig. 5). They include in situ carbonates, secondary oxides such as limonite and hematite, and primary oxides such as ilmenite and magnetite (Fig. 5). Among transparent minerals, the proportion of resistant minerals, such as tourmaline, zircon, disthene and staurolite, averagely reaches ~6%. The content of garnet resistant to mechanical weathering, but not resistant to chemical weathering, varied between 4.2% at the base to 24.1% at the top of the layer. The proportion of amphiboles poorly resistant to mechanical and chemical weathering reaches several percent. The main elements in layer G generally include calcium, aluminum and phosphorus, ranging from 20 to 50% (Fig. 5). The content of iron is between 3.2 to 14.8%. Among the trace elements from layer G, the largest values are attained by manganese and titanium (Fig. 5). The calcium carbonate content in the <0.1 mm fraction reaches several percent (Fig. 5).

Layer F occurs above layer G and more than 1 m below the base level of the cave (Fig. 4). It is composed of light grey, in places brown, sandy cave loam with low admixture of limestone rubble. In the grain-size composition, sand dominates over the silt and clay (Fig. 5). Among the limestone rubble, black phoshatic-calcareous concretions frequently occur. Their composition was determined based on XRD and XRF analyses. The main elements of the concretions are phosphorus and calcium. Rubble from this layer is characterized by smoothed edges (Fig. 5). The layer is dominated (55–75.9%) by quartz grains characteristic of aeolian environments (EM/RM) (Fig. 5). Opaline minerals dominate over transparent grains, ranging from 56 to 90%. Opaline minerals are dominated by in situ carbonates and secondary oxides, mainly iron compounds such as limonite and hematite (Fig. 5).

Among the transparent minerals, the proportion of garnet is from 0 to 10%, and of amphiboles from 0.6 to 8.4% (Fig. 5). The percentage of minerals most resistant to weathering, such as tourmaline, zircon, disthene and staurolite, averagely reaches ~4%. The main elements are calcium at 47%, phosphorus at 21% and aluminum at 17% (Fig. 5). The content of iron in all layers reaches ~9%. The highest values of trace elements are attained by manganese (52–59%) and titanium (20%) (Fig. 5). The content of calcium carbonate in the fraction <0.1 mm varies from 1 to 5% (Fig. 5). The percentage of humus is low – ~0.5% (Fig. 5).

Layer E2 belongs to complex E (layers E2 and E1) and is characterized by a higher proportion of limestone rubble (Fig. 5). It usually occurs about 1 m below the base level of the cave. Layer E2 is composed of brown-grey, in places black, silty-clayey-sandy loam with rubble, in which the silt and clay fractions contribute to >50% (Fig. 5). In the mineral composition of the sand fraction, limestone dominates over quartz, crystalline rocks and bone fragments (Fig. 5). With regard to the morphology of quartz grain surfaces, layer E2 is dominated by grains indicating the aeolian environment (EM/RM, RM) compared with shining grains (EL, EM/EL) (Fig. 5). In the composition of heavy minerals, opaque minerals (55–59%) slightly dominate over transparent minerals (Fig. 5). They include in situ carbonates (up to 50%), secondary oxides (limonite, hematite, up to 7%) and primary oxides (ilmenite, magnetite, up to 15%). Among transparent minerals, the percentage of garnet is >10%. The proportion of each mineral very resistant to weathering, such as tourmaline, zircon, disthene and staurolite, averagely reaches several percent. The main elements are dominated by calcium (up to 53%), followed by iron, aluminum and phosphorus (~10%. Fig. 5). Trace elements are dominated by manganese (17–34%; Fig. 4). The content of calcium carbonate in the <0.1 mm fraction is from 0.7 to 12.2% (Fig. 4).

Layer E1 – grey cave loam – occurs above layer E2 and usually 1 m below the cave base level (Fig. 4). It is characterized by a high proportion of limestone rubble and a thickness of ~50 cm, in which rubble contributes to >70% (Fig. 5). A characteristic feature is the vertical percentage fluctuations of all fractions. Layer E1 is dominated by partly smoothed rubble with an admixture of smoothed and sharp-edged rubble (Fig. 5). The rubble surfaces are often covered by corrosion cavities and manganese-phosphate precipitates. In the mineral composition of the sand fraction, like in the underlying layers, limestone predominates over quartz, bone fragments and iron-manganese concretions (Fig. 5). In layer E1, quartz with aeolian reworking (EM/RM and RM grains) dominates over shining grains (EM/EL) (Fig. 5). Angular grains (NU) contribute to ~12%. Heavy minerals show slight predominance of opaque minerals (limonite, hematite, ilmenite and magnetite) over transparent grains (garnets, amphiboles, tourmaline, zircon, disthene and staurolite; Fig. 5). Layer E1 is relatively uniformly developed with regard to the main elements, whose percentage values do not reveal variability in the vertical section (Fig. 5). The average content of calcium is ~50%, aluminum – 17%, phosphorus –
Fig. 4. Geology of the cave

A – 3D visualization of the geological structure, D1 – lithostratigraphic layers; B – plan of the cave with marked trenches (grey) and profile (red line); C – profile 11/12F; D – simplified lithostratigraphic column of the layers in the cave
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Fig. 5. Laboratory results of grain-size composition, morphology of quartz grains surface, morphology of limestone rubble, mineral-petrographic composition, and the contents of opaque (O) and transparent (T) heavy minerals, heavy minerals, main and trace elements, organic matter, and carbonates

16.5%, and iron – 7.5%. Among trace elements, small oscillations can be observed in the vertical section (Fig. 5). The average percentage of manganese and titanium is ~30%, barium and zinc – >10%. The percentage of carbonates varies from 5 to 14%. The content of humus is low and does not exceed 1%.

**Layer D3** is a dark brown sandy-silty-clayey cave loam with an admixture of fine limestone rubble. It belongs to complex D (D3, D2, D2b and D1) being of the highest archaeological significance due to the abundance of flint artefacts from the Middle Palaeolithic and Neanderthal teeth (layers D2–D1; Figs. 4 and 6).

The layer has a small thickness of ~30 cm and is located above layer E1, not more than 1 m below the cave base level. The layer is dominated by sand (~40%), clay (25%) and silt (~23%) (Fig. 5). Analysis of the morphology of quartz grains indicates the dominance of quartz grains with aeolian reworking (EM/RM and RM), and an admixture of transitional shining (EM/EL), fresh (NU) and crushed (C) grains (Fig. 5). Opaque heavy minerals dominate over transparent minerals (Fig. 5). As in all previously described layers, opaque minerals are dominated by in situ carbonates (~30%; Fig. 5). The content of secondary oxides (limonite, hematite) is ~8%, and of primary oxides (ilmenite, magnetite) – ~15%. Among transparent minerals, the content of garnet is relatively low and reaches ~17% (Fig. 5). Also the percentage of amphiboles is low – up to ~2%. The content of minerals very resistant to destruction, such as tourmaline, zircon and staurolite, reaches ~5%, whereas the contents of dihene and topaz do not exceed 3%. The main elements are dominated by calcium (~50%) (Fig. 5). The content of aluminum and phosphorus is >10%, and iron and potassium – 6–7%. Among trace elements, manganese and titanium are predominant (Fig. 5). The carbonate content is 5.4% (Fig. 5).

**Layer D2** is located directly below layer D1. It is a light brown cave loam with rubble (interval 155–220 cm; Fig. 4). Analysis of the morphology of quartz grains has revealed abundance of EM/RM and RM (~80%) and more than 10% of EM/EL grains (Fig. 5). Opaque heavy minerals (mainly primary and secondary oxides) prevail over transparent grains (Fig. 5) among which the average content of garnets is ~17% (Fig. 5). The content of amphiboles in the analysed samples is low and relatively uniform, varying at 1–3%. The contents of minerals resistant to weathering (tourmaline, zircon, staurolite and dihene) are around several percent. The main elements are dominated by calcium (>50%, Fig. 5). Among trace elements, the manganese content is up to 20% (Fig. 5). The content of carbonates reaches ~5.5% (Fig. 5). The content of humus is ~1% (Fig. 5).

**Layer D2b** is a light brown sandy-clayey-silty cave loam with limestone rubble. Sand predominates over clay and silt in the grain-size composition (Fig. 5). The layer is dominated by partly smoothened rubble (~80%) and smoothened rubble (10%), whereas sharp-edged rubble comprises ~10% (Fig. 5). Corrosion cavities occur in the rubble and it is often covered by manganese-phosphate precipitates. Among quartz grains, aeolian grains (EM/RM, about 65%) dominate over transitional shining grains (EM/EL) (Fig. 5). Transparent heavy minerals dominate over opaque minerals, and they are represented mainly by garnet (~30%; Fig. 5). Amphiboles have not been encountered in this layer. The remaining minerals are very resistant to weathering: tourmaline, zircon, staurolite, apatite and topaz, reaching values of a few percent. Among opaque heavy minerals, in situ carbonates, and secondary and primary oxides dominate (Fig. 4). The main elements are dominated by calcium (46.2%). The content of aluminum and phosphorus is 10%, and the content of iron and potassium is several percent (Fig. 5). Trace elements are dominated by manganese (~34%; Fig. 5). The content of carbonates in the layer reaches ~5%, and of humus – ~1% (Fig. 5).

**Layer D1** – a light brown cave loam with rubble – is the main archaeological horizon with the greatest abundance of artefacts from the Middle Palaeolithic (Fig. 4). Its thickness is up to 0.5 m. Sand predominates (~40%) over clay, silt and gravel in the grain-size composition, exceeding 10% (Fig. 5). The layer is dominated by partly smoothened rubble (80%) with admixture of smoothened rubble (15%) and a slight proportion of sharp-edged rubble (27%; Fig. 5). The surfaces of the rubble are smooth, although rubble with corrosion cavities and manganese-phosphate precipitates is also present. The mineral composition of the sand fraction is dominated by limestones, locally at 43–64% (Fig. 5). The content of quartz varies from 26 to 49%. The percentage of faunal remains varies from 1.5 to 18%. The remaining part comprises crystalline rocks and Fe-Mn concretions. Quartz grains with aeolian reworking (EM/RM and RM) contribute to 80% (Fig. 5). EM/EL and EL grains, characteristic of aqueous transport, reach a total of ~10%. The contribution of heavy minerals in the vertical section is uniform. Transparent minerals (54%), with prevalent garnet (30%), dominate over opaque minerals (17%) and a slight proportion of sharp-edged rubble (36%; Fig. 5). The content of amphiboles varies from 3 to 9%. The proportion of minerals very resistant to weathering (e.g., tourmaline, zircon, staurolite, dihene, topaz and sillimanite) reaches several percent. Opaque minerals are dominated by in situ carbonates (~15%; Fig. 5). Secondary oxides, mainly iron compounds (limonite, hematite) and primary oxides (ilmenite, magnetite), contribute to ~5%. The geochemical characteristics of layer D1 are rather uniform in vertical profile. The main elements are dominated by calcium ~48%, followed by 18% of aluminum, 16% of phosphorus, and 8% of iron (Fig. 5). Among trace elements, the content of manganese reaches ~24%, titanium – 32%, zinc – 20%, and barium – 12% (Fig. 4). The content of carbonates is ~6% (Fig. 5). Humus accounts for ~1% (Fig. 5).

**Layer C19** – grey cave loam with red streaks and limestone rubble is a discontinuous horizon occurring above layer D1, lying <1 m below the cave base level (Fig. 4). The proportion of sand is ~40% (Fig. 5). Gravel, silt and clay account for 20% each. Analysis of the rubble morphology indicates similar proportions of smoothened rubble, with cavities after chemical etching, and sharp-edged rubble (Fig. 5). All limestone fragments are densely coated with a mineral-clay matrix, partly carbonate, with quartz grains and occasional fine yellow bone fragments that are mineralized and polished. The sediments are
uniform with regard to reworking of quartz grains. EM/RM and RM grains prevail, accounting for ~90% of all grains (Fig. 5). Transparent heavy minerals (~57%), with prevailing garnets (~10%), predominate over opaque minerals (Fig. 5). The content of amphiboles is ~3%. The proportion of minerals most resistant to weathering, such as tourmaline, zircon, staurolite, diesthene, topaz and sillimanite, does not exceed 10% each. Opaque minerals are dominated by in situ carbonates, secondary and primary oxides (Fig. 5). The content of main elements is relatively uniform (Fig. 5), with the prevalence of calcium, as in the remaining layers (~50%; Fig. 5). The content of phosphorus is ~14%, and iron ~8%. Trace elements are dominated by manganese (~25%; Fig. 5). The average content of titanium and barium is ~13%. The proportion of carbonates is relatively high and reaches ~12% (Fig. 5).

Layer C18 – light grey silty-sandy cave loam with limestone rubble occurs below complexes C and B (Fig. 4). According to recent studies, the structure of this layer was most probably subject to anthropopres. Silt (~45%) predominates over sand, clay and gravel in the grain-size composition (Fig. 5). Partly smoothened limestone rubble prevails in the layer (~50%), with a very high percentage of sharp-edged rubble ~42% (Fig. 5). EM/RM + RM grains prevail, accounting for ~80% in total (Fig. 5). The main elements are dominated by calcium (~50%) with an admixture of aluminum and phosphorus (over several percent each), and iron and potassium (slightly >5%; Fig. 5). Among trace elements, the main elements are titanium and manganese, reaching ~34% each (Fig. 5). The content of carbonates is up to ~5%, and humus ~<1% (Fig. 5).

Layer C7 – brown-yellow cave loam – is usually located close to the cave walls and several tens of centimetres below the cave base level (Fig. 4). Sand (~45%) predominates over silt, clay and gravel in the grain size composition (Fig. 5). EM/RM and RM grains prevail, reaching a total of ~60% (Fig. 5). There is a high admixture of quartz grains with transitional-shining surfaces (EM/EL, ~23%). Heavy minerals are dominated by opaque minerals: in situ carbonates, and secondary and primary oxides, up to ~62% (Fig. 5). Garnet dominates among transparent minerals (~17%; Fig. 5). The content of minerals most resistant to weathering is several percent each and does not exceed 5%. The geochemical composition is relatively uniform. Calcium prevails among the main elements (~70%; Fig. 5). The content of iron is ~11%, and of phosphorus – 5%. Trace elements are dominated by titanium (~50%; Fig. 4). The content of manganese is 19%, barium – 10%, and zinc – 9%. The content of carbonates is ~11%, and humus – <1% (Fig. 5).

Layer C6 – yellow sandy cave loam with rubble – occurs above layer C7, several tens of centimetres below the cave base level (Fig. 4). The grain-size composition is relatively uniform. The content of sand is ~37%, clay and silt ~20% each, and gravel ~25% (Fig. 5). Partly smoothened rubble dominates in the layer, with an admixture of sharp-edged rubble (Fig. 5). Single rubble fragments with cavities and manganese-inferruginous covers on the surface also occur. The proportion of EM/RM and RM grains reaches up to 80% in total, with a 15% content of EM/EL grains (Fig. 5). Transparent heavy minerals dominate over opaque minerals (Fig. 5), and the most common transparent mineral is garnet (~45%), with a relatively high content of amphiboles (~10%; Fig. 5). The proportion of minerals very resistant to weathering (tourmaline, zircon, staurolite, diesthene and topaz) is relatively low and reaches several percent each. In situ carbonates have not been found among the opaque minerals (Fig. 5). The proportion of secondary oxides (limonite, hematite) and primary oxides (limonite, magnetite) is up to 10% each. The main elements are dominated by calcium (~40%). Iron (~7%) and phosphorus (3%; Fig. 5) are found in low amounts. Trace elements are dominated by titanium (~63%; Fig. 5). The proportion of manganese is 13%, barium – 9%, and zinc – 5%. The content of carbonates is high and reaches ~24%, and of humus – <1% (Fig. 5).

Layer B7 – yellow silty-sandy cave loam with limestone rubble, in places with interbeds of sand – lies from over ten to several tens of centimetres below the cave base level (Fig. 4). The grain-size composition is dominated by silt (~67%) accompanied by ~20% of sand (Fig. 5). EM/RM and RM grains dominate at ~80% (Fig. 5). Opaque heavy minerals prevail over transparent minerals (Fig. 5), and are dominated by in situ carbonates at ~37%, followed by secondary (limonite, hematite) and primary oxides (limonite, magnetite; Fig. 5). Transparent minerals are dominated by garnet (~14%; Fig. 5). Minerals very resistant to weathering (staurolite, tourmaline, zircon and diesthene) contribute to several percent each.

Layer B6 – yellow sandy cave loam with a high proportion of limestone rubble – occurs at >10 cm below the cave base level (Fig. 4). The grain-size composition is dominated by gravel (~50%), followed by sand (~25%), clay (30%) and silt (~5%; Fig. 5). EM/RM and RM grains dominate at ~75%, with a proportion of EM/EL and NU grains at ~10% each (Fig. 5). The main elements are dominated by calcium (85%; Fig. 5). Aluminum, iron, potassium and phosphorus contribute to several percent each. Trace elements are dominated by titanium, accompanied by barium and zinc (Fig. 5). The proportion of carbonates is high and reaches ~42% (Fig. 5).

Layer A comprises orange-brown black humus with limestone rubble (Fig. 3). Geological investigations have not been conducted in this layer. Its average thickness ranges from several to several tens of centimetres.

Anthropogenic structures “X” also occur in the cave. They are commonly represented by excavations that cut across the main layers B and C (Fig. 4). These are mainly pits filled by re-deposited cave loam with humus, usually dark grey to black in colour.

THE IMPORTANCE OF GEOLOGICAL ANALYSES FOR PALAEOENVIRONMENTAL INTERPRETATION

Grain-size composition. The clay fraction develops mainly as a result of chemical weathering, which increases with the rise of temperature (Madeyska-Nikiewska 1971; Madeyska 2009). The highest contents of the clay fraction exceeding 20% are observed in layers D3 and E1, and the lowest ones in layers B7 and C18. It can be concluded that layers D3 and E1 were accumulated in slightly warmer conditions, and layers B7 and C18 in colder conditions. Silt was supplied to the cave sediments by blowing in during periglacial conditions, but its transport by water dripping along the fractures from the surface is also possible. A higher proportion of silt in the layers may also reflect cooler climate conditions during sedimentation (Madeyska, 1982, 2009). The highest contents of silt are observed in layers B7, C18 and E2, from 34 to 67%, and the lowest – in layers D1, D2b and B6, from 6 to 14%. The average content of sand in the layers is 20%. A higher content (60%) is observed only in layer D2b. Sand could be transported to the cave by wind in a dry and cold climate, and by fluvial transport in a more humid climate.

The limestone rubble in the sediments is mainly the result of mechanical weathering of limestones in a cold climate (Madeyska, 1971). The highest proportion of large rubble >20 mm is
observed in layers E1, E2, B6, and D1. The lowest rubble content is observed in layers G and D2b; slightly warmer climate conditions prevailed at the time of their formation.

**Morphology of limestone rubble.** Partly smoothened limestone rubble prevails in the sediments from all layers, which indicates similar climate conditions during their accumulation. Layers D1, D2b and E1 contain ~10% of smoothened rubble, which may indicate slightly warmer climate conditions (Madyńska, 1969, 1971, 1982). The highest percentage of sharp-edged rubble is observed in C18 (~40%), which indicates low temperature during deposition of this layer. In D1, D2b, E1 and F, the limestone rubble surface is covered by manganese-phosphate and ferruginous precipitates that formed as a result of chemical weathering in a relatively warm climate.

**Mineral-petrographic composition.** Upper Jurassic limestone prevail (from SS to 70%) in the sand fraction of the studied samples. This is the result of mechanical weathering of limestones, in which the cave developed. The proportion of quartz grains reaches ~30% in all layers. Quartz is an external component and was transported by aeolian and fluvial processes. The admixture of crystalline rocks, which were also transported from the surroundings to the cave, did not exceed 2%. Layers D1 and E1 contain a greater admixture of animal bones.

**Morphology of quartz grains.** Matt and half-matt (RM and EM/RRM) grains dominate in the investigated layers ~70%, with the exception of layer G, in which shining and transitional shining grains prevail (~53%), characteristic of fluvial sedimentation. Such results indicate intense aeolian activity in a dry and cool climate (Woronko, 2001; Mycielska-Dowgiallo, 2007). The prevalence of a more humid climate is indicated by the presence of angular and probably warmer climate. The proportion of crushed grains C and angular grains NU in all layers is ~2 and 7%, respectively. Such grains prove mechanical weathering in a very cold climate.

**Heavy minerals.** The content of opaque heavy minerals (in situ carbonates and oxides, being compounds of iron) reaches >50% in layers G, F, E2, E1, D3, D2, C7 and B7. In layers D2b, D1, C19 and C6, transparent minerals dominate.

Opaque heavy minerals originated due to chemical weathering in more humid conditions and probably at slightly higher temperature (Barczuk and Nejbert, 2007; Marcinkowski and Mycielska-Dowgiallo, 2013). The prevalence of these minerals may indicate a more humid climate. It points to a more humid and probably warmer climate. The proportion of crushed grains C and angular grains NU in all layers is ~2 and 7%, respectively. Such grains prove mechanical weathering in a very cold climate.

The lowest content (~20%) is observed in layers B7, C7, D2, and in layers D3, E1, E2, F, G. Such values may indirectly point to a more humid climate and possibly to slightly higher temperatures. In layers D2b, D1, C19, C6, and B6, in which the garnet content is ~30%, the climate was probably drier and cooler. The content of minerals non-resistant to weathering, such as: biotite, pyroxene, muscovite and amphiboles, is low in all layers. Low oscillations of the content of these minerals in the sediments may indicate small climate oscillations during the accumulation of the layers. Heavy minerals show a significant proportion of minerals very resistant to weathering, such as zircon (2–6%), tourmaline (2.0–6.7%), topaz (1–6%) and staurolite (7–10%). With garnet, these minerals have the highest percentage content among transparent minerals. A significant amount of transparent heavy minerals that are resistant to weathering points to numerous redeposition events of the sediments, mainly due to aeolian transport (Marcinkowski and Mycielska-Dowgiallo, 2013).

**Main elements.** The dominant element in all layers is calcium, with the average content of >50%. The highest calcium content occurs in layer B6 ~ 85%, and the lowest (37%) in layer E2. The higher calcium content may indicate a drier climate, and a lower content – a more humid climate, because calcium is well-dissolved in water (Migaszewski and Galuszka, 2007). The average content of aluminium in the layers is ~17%. Aluminum was transported into the cave from the outside. Small differences in the aluminium content in particular layers indicate similar sedimentation conditions. The average phosphorus content in the layers is >10%. Phosphorus is derived mainly from the destruction of bone fragments and faeces animals, and due to chemical weathering (Hornsey and Capo, 2006; Migaszewski and Galuszka, 2007). The lowest percentage content of phosphorus is noted in layers B6 and C6: 2.3 and 2%, respectively, and in layers C7 and D2: 5 and ~6%, respectively, which may indirectly indicate a cooler and drier climate with a decreased number of animals. In the remaining layers the phosphorus content varies from >10 to 20%. The lowest iron content is noted in layers B6 ~3%. In the remaining layers, it ranges from 8 to 11%. The iron content in the cave sediments is higher than in the massive limestones, in which the cave is developed (Zarksi et al., 2012a). Enrichment of sediments in iron took place by aeolian and fluvial transport from outside the cave. The potassium content was several percent in each layer. Potassium was also supplied to the cave from the outside. It developed due to the weathering of rocks containing feldspars and minerals (Migaszewski and Galuszka, 2007), such as fluvialoglacial sediments. The content of sodium and magnesium in all layers was ~2%. Sodium was also transported from outside the cave and formed due to feldspar weathering. Magnesium is derived from the dissolution of limestones in a high-humidity environment (Migaszewski and Galuszka, 2007). The low magnesium content may point to relatively low humidity of the climate during sedimentation. Small differences in the content of trace elements in particular layers indicate similar climate conditions during sedimentation.

**Trace elements.** The dominant trace element is titanium. Its highest values of 50–60% are noted in layers B6, C7, and C6, and ~44% in layer E2. The lowest titanium contents are found in the remaining layers, which is due to the titanium content – ~30%. Titanium originated due to mechanical weathering mainly of iron minerals in a cold climate. Higher titanium values indicate a colder climate, whereas lower – a warmer climate (Zarksi, 2012a). The highest manganese values (40–55%) are noted in layers F and G, and the lowest (>10%) in layers B6, C7, and C6. In the remaining layers the manganese content is ~30%. Higher manganese values may be correlated with a slightly warmer and more humid climate, because manganese is the product of chemical weathering of limestones (Miroslaw-Grabowska, 2002; Migaszewski and Galuszka, 2007). The lowest zinc proportions (~8%) are noted in layers C6 and C7. In the remaining layers the content is >10%. Higher zinc values indicate more humid climate conditions, while smaller – drier conditions (Zarksi et al., 2012a).

**Calcium carbonate.** The contents of calcium carbonate in layers B6, C6, C7, and C19 are ~40, 24 and 10%, respectively. In the remaining layers the values are of several percent. High quantities of calcium carbonate may be correlated with a colder climate (Zarksi et al., 2012a).

**Humus.** The humus content in particular layers is <1% with the exception of layers D1 and D2, where the values exceed 1%. It may be related to the habitation of the cave by humans (Madeyska, 1981).
POLLEN ANALYSIS

The pollen record was obtained from layers D1, D2, C19, C18, C6 and C7. The remaining layers have yielded no pollen, but they contain charcoals pointing to the habitation of Neanderthals in the cave. The presence of pollen should be related to calcium carbonate deposition. The pollen spectra from the analysed samples have variable pollen content, i.e. from 100 to 1000 and more, and a different preservation state. All samples are characterized by the presence of strongly destroyed pollen, with an effaced morphology, impossible to identify. Tricolpate and tricolporate pollen was particularly prone to destruction processes, which prevents their identification. High degree of pollen destruction negatively influences the possibility of precise palaeoenvironment reconstruction and indicates deposition in aerobic conditions. Besides such sporomorphs, there were numerous with a lower degree of destruction, identified to the family level, for instance Caryophyllaceae and Asteraceae pollen.

The frequency of pollen in the samples from the sediments collected at Stajnia Cave is variable, but significantly high, and the specimens are well-preserved in comparison to other caves from the Kraków-Częstochowa Upland (Fig. 1). As indicated by investigations of cave sediments (Navarro et al., 2001), pollen assemblages could reflect vegetation of both local and regional catchment areas. However, the variability of pollen assemblages may also result from cave geometry, sedimentary processes and sediment humidity. Moreover, similarly as in other caves, there is no correlation between the bed structure, intensity of chemical processes, or proportion of coarse material (Carrión, 1995). With regard to the Stajnia Cave, the source of pollen in the studied sediments, besides the natural presence resulting from aqueous transport and blowing in, was human activity and presence of animals. Plant pollen was carried on clothes, and could also derive from plants collected in the cave for different purposes (Lityńska-Zając and Wasylikowa, 2005).

Spectra from samples no. 6 (layer C7) and no. 14 (layer C18) contain pollen of Tsuga, Carya, Sciadopitys, Nyssa and Liquidambar, and a few Dinoflagellata cysts. The presence of these taxa should be related to their infiltration from older sediments to Pleistocene strata by aqueous transport. Among the taxa, pollen of plants pollinated by animals prevails, which may indicate sedimentation in aqueous settings (Lityńska-Zając and Wasylikowa, 2005).

The pollen diagram (Fig. 7) was subdivided into three levels. Pollen spectra of all three levels are dominated by NAP (terrestrial herbaceous plants and dwarf shrubs), represented mainly by the Cichorioideae with values up to 70%. A high proportion of Cichorioideae pollen is observed in Palaeolithic layers of many caves (Lityńska-Zając and Wasylikowa, 2005 after Groner, 1985; Leroi-Gourhan, 1997). The overrepresentation of this pollen in cave sediments has not been explained until present. One of the possible reasons is the resistance to destruction and selective deposition, but it may also be related to specific transportation and sedimentation conditions in the caves (Groner, 1985). Another possible source of Cichorioideae pollen in caves as plants pollinated by insects is animal faeces, mainly of bats (Dimbleby, 1985).

Other abundant taxa include pollen of Helianthemum, Anthe mis t., Asteraceae, Apliaceae, Poaceae, Caryophyllaceae, Cirsium t., Brassicaceae, Artemisia, Ericeae and Cheonopodiaceae (Fig. 7). Tree pollen belongs mainly to Betula and Pinus sylvestris t. Pollen of other trees occurs sporadically. Numerous are also spores of Filicales monolette and others, including Sphagnum (Fig. 7).

Level I (layer D2) is dominated by Cichorioideae pollen, but pollen of the Poaceae, Asteraceae, Anthermis and Cirsium types is also numerous. Trees are represented by Pinus and...
Betula pollen. In level II (layers D1, C19, C18), Cichorioideae pollen is predominant with the proportion exceeding 70%. The percentage content of Helianthemum (up to 20%), Poaceae, Caryophyllaceae and Brassicaceae also rises. In layer C18, there is a decrease in the values of Cichorioideae and an increase in the proportion of Pinus and Betula pollen. Changes that began in layer C18 are much more distinctly manifested in level III (layers C7 and C6). The values of Cichorioideae and Caryophyllaceae clearly decrease, and the pollen of Helianthemum disappears. The abundance of Cyperaceae, Apiaceae and Brassicaceae rises, as well as that of Cirsium type. Worth noting are the increased values of pollen of Pinus and Picea coniferous trees, and rising pollen of deciduous trees with higher temperature requirements – Tilia cordata type and Quercus.

The taxonomic composition of this pollen flora (Table 1) indicates that the pollen assemblages may reflect local vegetation to a certain degree, but are also disturbed due to human and animal activity. This is testified by the large values of Cichorioideae pollen, although the high proportion of these plants in the local vegetation also cannot be ruled out. Similarly high values of this pollen, reaching 60%, have been noted in the ODP Leg 161 Site 976 that was drilled in the Alboran Sea (Combourieu Nebout et al., 2009). This may indicate the possible occurrence of natural habitats of open vegetation with very high proportion of representatives of the Cichorioideae.

Dominance of NAP pollen and taxonomic variability of herbaceous plants in level II indicate the presence of different habitats of open vegetation and their patchwork character. The presence of steppe-like habitats in dry areas is evidenced by pollen of Helianthemum, Artemisia, Aster t., and various species of Centaurea (C. jacea t., C. nigra t., C. cyanus). Humid habitats supplied pollen of the Cichorioideae, Cirsium t., Brassicaceae, Filipendula, and Thalictrum. Meadow habitats were the source of such pollen as Potentilla type, Galium t., Apiaceae, and Bupleurum falcatum t. Steppe vegetation is testified by the presence of Artemisia, Poaceae, Chenopodiaceae, Helianthemum nummularium t., Asteraceae, Ranunculus acris t., and Centaurea. The presence of pollen of Ericaceae, Calluna vulgaris, Polygonum bistorta t., P. aviculare t., Polemonium, Valeriana, Plantago media and Ranunculus acris type should be related to assemblages of meadow-tundra vegetation. The Arctic-Alpine character of the plant habitats is emphasized by

### Table 1

**Pollen and spore taxa recovered from Stajna Cave sediments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trees and shrubs</th>
<th>Dwarf shrubs</th>
<th>Open, dry to dry/fresh habitats</th>
<th>Ecologically undefined</th>
<th>Open, fresh to wet habitats</th>
<th>Aquatic plants</th>
<th>Pteridophyta</th>
<th>Bryophyta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acer t.</td>
<td>Betula nana t.</td>
<td>Artemisia</td>
<td>Apiaceae</td>
<td>Armeria maritima t. A</td>
<td>Nymphaea alba t.</td>
<td>Botrychium</td>
<td>Sphagnum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alnus t.</td>
<td>Calluna vulgaris</td>
<td>Aster t.</td>
<td>Anthemis t.</td>
<td>Cirsium t.</td>
<td>Thypha Sparganium</td>
<td>Botrychium lunaria cf</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betula vulgaris</td>
<td>Ericaceae</td>
<td>Bupleurum falcatum t.</td>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td>Dianthus t.</td>
<td>B. simplex</td>
<td>Dipsacus prostrate,</td>
<td>Dipsacus prostrate,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corylus</td>
<td>Campanulaceae</td>
<td>Brassicaceae</td>
<td>Epilobium</td>
<td>Hypeperis selago</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraxinus</td>
<td>Centaurea</td>
<td>Caryophyllaceae</td>
<td>Filipendula</td>
<td>Filicales moenole</td>
<td>Lycopygium annuum</td>
<td>S. silicica</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juniperus t.</td>
<td>C. jacea t.</td>
<td>Cerastium t.</td>
<td>Gentiana</td>
<td>Helianthemum</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larix t.</td>
<td>C. cyanus</td>
<td>Chenopodiaceae</td>
<td>Gentiana</td>
<td>Helianthemum</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Picea nigrata</td>
<td>C. nigrum</td>
<td>Cichorioideae</td>
<td>Mercurialis</td>
<td>Mercurialis</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus t.</td>
<td>H. canum t.</td>
<td>Cyperaceae</td>
<td>Pastinaca sativa t.</td>
<td>S. silicica</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercus t.</td>
<td>H. nummularium</td>
<td>Dipsacaceae</td>
<td>Polemonium</td>
<td>Polemonium</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salix</td>
<td>Saussurea t.</td>
<td>Galium t.</td>
<td>Polygonon</td>
<td>Polygonon</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tilia cordata t.</td>
<td>Scleranthus annuus</td>
<td>Geranium</td>
<td>P. aviculare t.</td>
<td>P. aviculare t.</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulmus</td>
<td>Vicia t.</td>
<td>Lactuca sativa t.</td>
<td>P. bistorta t.</td>
<td>P. bistorta t.</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lamiaceae</td>
<td>Rumex acetosa t.</td>
<td>Rumex acetosa t.</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plantago</td>
<td>Sagina t.</td>
<td>Sagina t.</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P. media</td>
<td>Sanguisorba officinalis</td>
<td>Sanguisorba officinalis</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P. intermedia</td>
<td>Saxifraga</td>
<td>Saxifraga</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Potentilla t.</td>
<td>Saxifraga</td>
<td>Saxifraga</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ranunculus t.</td>
<td>S. granulata t.</td>
<td>S. granulata t.</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R. acris t.</td>
<td>S. hisirta t.</td>
<td>S. hisirta t.</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Silene t.</td>
<td>S. oppositifolia t.</td>
<td>S. oppositifolia t.</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stachys</td>
<td>Succisa pratensis t.</td>
<td>Succisa pratensis t.</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thalictrum</td>
<td>Thalictrum</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Urtica</td>
<td>Urtica</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Valeriana</td>
<td>Valeriana</td>
<td>Lychnis t.</td>
<td>L. clavatum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Saxifraga hirculus type, S. oppositifolia type, S. stellaris type, Polygonum bistorta type pollen, and Selaginella selaginoides spores.

Levels I and III are characterized by the abundance of Pinus and Betula pollen with values reaching 20%, whereas in level III, Picea and thermophilic trees as Tilia and Quercus pollen appear. However, the presence of oak and linden pollen and partly of Pinus may be related to far distance transport in open landscapes. Nevertheless, the presence of pine in the neighborhood of the cave was confirmed by the presence of charcoal derived from the burning of pine wood (Kubiak-Mar- tens and Kooistra, 2013).

FAUNA

The faunal assemblage from the Stajnia Cave consists of >13,500 bones and bone fragments of large mammals and birds as well as >8,000 of small mammals and other smaller vertebrates (amphibians, reptiles). Mammal remains (>60 species) are present in all layers. In this paper we present only preliminary results of studies of assemblages from the Stajnia Cave for environmental reconstruction. A comprehensive analysis of fauna and taphonomic studies will be subject of a separate paper. Mammals are represented by insectivorous species like shrews (Sorex) (Soricomorpha), bats (Chiroptera), hares (Lepus) and pikas (Ochotona) representing lagomorphs (Lagomorpha), rodents (Rodentia), carnivorous mammals (Carnivo- ra), odd-toed (Perissodactyla) and even-toed (Artiodactyla) ungulates and proboscideans (Mammuthus). The mammal re- mains belong to the so-called non-analogous or disharmonious associations. The assemblages consist of a mixture of boreal, steppe and woodland species. Remains of small mammals dominate in all layers. Species characteristic of well-drained or wet tundra areas are represented by the collared lemming (Dicrostonyx gulelmi), Norwegian lemming (Lemmus lemmus), and narrow-skulled vole (Microtus gregalis) in all layers (B-G). They contribute to >50 and 70% of all remains of small mam- mals in all layers. Reindeer (Rangifer tarandus) is common in all layers among the large mammals. Remains of woolly mam- moth (Mammuthus primigenius) were found, e.g., in layers D1, C18, D2b, and C19, of woolly rhinoceros (Coelodonta antiquitatis) in layers D1 and C18, and of arctic fox (Alopex lagopus) in layers C7 and D1. Willow ptarmigan (Lagopus lagopus) is the most common among birds. Remains of species of steppe or other dry-open habitats represent ~1–3% frequency in all layers, except layer E (E1–E2) – 6–12%, among the small mammal assemblage. Vole (Microtus arvalis) occurs in layers F, E, D (D3–D1) and C (C18); hamster (Cricetus cricetus) in layers C (C18) and D (D1–D3); steppe lemming (Lagurus lagurus) in layer D (D3–D1). Steppe pika (Ochotona pusilla), a lagomorph species, is present in all layers. Large mammals are represented by the steppe wisent (Bison priscus) and horse (Equus ferus) in layer C (C18), and by the saiga antelope (Saiga tatarica) found only in layer B (earlier C2). Remains of species of diverse biotopes (tundra, taiga and forest-steppe) are the most numerous group among the remains of mammals found in the cave. Many of them are associated with moist and damp habitats (marshes, lakes and streams). The root vole (Microtus oeconomus) and European water vole (Arvicola amphibius) are common among small mammals. Wolf (Canis lupus), fox (Vulpes vulpes), cave bear (Ursus spelaeus s.l.), stoat (Mustela erminea) and weasel (Mustela nivalis) are the most common carnivore species in all layers among large and smaller carnivores. Remains of species inhabiting all kinds of woodlands were found in all layers. Bank vole (Clethrionomys glareolus) is the most common among small mammals with a frequency approximately between 2 and 14%. Red deer (Cervus elaphus) was rarely found in some layers.

OXYGEN ISOTOPE COMPOSITION

A pilot study of oxygen isotope composition (δ18O) on bio- apatite from the innermost enamel layer of reindeer’s (Rangifer tarandus) tooth remains from the Stajnia Cave produced promising results. Most of teeth were sampled from sedimentary layers numbered as C19–D1 – E1–H. If their primary position was correctly preserved they represent different phases of of Vistulian (Weichselian).

One of several sequential δ18O profiles analysed in this study is presented on Figure 8, and a few representative are summarized in Table 2. Each one provides precise in situ analysis along the incremental enamel layer with a spatial resolution of a few microns, which reflects a temporal resolution of less than one month in a multi-year record. Enamel growth rates, however, are not completely constant and are known to vary also among modern species.

Approximately 50 to 120 analytical spots were placed within innermost matured bioapatite (enamel layer) adjacent to the enamel-dentine junction, according to chronology of growing from the incisal (older) to apical (newer) enamel. The distance between each spot varies from 0.13 mm to 0.15 mm. Typically, the pattern of intra-tooth δ18O variation broadly corresponds to an annual cycle observed in precipitation with the highest δ18O during the summer months and the lowest during the winter.

In general, oxygen isotope composition reflects a regular seasonal fluctuation in the δ18O (seasonality) or climate anomalies or migration symptoms (Britton et al., 2009; Aubert et al., 2012; Blumenthal et al., 2014; Krzemińska and Czyput, 2015).

In case of reindeer dental remains the annual intra-tooth fluctu- ation of δ18O/SiO2 values usually do not exceed 2.0‰, but most of examined bioapatite fragments have recorded one or two single higher changes of δ18O, which could be deduced as seasonal reindeer migrations (Fig. 8B). In consequence, the total amplitude is much more higher, reflecting a complete change of environmental conditions in terms of oxygen composi- tion of local water and temperature, where lower δ18O values reflect relatively colder climate conditions.

The average δ18O values (Table 2) represent an approxi- mate and generalized oxygen composition. A much more de- tailed record of successive environmental input during the pe- riod of enamel formation is available based on the profile along the incremental enamel layer.

ABSOLUTE DATING

Dating of bones and sediments was made in the framework of archaeological investigations by M. Urbanowski from Szczecin University (Urbanowski et al., 2010). The first bone dating was made at the Laboratory in Poznań using the C14 AMS method (Table 3). The dated object was a tooth of a cave bear from layer D1; a date of >49,000 years BP was obtained (Poz-28892). The same method was used to date maxilla of a...
saiga from layer B; an age of 13,500 ±60 BP was obtained (Poz-28891) (Nadachowski et al., 2016). 

\[ \text{C}^{14} \text{ dating of a fragment of a woolly mammoth tusk from layer D1 was made at the Oxford laboratory in England; a date of 44,600 years BP was obtained (OxA-24944).} \]

Two U/Th datings (Laboratory for Isotope Dating and Environmental Research, Institute of Geological Sciences, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw) were made on bone fragments (mammoth teeth) from layer D2b; the obtained date was 52,900 years BP (W1400; W1417). Three OSL datings were made at

---

Fig. 8. Reindeer tooth oxygen isotope investigations

A – photographs showing state of preservation of tooth remains sampled from sedimentary layer E, a lingual side, sample R-4; ruler as a scale bar with 1 mm, and natural section of tooth R-4 with exposed dentine/enamel junction; B – sample R4 versus reference material layout in epoxy resin; note tooth morphology and enamel and dentine enamel junction line and of spots after oxygen isotope measurements; images made in conventional microscope reflected light; C – sequential profile of the oxygen isotope composition from the innermost enamel layer of R4 tooth; oxygen isotope ratios $\delta^{18}O$ are reported related to the Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW)
the Institute of Physics, Silesian University of Technology in Gliwice. Two OSL dates came from silty-sandy sediments infilling a fracture in the Stajnia Cave. The result for the upper sample is 8,950 years BP (GdTL-1126), and for the lower sample – 45,900 years BP (GdTL-1127). The result for the second sample may be correlated with the age of the sediments from layer D1. A series of C\textsuperscript{14} dates were made for layer D sediments at the Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig, Germany. Their results are correlated with the Middle Plenivistulian (Middle Pleniglacial) and will be presented in a separate publication.

### Palaeoenvironmental Conditions During the Accumulation of the Layers, and Discussion

#### Geology

The differences in the physical and chemical properties of the sediments composing the particular layers are not significant. Layer G (Table 4) was accumulated probably in warmer and more humid conditions than the remaining layers. This is reflected in the higher content of the clay fraction, lower content of the silt fraction, and small amounts of large rubble. Shining quartz grains dominate in this layer. There is a prevalence of opaque minerals, and a smaller amount of garnet among the transparent minerals, as well as a larger content of manganese among the trace elements. Layer F was probably accumulated in slightly colder conditions, because most features of the sediments indicate average physical-chemical properties of the sediments of the remaining layers. Like in the other layers, there is a prevalence of quartz with aeolian features, correlated with a cold climate. Features pointing to warmer conditions include the prevalence of opaque minerals, a smaller content of garnet, and the presence of manganese-phosphorus coatings on the rubble surface. Features of the sediments in layer E2 indicate both warmer and colder environments. The high content of large rubble and the aeolian character of quartz sediments point to a colder environment. Warmer and more humid settings are indicated by manganese-phosphate coatings on the rubble, a lower content of garnet, and the prevalence of opaque minerals. Other features of the sediments are similar to those of the remaining layers. There is a possibility that the rubble developed in a colder period, while the cave loams infilling the space between them – in a warmer period. A similar situation took place during the accumulation of layer E1, which is dominated by limestone rubble characteristic of cold climate and by quartz grains with aeolian reworking. A higher content of smoothed rubble, manganese-phosphate coatings on the rubble surface, lower amounts of garnet, and higher contents of opaque minerals point to more favourable, slightly warmer conditions during the accumulation of sediments of this layer. Archaeological layers D3, D2, D2b, and D1 were accumulated in variable conditions, rather with the prevalence, predominantly of warmer conditions over colder ones. Warmer conditions are indicated by the increased content of clay fraction (layer D3), low content of silt fraction (D1, D2b), admixture of smoothed rubble and rubble with manganese-phosphate coatings on the surface (D1, D2b), prevalence of opaque minerals, lower content of garnet,
and slightly higher content of humus in the sediments (D1, D2). Features of sediments pointing to slightly colder climate include a higher content of large rubble (layer D1), aeolian reworking of quartz grains, prevalence of transparent minerals (D1, D2b), higher content of garnets (D1, D2b), and low content of phosphorus (D2). Layer C19 (Table 4) was accumulated probably in colder conditions. Values of most parameters of the sediments are within the average for particular layers, but there are no features indicating warmer conditions during the accumulation of sediments of this layer. The prevalence of transparent minerals, higher content of garnet, increased content of calcium carbonate, and high aeolization of quartz grains indicate colder accumulation conditions. Significantly colder and probably drier conditions took place during the accumulation of layers C18, C7, B7 and B6. This is evidenced by the higher content of silt (B7, C18), lowest content of clay (B7, C18), higher content of large rubble (B6), dominance of sharp-edged rubble (C18), prevalence of transparent minerals (C7, C6), higher content of garnet (C6, B6), lower content of phosphorus and manganese (C7, C6, B6), high values of titanium (C7, C6, B6), higher values of calcium carbonate (C7, C6, B6), and high aeolization of quartz grains. Only a few properties of the sediments in these layers point to warmer conditions. They include: low contribution of silt (B6), prevalence of opaque minerals (B7), and smaller amounts of garnet (C7).

The pollen record indicates that the climate conditions in level II (layers D1 and C19–18) were severe and a subarctic climate probably prevailed. The low contribution of tree pollen indicates that the Stajnia Cave was at that time beyond the range or at the northern tree line. This may evidence that the mean temperature of the warmest month did not exceed +12°C (Wasylikowa, 1964). Influence of continental climate was significant as evidenced by the presence of pollen of Polemonium caeruleum type (Zarzycki et al., 2002). It was probably warmer in level I and especially in level III due to the higher proportion of birch and pine pollen and the appearance of spruce, linden and oak. Loosely distributed pine-birch groupings with spruce were most probably formed during this level. Oak and linden pollen might have likely been derived from long distant transport; however, it may indicate the close presence of these trees in forest communities to the south of the Carpathians. In level III, the abundance of Sphagnum spores should be linked with higher rainfall rates and expansion of peatlands.

**FAUNA**

Full reconstruction of past ecosystems is impossible because many species making up the fossil assemblages are not preserved in the fossil record as a result of taphonomic bias. For instance, the number of forest species in the small mammal cave assemblages is probably underestimated. However, taking into account taphonomic impact on the fauna, it is possible to correlate the ecological and climatic change with changes in the taxonomic composition of the vertebrate fauna. Faunal studies indicate that past ecosystems in this area were a mosaic of habitats, with open (tundra, steppe) and forested areas, swamps, water streams and lakes as well as rocky areas. Tundra conditions dominated. Based on the analysis of the faunal remains, it can be stated that the climate was slightly more humid and warmer during the accumulation of layers G and F, and slightly colder and drier for layers E, D, C and B.

---

**Layer description and age correlation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layers</th>
<th>Sediments</th>
<th>Absolute dating in ka BP</th>
<th>MIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>grey-black humus with limestone rubble</td>
<td>OSL 8.95 (GdTL-1126) AMS C14 13.5 (Poz-28891)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B6</td>
<td>yellow sandy cave loam with rubble</td>
<td>AMS C14 &gt;49 (OxA-24944) OSL 45.9 (GdTL-1127)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B7</td>
<td>yellow silty-sandy cave loam with rubble</td>
<td>AMS C14 &gt;49 (Poz-28892)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>yellow sandy cave loam with rubble</td>
<td>AMS C14 &gt;49 (Poz-28892)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>brown-yellow cave loam</td>
<td>AMS C14 &gt;49 (Poz-28892)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18</td>
<td>light grey silty-sandy cave loam with limestone rubble</td>
<td>AMS C14 &gt;49 (OxA-24944) OSL 45.9 (GdTL-1127)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C19</td>
<td>grey cave loam with red streaks and limestone rubble</td>
<td>AMS C14 &gt;49 (OxA-24944) OSL 45.9 (GdTL-1127)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>light brown cave loam with rubble, main archaeological horizon</td>
<td>AMS C14 &gt;49 (OxA-24944) OSL 45.9 (GdTL-1127)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2b</td>
<td>light brown sandy-clayey-silty cave loam with rubble, archaeological horizon</td>
<td>AMS C14 &gt;49 (OxA-24944) OSL 45.9 (GdTL-1127)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>light brown cave loam with rubble, archaeological horizon</td>
<td>AMS C14 &gt;49 (OxA-24944) OSL 45.9 (GdTL-1127)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>dark brown sandy-silty-clayey cave loam with rubble, archaeological horizon</td>
<td>AMS C14 &gt;49 (OxA-24944) OSL 45.9 (GdTL-1127)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E1</td>
<td>grey cave loam with abundant limestone rubble</td>
<td>AMS C14 &gt;49 (OxA-24944) OSL 45.9 (GdTL-1127)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2</td>
<td>brown grey, in places black, silty-clayey-sandy loam with rubble</td>
<td>AMS C14 &gt;49 (OxA-24944) OSL 45.9 (GdTL-1127)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>light grey, in places brown, sandy cave loam with low admixture of limestone rubble</td>
<td>AMS C14 &gt;49 (OxA-24944) OSL 45.9 (GdTL-1127)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>orange-brown sandy cave loam with low admixture of limestone rubble</td>
<td>AMS C14 &gt;49 (OxA-24944) OSL 45.9 (GdTL-1127)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>orange cave loam</td>
<td>AMS C14 &gt;49 (OxA-24944) OSL 45.9 (GdTL-1127)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**POLLEN**

Based on pollen data it can be concluded that dominant vegetation during the sedimentation of layers D2–D1, C19–C18 and C7–C6 points to an open landscape with assemblages of open habitats such as steppe-like, tundra and meadow-tundra with a patchwork character of vegetation depending on the humidity of the bedrock, soil type, slope angle and elevation. However, based on pollen data it can be assumed that, in contrast to the Early Vistulian (Behre, 1989), tundra assemblages dominated.
OXYGEN ISOTOPE

Mammalian teeth incorporate all natural fluctuations of the oxygen isotope composition during time of enamel mineralisation. The $^{18}O/^{16}O$ ratio of enamel bioapatite is controlled by ingested water and may be influenced by several external factors, such as aridity, precipitation, relative humidity, temperature, latitude, elevation, diets and metabolism (Fricke and O’Neil, 1996; Fricke et al., 1998). For large-sized mammals, body temperatures are kept constant and $^{18}O$ enamel is not affected by metabolic processes, but rather by meteoric water. The variations in the oxygen isotope composition of meteoric water reflect seasonal climatic changes, e.g. warm/cold months at high and mid latitudes (e.g., Rožański et al., 1993). Hence, the oxygen isotopic composition of enamel, especially for herbivores, may record $^{18}O$ of meteoric water and thus reflect the climatic and palaeoenvironmental characteristics of the period of enamel mineralisation (Blaise and Balasse, 2011).

Mean values of $^{18}O$ enamel from adjacent layers numbered as C19–D1 – E1–H are different (Table 2). The high value of 14.22 ±0.18‰ for layer C document relatively warmer conditions than the values of 11.18 ±0.13‰ from layer D, which is similar to the $^{18}O$ enamel composition of present-day reindeers from the Spitzbergen Islands-Arctic Sea (Longinelli et al., 2003) measured by conventional methods. In situ intra-tooth oxygen isotope analysis allows identification of summer and winter ranges of fluctuations and detecting expected migratory behaviour. The degree of intra-tooth $^{18}O$ variation may also independently indicate truly migratory behaviour as opposed to smaller-scale seasonality of interglacial period (Fig. 8).

For comparison, the stable oxygen isotope composition of animal teeth of Pleistocene animals in the open archaeological site of Haller Street in Wrocław from the AB complex (OISS) yielded a mean $^{18}O$ value of tooth phosphates of 13.8 ±0.80‰ that corresponds to a mean annual temperature of 6.8 ±1.5°C for the Middle Plenivistulian (Interplenivistulian) (Skrypek et al., 2011).

SUMMARY

The dataset obtained from multi-proxy analyses have indicated differences in the development of sediments in particular layers, and their physical and chemical features. The differences are insignificant and correspond to climate oscillations during the accumulation of the layers. Generally, all sediments were accumulated in a relatively cold climate. The warmest and simultaneously most humid climate conditions took place during the accumulation of layer G, and its age may be correlated with MIS 5c, which corresponds to the Brørup Interstadial (Fig. 9). During the accumulation of layer F, the climate conditions were also relatively warm, but slightly cooler than for layer G. The age of this layer may be correlated with MIS 5b, which would correspond to the Redestall Stadial or the end of the Brørup Interstadial.

![Fig. 9. The Stajnia Cave layers in relation to the stratigraphic subdivision of the Vistulian/Weichselian in Poland and Western Europe after Behre (1989), Mojski (2005), Cyrek and Madeyska (2011) and Marks et al. (2016)](image-url)
The mean temperature in southwestern Poland could have reached 6.8°C (Skrzyzek et al., 2011). Layers E2 and E1 were formed in two stages, which is confirmed by the analyses. The rubble layer E2 was accumulated probably in the Redestall Interstadial – MIS 5b (VS 2), and the infilling sediments – in the warmer Odderade (Rudunki) Interstadial – MIS 5a (Fig. 9). A similar case is with layer E1, in which the rubble was formed during a relatively cool stadial interval (Schalkholz – MIS 4), and the sediments filling the spaces between the rubble were formed in a warmer interval of the Interpleniivistulian – MIS 3, most probably during the Glimne Interstadial. Archaeological layers D3, D2, D2b and D1, related to the habitation of the cave by Neanderthals, were accumulated during the Interpleniivistulian – MIS 3, which was generally characterized by warmer climate conditions, but with numerous warm and cold oscillations (Rassmusen, 2014). The temporal range of the Neanderthal habitation of the cave is within about 52,000–45,000 years BP. Most recent data from ice cores in Greenland indicate that there were a number of warmer events in this interval, the so-called “DO events”, during which the temperature reached even 16.5°C during the warmest month (Kindler et al., 2014). Based on the results, layers D3 and D2 were formed in a warmer interval of the Interpleniivistulian, the Glimne Interstadial (at 52,900 BP; Table 3). Most probably, layer D2b was accumulated during a colder episode of this interstadial. During the accumulation of layer D1, the climate was slightly colder and drier. This may be indicated by the distribution of open vegetation. The age of this bed may be related to the end of the Glimne Interstadial and the Hassello Stadial (44,600 years BP, MIS 3; Fig. 9). The results of pollen analyses in layers D2–D1, C19–C18, and C7–C6 do not reveal any differences in the climate conditions during the accumulation of these layers. Based on pollen data it can be concluded that the climate was harsh, and the mean temperature of the warmest month did not exceed 12°C. Isotope analyses of reindeer teeth in layers D2–D1 also suggest cold climate conditions, which were close to those prevailing at present on Spitsbergen. Layer C19 was accumulated in a slightly colder climate than the complex of layers D, and its age should be linked with the end of the Interpleniivistulian (MIS 3) and correlated probably with the Hunenborg Stadial. Results of isotope analysis of reindeer tooth point to slightly warmer climate conditions during the accumulation of layer C19 than during the accumulation of layers D2–D1. Such results indicate climate oscillations. Teeth in layer C19 may represent a warmer climate pulse during the accumulation of this layer. Layer C18 was accumulated in a much colder climate than the layers described above, and is correlated with the beginning of MIS 2 – the Leszano (Brandenburg) Phase (Fig. 9). The coldest and probably driest conditions prevailed during the accumulation of layers C7, C8 and B7. This conclusion is confirmed by the results of geological analyses, although pollen data point to a slight warming in relation to layers C18 and C19. The age of these sediments should be linked with the Leszno–Poznań-Pomeranian-Gardno (Brandenburg-Frankfurt-Pomeranian-Mecklenburg) Phase (Fig. 9). Possibly part of layer B6 was accumulated already in the Late Vistulian (Late Glacial). Such supposition is confirmed by C14 dates. Layer A was accumulated in a temperately warm climate of the Holocene (MIS 1). A few fragments of the bones of forest animal species, as well as pollen and tree macroremains, recovered from of the Stajnia Cave, may point to intervals of short-temed climate warming. The intervals were, however, too short to affect the type of sediment of the floral-faunal communities.

CONCLUSIONS

The cave loams (15 lithostratigraphic layers) were accumulated in the Stajnia Cave from the Early Vistulian (Early Glacial) to the Upper Pleniivistulian (Late Pleniiglacial) and Holocene. Archaeological excavations have not reached the bottom of the cave and the thickness of sediments filling the cave may be a few metres. Archaeological relics related to Neanderthals have been discovered in the complex of layer D with an absolute age of about 52,000–45,000 years BP and correlated with MIS 3 – the Middle Pleniivistulian (Middle Pleniiglacial). Geological, palaeoecological, palaeobotanic and isotope analyses suggest that conditions characteristic of tundra areas with a typical vegetation and fauna prevailed during the habitation of Neanderthals in the vicinity of the Stajnia Cave. Temperature of the warmest month did not exceed 12°C. Palaeoecological data presented in this study can be useful in further analyses concerning Neanderthal exploitation strategies of Late Pleistocene environmental resources including information about Neanderthal diet. Palaeoecological studies and pollen analyses lead to a conclusion that the ecosystems had a mosaic distribution, characteristic of the Vistulian (Weichselian). The record of the multiproxy analysis in the sediments filling the cave does not reflect all palaeo-climate oscillations of the Vistulian (Weichselian). Loams in the cave probably do not represent a full succession of the Vistulian and are disturbed by human activity, starting with the Middle Palaeolithic until present. However, the results presented in the paper have allowable reconstructing the local palaeoenvironmental and climate conditions during the Vistulian (Weichselian) in the vicinity of the Stajnia Cave.
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